Historic, Archive Document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



A99.9 F7625Uni ed States artment of culture

C

est Service Pacific Northwest **Research Station**

Research Paper **PNW-RP-488** April 1996



Levels-of-Growing-Stock **Cooperative Study in** Douglas-Fir: Report No 13---The Francis Study: 1963-90

Gerald E. Hoyer, Norman A. Andersen, and David Marshall

Levels-of-growing-stock study treatment schedule, showing percent of gross basal area increment of control plot retained in growing stock. All trees were retained on the western hemlock (WH) supplemental control plots.

	Basic LOGS treatments					Increasing/decreasing				Supplemental treatment (late thinning)			WH	
	1	3	5	7	2	4	6	8	С	L1	L3	L5	L7	WHC
						Pe	ercen	t						
irst	10	30	50	70	10	30	50	70	100	_	_	_		_
econd	10	30	50	70	20	40	40	60	100	_	_	_		_
hird	10	30	50	70	30	50	30	50	100	10	30	50	70	All
ourth	10	30	50	70	40	60	20	40	100	10	30	50	70	All
ifth	10	30	50	70	50	70	10	30	100	10	30	50	70	All

Background

Public and private agencies are cooperating in a study of eight thinning regimes in young Douglas-fir stands. Regimes differ in the amount of basal area allowed to accrue in growing stock at each successive thinning. All regimes start with a common level of growing stock established by an initial calibration thinning.

Thinning interval is controlled by height growth of crop trees, and a single type of thinning is prescribed.

Nine study areas, each involving three completely random replications of each thinning regime and an unthinned control, have been established in western Oregon and Washington, U.S.A., and Vancouver Island, British Columbia, Canada. Site quality of these areas varies from I through IV.

This is a progress report on this cooperative study.

Document identified as Washington State Department of Natural Resources, Contribution Number 351. Levels-of-Growing-Stock Cooperative Study in Douglas-Fir:

Report No. 13—The Francis Study: 1963-90

Gerald E. Hoyer, Forest Scientist Norman A. Andersen, Forest Research Technician

Forest Resources Division Department of Natural Resources Olympia, Washington

David Marshall, Assistant Professor

Department of Forest Resources Oregon State University Corvallis, Oregon

Research Paper PNW-RP-488 U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service Pacific Northwest Research Station Portland, Oregon April 1996

Abstract	Hoyer, Gerald E.; Andersen, Norman A.; Marshall, David. 1996. Levels-of-growing- stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 13—the Francis study: 1963-90. Res. Pap. PNW-RP-488. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 91 p.
	Results of the Francis installation of the levels-of-growing-stock study in Douglas-fir <i>(Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> (Mirb.) Franco), begun at stand age 15, are summarized together with results from additional first-thinning treatments started at age 25. To age 42 (5 years beyond the last planned thinning), total cubic-foot volume growth on this mid-site II Douglas-fir plantation has been strongly related to level of growing stock. Growth of lower levels of growing stock exceeded that of the control for only a brief period at age 30. Selection of a "best" treatment would depend on the unit of measure used: yield in total cubic-foot volume, merchantable cubic-foot volume, board-foot volume or dollar value. Close dollar values among several alternatives suggest that diverse stand structure objectives can be attained at age 42 with little difference in wood product-value per acre. General silvicultural prescriptions could be written to achieve the results of any of the treatments on similar sites.
	Keywords: Thinning, growing stock, growth and yield, stand density, Douglas-fir, <i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> , series—Douglas-fir LOGS.
Summary	The levels-of-growing-stock studies in Douglas-fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> (Mirb.) Franco), were designed to test the influence of treatment regimes by using a wide range of retained growing stock on the development of forest growth, yield, and stand structure. Results of the Francis installation located in the headwaters of the Willapa River in Pacific County, Washington, are summarized from calibration at age 15 through age 42 (completion of 60 feet of height growth from calibration, and the planned course of the experimental thinnings plus 5 years). In addition to the eight basic treatments and control common to the other eight study installations in the region, five additional treatments were added at Francis; four late first thinnings (at age 25), which matched the level of growing stock of four standard fixed treatments, and an unthinned western hemlock (<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i> (Raf.) Sarg.). Estimated Douglas-fir site index (50-year base) of this plantation is 124, a mid-site II.
	Contrary to expectations when the study was started, cubic-foot volume growth was strongly related to level of growing stock for both the early and late thinning treat- ments. Basal area growth of thinned treatments was directly related to level of growing stock; however, basal area growth of the control was often less than that of treatments. Basal area growth culminated before age 25.
	Different growing stock levels produced marked differences in tree size distributions and crown dimensions. Early relatively heavy thinning followed by successive thin- nings at increasing levels of growing stock produced the most trees 16 inches in di- ameter and larger. It is possible to write general silvicultural prescriptions that would grow the relative diameter class distributions of any of the study treatments.
	Periodic annual growth in cubic feet at age 42 is up to two times mean annual incre- ment; the stand is far from culmination of volume increment. Results of the basic treatments generally are comparable to those reported from other installations in the study that are on comparable sites.

There is a substantial tradeoff among increased individual tree size, value, and total cubic-foot volume production. The cumulative net cubic-foot yield of the unthinned control at age 42 was greater than the total yield of any of the thinning treatments. The live volume of the unthinned western hemlock at age 42 exceeded the cumulative net yield of the Douglas-fir control by 11 percent.

Cumulative net yield in terms of board feet, a common merchandising unit of measure, was higher for several treatments than for the unthinned control. Increased board-foot volume and relatively higher value for larger log sizes translate directly into greater dollar value at age 42 for some thinned treatments. A middle level of stocking for late first-thinning treatments had the highest dollar value because they retained enough growing stock for reasonable volume growth while producing increased diameters and a substantial early dollar return.

Growth of late first-thinning treatments was about 10 percent less in cubic-foot and 17 percent less in board-foot volume than growth of matched early thinnings growing at the same level of basal area growing stock.

Early thinning treatments produced accelerated growth compared to control between ages 29 and 33 years. At 60 to 70 percent of basal area growing stock of control, basal area growth increased to 116 percent of control growth: cubic-foot volume growth increased to 109 percent of control.

The 12 treatments and 2 controls in the Francis study portray a wide range of stand development alternatives. Some of the treatments have stem distributions and understory attributes desirable for wildlife needs. The closely similar estimated dollar values across many of the alternatives suggest that carefully applied silvicultural prescriptions might meet some wildlife needs at little or no loss of wood product value per acre to the forest owner.

The final answer about ultimate worth of the study treatment alternatives in terms of stand structure, wood products, or dollar value is not yet clear. Growth is still high. There has not yet been a major wave of mortality in the controls. Trees on treatments with lower levels of growing stock are now beginning to grow into log sizes that produce major increases in product value. There is still much to be learned from continuing this study into older stand ages.

Other LOGS (Levels-of-Growing-Stock) Reports

Williamson, Richard L.; Staebler, George R. 1965. A cooperative level-of-growingstock study in Douglas-fir. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 12 p.

Describes purpose and scope of a cooperative study investigating the relative merits of eight different thinning regimes. Main features of six study areas installed since 1961 in young stands also are summarized.

Williamson, Richard L.; Staebler, George R. 1971. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study on Douglas-fir: report no. 1—description of study and existing study areas. Res. Pap. PNW-111. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 12 p.

Thinning regimes in young Douglas-fir stands are described. Some characteristics of individual study areas established by cooperating public and private agencies are discussed.

 Bell, John F.; Berg, Alan B. 1972. Levels-of-growing stock cooperative study on Douglas-fir: report no. 2—the Hoskins study, 1963-70. Res. Pap. PNW-130.
Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 19 p.

A calibration thinning and the first treatment thinning in a 20-year-old Douglas-fir stand at Hoskins, Oregon, are described. Growth for the first 7 years after thinning was greater than expected.

Diggle, P.K. 1972. The levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir in British Columbia (report no. 3, cooperative L.O.G.S. study series). Inf. Rep. BC-X-66. Victoria, BC: Canadian Forestry Service, Pacific Forest Research Centre. 46 p.

Describes the establishment and installation of the two LOGS studies established on Vancouver Island at Shawnigan Lake and Sayward Forest.

Williamson, Richard L. 1976. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study in Douglasfir: report no. 4—Rocky Brook, Stampede Creek, and Iron Creek. Res. Pap. PNW-210. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 39 p.

The USDA Forest Service maintains three of nine installations in a regional, cooperative study of influences of levels of growing stock (LOGS) on stand growth. The effects of calibration thinnings are described for the three areas. Results of first treatment thinning are described for one area.

 Berg, Alan B.; Bell, John F. 1979. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study on Douglas-fir: report no. 5—the Hoskins study, 1963-75. Res. Pap. PNW-257.
Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 29 p.

Growth data are presented for the first 12 years of management of young Douglas-fir growing at eight levels of growing stock. The second and third treatment periods are described.

Young Douglas-fir stands transfer growth from many to few trees. Some of the treatments have the potential to equal the gross cubic-foot volume of the controls during the next treatment periods.

Arnott, J.T.; Beddows, D. 1981. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 6—Sayward Forest, Shawnigan Lake, Inf. Rep. BC-X-223. Victoria, BC: Canadian Forestry Service, Pacific Forest Research Centre. 54 p.

Data are presented for the first 8 and 6 years at Sayward Forest and Shawnigan Lake, respectively. The effects of the calibration thinnings are described for these two installations on Vancouver Island, British Columbia. Results of the first treatment thinning at Sayward Forest for a 4-year response period also are included.

Williamson, Richard L.; Curtis, Robert O. 1984. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 7—Preliminary results; Stampede Creek, and some comparisons with Iron Creek and Hoskins. Res. Pap. PNW-323. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 42 p.

Results of the Stampede Creek LOGS study in southwest Oregon are summarized through the first treatment period, and results are compared with two more advanced LOGS studies and are generally similar.

Curtis, Robert O.; Marshall, David D. 1986. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 8—the LOGS study: twenty-year results, Res. Pap. PNW-356. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 113 p.

Reviews history and status of LOGS study and provides new analyses of data, primarily from the site II installations. Growth is strongly related to growing stock. Thinning treatments have produced marked differences in volume distribution by tree size. At the fourth treatment period, current annual increment is still about double mean annual increment. Differences among treatments are increasing rapidly. There are considerable differences in productivity among installations, beyond those accounted for by site differences. The LOGS study design is evaluated.

Curtis, Robert O. 1987. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 9—some comparisons of DFSIM estimates with growth in the levels-ofgrowing-stock study. Res. Pap. PNW-RP-376. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 34 p.

Initial stand statistics for the Logs study installations were projected by the DFSIM simulation program over the available periods of observation. Estimates were compared with observed volume and basal area growth, diameter change, and mortality. Overall agreement was reasonably good, although results indicate some biases and a need for revisions in the DFSIM program.

Marshall, David D.; Bell, John F.; Tappeiner, John C. 1992. Levels-of-growingstock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 10—the Hoskins study, 1963-83. Res. Pap. PNW-RP-448. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 65 p.

Results of the Hoskins study are summarized through the fifth and final planned treatment period. To age 40, thinnings in this low site I stand resulted in large increases in diameter growth with reductions in basal area and cubic volume growth and yield. Growth was strongly related to level of growing stock. All treatments are still far from culmination of mean annual increment in cubic feet. Curtis, Robert O. 1992. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 11—Stampede Creek: a 20-year progress report. Res. Pap. PNW-RP-442. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 47 p.

Results of the first 20 years of the Stampede Creek study in southwest Oregon are summarized. To age 53, growth in this site III Douglas-fir stand has been strongly related to level of growing stock. Marked differences in volume distribution by tree sizes are developing as a result of thinning. Periodic annual increment is about twice mean annual increment in all treatments, indicating that the stand is still far from culmination.

 Curtis, Robert O. 1994. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 12—the Iron Creek study: 1966-89. Res. Pap. PNW-475. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 67 p.

Results of the Iron Creek study in the Gifford Pinchot National Forest, southern Washington, are summarized through age 42 (completion of the 60 feet of height growth comprising the planned course of the experiment). Volume growth of this mid-site II plantation has been strongly related to growing stock; basal area growth much less so. Different growing-stock levels have produced marked differences in the size distribution and in crown dimension. Periodic annual volume increment at age 42 is two to three times mean annual increment in all treatments.

Contents

Introduction

3 Methods

1

3 Description of Study Area

4 Experimental Design

4 Stand Treatments

6 Study Supplement, Late First Thinning, and Western Hemlock

7 Data Collection and Summarization

8 Relative Density

8 Tree and Log Diameter

8 Dollar Value Estimates of Treatment Results

9 Crown Measurements

9 Analysis

10 Results

10 Analysis of Variance

10 Species Mix

10 Tree Crown Measurements

10 Site Index

16 Height-40

16 Line Stand and Accumulated Yield, All Trees

26 Final Net Value

26 Increment, Cut Trees, and Mortality

27 Crop Trees

27 Stand Development Tables

33 Discussion

33 Species

33 Site Index and Height-40

33 Growth and Yield

34 Volume by Tree Sizes

34 Dollar Value: Final Net Value at Age 42

35 Crop Trees

35 Increment, Late Versus Early Thinning

35 Acceleration of Growth by Thinning

36 Future Use of the Study

36 Acknowledgments

36 English Equivalents

36 Literature Cited

38 Appendix 1: Description of Experiment

40 Appendix 2: Tables



Introduction

The Francis levels-of-growing-stock (LOGS) installation is one of nine installations in a regional thinning study established in young even-aged Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco) stands according to a common work plan (Williamson and Staebler 1971). Figure 1 shows the location of the nine installations. The LOGS study is a cooperative effort involving Canadian Forest Service, Natural Resources Canada, Oregon State University, USDA Forest Service, Washington State Department of Natural Resources, and Weyerhaueser Company. The overall objective of the studies is to compare the growth to growing-stock relation, cumulative wood production, and tree-size development under eight different thinning regimes begun before the onset of severe competition.

Detailed progress reports on individual installations are contained in the series of LOGS publications listed at the beginning of this report. Curtis and Marshall (1986) summarize results for the first 20 years. Since then, several of the higher site installations, of which Francis is one, have completed the full course of the experiment as originally planned.

The LOGS cooperative studies evolved from work in the late 1950s by George Staebler. Staebler (1959, 1960) argued that by thinning to reduce the amount of growing stock, increment could be transferred to the remaining faster growing trees while largely eliminating mortality losses. He also recognized that the implied assumption of near-constant gross increment over a wide range of stocking had not been tested for young Douglas-fir. The objectives of the LOGS studies, as stated in the 1962 plan, were "to determine how the amount of growing stock, including fixed,



Figure 1a—Location of levels-of-growing-stock study installations.



Figure 1b-Vicinity maps of the Francis Study.

increasing, and decreasing levels, retained in repeatedly thinned stands of Douglas-fir affects cumulative wood production, tree size, and growth-growing stock ratios." Treatments were designed to include a wide range of growing stock including fixed, increasing, and decreasing levels so that the results would show "how to produce any combination of factors deemed optimum from a management standpoint." The study was not designed as a test of specific operational thinning regimes but was intended to quantify growth and growing stock relations for a closely controlled initial stand condition and kind of thinning.

First control of growing stock began as "early" thinnings at about the time of initial crown closure. Supplemental treatments unique to the Francis installation add to the original study initial "late" thinnings at age 25 (after stand competition was well underway). The growing stock levels of four late-thinning treatments were the same as the four fixed-level "early" thinning treatments.

The purposes of this report are to (1) provide complete background details of the Francis installation, (2) document the quantitative results obtained through the fifth treatment thinning at total stand age of 33 years and the subsequent growth period to age 42, and (3) present and discuss the implications of results.

Methods Description of Study Area The Francis study was installed in fall 1963. The study area is on the westerly slope of the Willapa Hills at an elevation of about 1,300 feet in the western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla* (Raf.) Sarg.) coastal forest zone. The plots are on a north through westerly aspect. Slope ranges from level to 20 percent. A systematic examination of the understory vegetation on the study plots in 1992 defined the plant association as Tshe/Pomu/Oxor as characterized by Henderson and others (1989). The study plots averaged site index 124 (King 1966) as of 1981 and averaged about 900 stems per acre before calibration treatment.

The soil on the study area is a deep, well-drained silt loam (Boistfort series) found on nearly level to moderately steep terraces of the elevated uplands of the coastal range of western Washington. It has formed on basalt and developed in a mild, wet coastal climate. A representative profile of the soil has 2.0 inches organic layer of litter and duff. The soil surface layer is 0 to 12 inches, dark reddish brown silt loam; weak medium granular structure; friable when moist, slightly sticky and plastic when wet; and very strongly acid. The subsoil, 12 to 44 inches, is a dark-brown silt loam with moderately fine subangular blocky structure; friable when moist, sticky and plastic when wet; and strongly acid.

The forest was planted to about 600 trees per acre in fall 1947 with 2-0 planting stock from a known local seed source. The larger trees used as site index samples averaged 8 years at breast height when the study was installed after the 1963 growing season. Based on the planting date, the stand was 18 years total age at study installation. Applying the conventional conversion value of 7 years to grow to breast height on site II, however, gives a total age of 15 years, comparable to the age estimates used in other installations. The latter method of counting age was used in the data summaries in this report. Adjacent natural western hemlock stands are 1 year younger than the plantation Douglas-fir.

Experimental Design The experiment is a completely randomized design having three replications of eight thinning treatments, plus control. The 27 plots are one-fifth acre in area. Plot shape varies from a square to a 66- by 132-foot rectangle. Two are trapezoids. We used the various plot shapes to fit the 27 plots into a limited area of uniform initial stocking. There was no buffer on interior plots, but there were 30-foot isolation strips around the outer margins of the experimental area. Ground arrangement of the plots is shown in figure 2.

Stand Treatments Treatments were rigidly controlled to provide compatibility among installations on different sites.

Crop trees were selected at the rate of 16 per plot (80 per acre), distributed to provide 4 well-spaced crop trees in each quarter of a plot. Crop trees were identified with bands of white paint.

An initial calibration thinning was made on the 24 plots assigned to thinning treatments, designed to reduce all to as nearly comparable a condition as possible. All trees less than one-half the initial stand quadratic mean diameter (QMD) were cut. Additional noncrop trees were cut as needed to meet the study plan specifications, which called for the stand to be thinned to 405 trees per acre. Control plots ranged from 685 to 1,110 trees per acre, averaging 888. Of the total, 610 were planted Douglas-fir: 278 were natural western hemlock fill-in to the plantation.

The target number of trees for thinned plots was derived from the equation,

S = 0.6167 * QMD + 8,

where

S = average spacing in feet, and

QMD = quadratic mean diameter of the leave trees.

Number of trees per acre was calculated by assuming average square spacing. Trial plots with number of trees per acre deviating more than 15 percent from the average of all (untreated) plots were not used. After the calibration thinning, the number of trees on the treatment plots was identical. Level of basal area varied somewhat between 21 and 36 square feet on the treated plots and between 38 and 65 square feet on controls. This range of basal area was somewhat wider than that specified on some of the other installations in the LOGS study where trees were larger at establishment. In those installations, controlling criteria were specified in terms of similar levels of basal area by plot, and number of trees was allowed to vary. The impact of this variation in beginning basal area at Francis was evident through the first treatment period where five plots in treatments seven and eight remained unthinned because their basal area levels were less than the specified target levels. This also affected the ratio of tree sizes cut during first thinnings on some plots.

All leave trees on thinned plots were identified with permanent numbered tags. Trees 1.6 inches in diameter at breast height (d.b.h.) and larger were tagged on the control plots. All trees were pruned to about 6 feet aboveground (or in the case of small trees, no higher than one-half of live crown) to facilitate the measurement process.

After the calibration thinning in 1963, treatment thinnings were made in 1966, 1969, 1973, 1977, and 1981 (ages 18, 21, 25, 29, and 33, respectively), which corresponded to approximate 10-foot increments in crop tree heights.



Figure 2-Arrangement of plots in the Francis levels-of-growing-stock installation.

Thinning treatments were defined in terms of the percentage of periodic basal area growth retained as growing stock relative to the average gross periodic basal area growth on the unthinned control plots. See inside front cover; also appendix 1. Three general patterns were included: fixed levels of growing stock retained over time, increasing levels, and decreasing levels. (Treatment 1 at fixed level 10 retained 10 percent of the gross periodic basal area growth; treatment 3 at level 30 retained 30 percent, and so forth).

Kind of thinning was further specified by the requirements that:

1. No crop trees were to be cut until all noncrop trees had been removed.

2. Average guadratic mean diameter of trees removed in thinning should approximate the average diameter of trees available for thinning (that is, noncrop trees) until all noncrop trees have been removed.

3. Trees removed in thinning were to be distributed across the range of diameters of the trees available for thinning.

In conventional practice, mean diameter of trees removed in thinning (d) are compared with the mean diameter of all trees before thinning (D) by using the ratio d/D. The d/D ratios were calculated for each treatment at the five periodic thinnings. The d/D ratios ranged from 0.91 to 1.01 in 18 of 20 thinnings applied to the four fixedtreatment levels. The two earliest thinnings in treatment 1 were at d/D of 0.31 and 0.63, both a direct result of the need to allow the basal area of the plots to reach the threshold level defined by control. The pattern was similar in the increasing and decreasing treatments with 2 thinnings out of 20 at d/D 0.31 and 0.62, and for the same reason.

Jorgensen (1957) interprets the meaning of the d/D ratio as follows, based on a background of European experience:

d/D = 0.65 and under: Improvement cutting or "cleaning."

- = 0.65 0.75: Low thinning.
- = 0.75 0.90: Severe low thinning to light crown thinning.
- = 0.90 1.00: Severe crown thinning.
- = over 1.00: Selection thinning.

The basic LOGS study examined stand development after thinning at a relatively early age, 15 years total age in 1963. A common alternative practice begins thinning at a later age. We supplemented the basic study in 1973 by installing 12 one-fifth acre plots in the adjacent untreated plantation when the stand was 25 years old. We refer to this as "late" first thinnings. Except for one item noted below, all criteria used to treat the late thinning were identical with the ongoing treatments applied to the treatments in the basic study. The 12 plots had basal area per acre within the range of the average basal area that the three control plots of the basic study had attained by age 25. We randomly assigned three plots per each of four treatments and thinned to the four levels of basal area equal to the averages of treatments 1, 3, 5, and 7 of the basic study as of age 25. Supplemental (late) treatment L1 retained the same amount of basal area as treatment 1, L3 as treatment 3, L5 as treatment 5, and L7 as treatment 7. At each subsequent treatment of the basic study, the amount of basal area retained on each late thinning was the same, within specific tolerance limits, as its matched fixed treatment thinning in the basic study.

Study Supplement, Late First Thinning, and Western Hemlock

Special limitations defined trees to be left after the first late thinning. To keep tree size consistent between plots, we specified that the average plot d.b.h. after thinning would be 7.0 inches, the same as the average crop tree diameter on the three basic control plots. With basal area held the same between matched treatments of the two studies and with d.b.h. specified, the number of stems per acre varied. These requirements ensured consistency for the subsequent comparison but are contrary to usual thinning selection. Routine thinning would retain fewer trees with larger diameters. Selected crop trees on the newly established plots averaged 8.4 inches in diameter. The d/D ratio for the late thinnings ranged between 0.77 and 0.95.

In 1973 we also installed six one-tenth acre plots in the western hemlock stand located outside the clearly defined edge of the original Douglas-fir plantation. The western hemlock plots provide a comparison of the productivity of naturally regenerated western hemlock with the planted Douglas-fir. The unthinned western hemlock control plots (WHC) are compared to the study plots.

The presence of unthinned western hemlock control plots or treatments (WHC) together with the original Douglas-fir control plots (treatment 9) might lead to some descriptive confusion in the text. To avoid this possibility, the expression "Douglas-fir control" is used. This full expression is abbreviated in figures as T-9C (treatment 9, control) and should be understood to apply to the Douglas-fir control treatment.

Data Collection and Summarization

Immediately after the calibration thinning, and at all subsequent measurement dates, diameters of all tagged trees were measured to the nearest 0.1 inch. Ingrowth was tagged and measured on the control plots only. Heights were measured on a sample of the subject trees; sample size varied but was not less than eight Douglas-fir trees per plot distributed across the range of diameters. Of this sample, four to six of the largest diameter Douglas-fir trees had breast-height age determined. These trees provided a period-by-period field estimate of site index (King 1966) and height of the 40 largest trees per acre.

There was a component of western hemlock trees on the Francis study plots. Six (6) to twenty-five (25) percent of the basal area of the plots was hemlock at the start of the study. When other criteria permitted, we removed hemlock in thinning. Height and age were measured on a token number of hemlock trees on plots where hemlock was common. Site index for western hemlock uses Wiley (1978).

Constrained height-diameter curves were fitted to each measurement on each plot using an adaptation¹ of the procedure of Hyink and others (1988). Smoothed heights assigned from the curves were the basis for defining the missing tree heights and also for an alternative estimate of height-40 by plot and treatment. Tree volumes in total cubic feet inside bark (CVTS) were calculated for Douglas-fir by the Bruce and DeMars (1974) equation, using actual measured heights when available and heights predicted by the Clendenen equations for trees not having measured heights.

A parallel procedure using an equation by Wiley and others (1978) produced volumes for the unthinned western hemlock plots.

¹ Developed by Gary W. Clendenen and David D. Marshall.

	With CVTS estimated for each tree, merchantable cubic-foot and board-foot volumes were estimated, using equations given by Brackett (1973). The board-foot unit is used in the Pacific Northwest for marketing logs and is a convenient basis for estimating tree value. The Scribner log rule formula version is used to determine board feet in terms of nominal 16-foot log lengths to a 6-inch top diameter, (Brackett 1973) and scale-book version is used for board feet in terms of 32-foot-long logs to a 4-inch top diameter (Northwest Log Rules Advisory Group 1982).
Relative Density	Relative density measures are useful in describing thinning regimes, as guides for density control, as values interpretable as measures of competition, and as predictors of growth.
	The measure of relative density (RD) used here is defined as follows (Curtis 1982),
	RD = (basal area) / square root (quadratic mean diameter),
	which is very nearly proportional to Reineke's (1933) stand density index.
	All ingrowth trees that began life after thinning in the main stand canopy were re- moved from the thinned plots. There are therefore no understory tree components to add numbers of small trees and influence mean diameter and RD. Some ingrowth trees were added on control plots when existing small trees finally exceeded the minimum diameter threshold.
Tree and Log Diameter	Usefulness of trees for commodity and other purposes and the relative values as- signed depend on tree d.b.h. Diameter is the basis for an estimate of tree value. The following are tree diameter classifications appropriate to standard local log product sizes, a basis for later evaluations:
	Trees less than 5.6 inches (2-5-inch classes)—seedlings and saplings, often with no wood value; frequently of value as understory for wildlife.
	Trees 5.6 to 9.5 inches (6-9-inch classes)—often referred to as "pulp grade," even though sawn products are often produced.
	Trees 9.6 to 15.5 inches (10-15-inch classes)—small saw logs.
	Trees 15.6 to 21.5 inches (16-21-inch classes)—large saw logs.
	Trees 21.6 inches and larger (22-inch and larger classes)—peelable sizes.
	Trees 20 inches and larger meet the minimum requirements for wildlife needs as a source of a continuing supply of dead snags and large woody debris.
Dollar Value Estimates of Treatment Results	The dollar value of treatment results (final net value of all costs and returns, with interest, plus value of current stands at age 42) was estimated to provide a basis for interpreting the practical worth of treatments. Differences in final net value between treatments were expected to be great enough that graphic comparisons would aid evaluation of treatment results to date.
	A first step for estimating value was to subdivide total tree volume into volumes by logs. This requires knowing the form or taper of each tree bole. Log diameters of the upper tree stems were estimated from the known tree d.b.h. and CVTS of each tree. An iterative process using a form factor equation assigned upper stem diameters at nominal log lengths so that the sum of the cubic-foot volume of the logs with assigned diameters was equal to the known total tree cubic-foot volume (Turnbull 1970). With log volumes and diameters known, standard log grades were assigned (Northwest Log Rules Advisory Group 1982). Standard log grades consider number of rings per inch, knot size, and log diameter. Log diameter was the most meaningful character-istic in this application

Tree dollar value was assessed at two steps of commodity production: as logs before removal (stumpage) and the same logs as cut, removed, and delivered to market. (Both stumpage and delivered value—on a per acre basis—were examined as final net values to determine if the assumed costs that were assigned had any effect on the value of different treatments.) Stumpage prices for each log grade differ from delivered prices according to assumed logging costs. Some logging costs such as hauling distance were estimated per thousand board feet. Others were assessed per thousand board feet and on a log-size basis. More board feet per log gave a value advantage to larger logs. The assigned costs were part of routine thinning cost assessment procedures used for timber sales preparation (Chambers and Smego 1983).

Dollar value for each grade was assigned based on long-term moving average log selling prices for western Washington collected and used by State Department of Natural Resources economists (Chambers and Smego 1983, and updated through 1990).

Net dollar value of thinnings both as stumpage and delivered logs was carried at compound interest from age of thinning and added to the value at age 42, and the sum of values for treatments were compared. The effect of a range of interest rates from 4 to 7 percent was examined.

Costs of planting and planting stock also were included in the analysis. The thinning cost and wood value from the calibration thinning were not included in analysis for reasons discussed later. All costs and values used were current as of 1992. Using 1992 dollars for all years removes the considerable influence of inflating dollars as a factor when interpreting the relative worth of treatments carried out over an extended number of years.

Crown Measurements At plot establishment, all trees were pruned to breast height to facilitate tagging and measurement. By age 25, tree crowns had lifted above breast height, and height to the base of the live crown and crown width were measured on the 9 or 10 trees of selected diameter representing the volume distribution of the trees on the late-thinned treatments. Similar measurements were made on trees in the fixed treatments at age 27. The height to the base of live crown was defined as the height aboveground where at least two live limbs occurred on a whorl at right angles to each other. Crown width was the average of two measurements taken at right angles on the ground beneath the vertically projected edge of crown. In 1992 (stand age 44), we measured crowns on the four largest diameter trees in each plot of the main LOGS treatments and determined base to live crown and crown width.

Analysis The original study plan specified analysis of variance as the method of analysis. Many aspects of the experiment, however, are more meaningfully presented and interpreted through simple graphic comparisons of means. Analysis of variance follows the procedure used in previous LOGS reports (Curtis and Marshal 1986, Marshall and others 1992) and applies only to plots in the original ("early thinning") portion of the Francis study. This is a repeated-measures experiment computationally similar to a split-plot design (Snedecor and Cochran 1967), in which the periodic remeasurements correspond to subplots. Computations were done with the GLM procedure of SAS (SAS Institute, Inc. 1985) by using as successive response variables periodic annual gross increment in basal area and corresponding growth percentage; periodic annual gross increment in quadratic mean diameter.

Results	The Francis plots and others in the cooperative study provide a unique pool of treated stand-growth data for Douglas-fir in the Pacific Northwest. Since the beginning of the study, the plots have contributed along with other studies and permanent sample plots to estimates of Douglas-fir growth and yield (Curtis and others 1981, Hoyer 1975).
Analysis of Variance	The analysis of variance is presented in tables 1 and 2 and applies only to plots in the original study design. Differences among treatments were significant, as expected. The seven degrees of freedom were broken into seven orthogonal contrasts, which test differences among overall means through the fifth treatment period. The linear relation to the four equally spaced treatments was significant, as was the difference between increasing and decreasing treatments for all variables. Those among- increasing and among-decreasing treatments were significant or nearly so.
	The first test in the periods portion of the analysis of variance was for differences among periods and was expected to be significant. The other contrasts tested for differences among individual period responses within the overall average response tested in the main-plot portion of the analysis. The interactions period × (linear) and period × (increasing treatments vs. decreasing treatments) were significant in most cases, others were not. These significant interactions disallow a simple interpretation of differences among treatments. Graphic modeling of treatment responses allows us to see responses over time.
Species Mix	By age 33, after the final treatment thinning, up to 21 percent of the basal area in some treatments remained in western hemlock. Table 3 shows the changes in percentage of western hemlock basal area as hemlock was removed by cutting.
Tree Crown Measurements	Average height to base of live crown, crown width, and crown-to-bole ratio were cal- culated at age 25 for fixed treatments, control, and late thinnings (table 4). Height to base of live crown at 10 feet was about double the pruning height on treatment 1. Crown base was progressively higher, to 22 feet, on the control as the level of growing stock increased. Height to base of live crown on the late thinning plot supple- ment was about 5 feet lower than the control plot average. By age 44, height to crown base ranged from 31 feet on treatment 1 to 62 feet on control.
	Crown width (of largest diameter trees) on the fixed treatments increased as levels of growing stock were reduced. Crown width of the largest diameter trees on the late- thinned supplement was about the same as crown width of the largest trees on the control plot trees.
Site Index	Average site index estimated from field samples is given in table 5 and average height-40 is given in table 6 (international units are given in table 25). The field procedure for site-index estimation used the height and breast-height age of each of four to six sample trees per plot. The trees were from the current diameter range of the height-40 trees but were not the 8 per plot that precisely defines the 40 largest per acre. (Height-40 trees were close to, but not identical with, the "10 largest diameters from a group of 50 contiguous trees" the criteria used by King [1966]. Field samples of trees from both definitions provided nearly identical estimates of site index.). Average value of site index from the nine treatments was 131 at age 15, 126 at age 25, and 124 at age 33. Average value of site index on the late thinning treatment was 133 at age 25 and 128 at age 33.

Text continued on page 16

Source of variation	Degree of freedom (5 treatment periods)
Treatments:	(7)
A. Fixed vs. variable percentage treatments	1
B. Among levels of fixed percentage treatments-	
Linear effects	1
Quadratic effects	1
Cubic effects	1
C. Increasing percentage treatments	1
D. Between levels of increasing percentage treatments	1
E. Between levels of decreasing percentage treatments	1
Error a for testing treatments	15
P periods	4
Treatments x period interactions:	
РХА	4
P X B linear effects	4
P X B quadratic effects	4
P X B cubic effects	4
PXC	4
PXD	4
ΡΧΕ	4
Error b for testing treatments	4
	60
Total	115

Table 1—Analysis of variance

	P-value ^b and mean square errors								
	Volur	ne	Basa	Diameter					
Source of variation	PAI	Growth percent	PAI	Growth percent	PAI				
Treatments:	.00**	.0*	.00**	.00*	0				
A. Fixed vs. var	.13	.26	.77	.32					
B. Fixed (linear)	.00**	.00**	.00**	.00**					
B. Fixed (quad)	.40	.03	.90	.03					
B. Fixed (cubic)	.20	.73	.90	.48					
C. Increasing vs decreasing	.00**	.04*	.00**	.00**					
D. Among increasing	.00**	.80	.00**	.53					
E. Among decreasing	.00**	.01	.23	.00**					
Error a mean square	484.80	1.05	.79	.77	.00574				
P periods:	.00**	.00**	.00**	.00**	.00**				
РхА	.11	.16	.15	.15	.08				
P x B (linear)	.00**	.00**	.21	.00**	.00**				
P x B (quad)	.07	.00**	.02*	.21	.00**				
P x B (cubic)	.03*	.22	.09	.01*	.19				
РхС	.00**	.00**	.00**	.00**	.00**				
РхD	.00**	.00**	.02*	.01**	.03*				
РхЕ	.00**	.16	.52	.23	.00**				
Error b mean square	171.21	.19	.16	.11	.00044				

Table 2—Analysis of variance results for periodic annual gross growth and gross growth percentage in volume (CVTS) and basal area, and net periodic annual growth in quadratic mean diameter^a

^a Significance level: * is $0.01 < P \le 0.05$; and ** is $p \le 0.01$. ^b P-value is the probability of a larger F, given that the null hypothesis of no difference among means is true.

					Year						
•	1963	19	69	19	973	19	77	19	981	19	85
Treatment	A ^a	B ^b	A	В	A	В	A	В	A	В	A
				P	ercent						
Fixed:											
1	21	22	17	16	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
3	18	18	17	18	8	8	5	5	4	4	4
5	6	6	6	6	5	5	4	4	3	3	4
7	19	19	19	19	19	19	17	17	16	16	16
Increasing:											
2	11	10	4	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	17	17	17	17	16	16	14	14	11	11	12
Decreasing:											
6	21	21	21	21	17	18	10	10	8	8	9
8	25	25	25	26	26	27	20	20	17	17	18
Unthinned											
Control:											
	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	19
Average	18								10	٥	٥
Average	10								10	9	5

Table 3—Western hemlock basal area as a percentage of total basal area on Francis LOGS plots, by treatment and period

^a A = after cut. ^b B = before cut.

	Crown width Age 25 Age 44		Height	to base	Crown I	oole ratio		
Treatment			Age 25 Age 44		Age 25	Age 44		
<u> </u>		F		Percent				
1	16.1	34.3	10.3	31	82	70		
3	13.6	29.5	12.6	50	79	54		
5	11.7	23.5	14.8	60	75	48		
7	11.4	22.4	19.0	64	68	43		
9 (C)	9.0	15.8	21.6	62	63	33		
L1	10.0	_	13.9	_	74	_		
L3	8.7		15.7	_	71	_		
L5	9.5	_	16.0	_	71	_		
L7	8.9	_	15.5	_	70	_		
WHC ^c	<u></u>	15.4	_	58	_	40		

Table 4 — Tree crown relations by treatment at ages 25^a and 44^b

— = missing data.

^a From measurements of 8 - 9 volume-sample trees on late thinned plots in 1973 and early thinned plots in 1975.

^b Special remeasurement in 1992, at age 44, of 4 selected trees per plot that represented the diameter range of the 40 largest trees per acre.

^c WHC = western hemlock control plots.

	Year and age						
Treatment	1963 (15)	1973 (25)	1981 (33)	1990 (42)			
		Fee	et				
Fixed:							
1	134	126	122	125			
3	127	123	118				
5	129	127	135	130			
7	119	122	120				
Increasing:							
2	134	129	128	_			
4	138	130	129				
Decreasing:							
6	135	125	120	_			
8	136	127	124				
Control	127	120	124	126			
Average	131	126	124				
Late thinning:							
L1	_	131	124	122			
L3	_	134	130	125			
L5	—	134	132	126			
L7	_	133	128	123			
Average		133	128	124			
WHC ^b			117	115			

Table 5 — Trends of mean site index^a, in feet, at breast-height age 50 by treatment and year

— = missing data.

^a Site index is according to King (1966) for Douglas-fir, Wiley (1978) for western hemlock.
^b WHC = western hemlock control plots.

The alternative procedure of determining site index by using the average height of the height-40 trees based on smoothed heights from height-diameter curves and stand breast-height age produced higher site index estimates in the early years than did the direct field sampling process; site index averaged 9 feet higher at age 15 and 3 feet higher at age 25.

The relative productivity of the site, as reflected by the site index of western hemlock (115) to Douglas-fir (124), conformed closely to the relations defined as the "wet western hemlock sub zone" by Handley (1976) and for the Boistfort silt loam (Pringle 1986).

Height-40

Height-40 is the average height of the eight largest diameter trees on each plot. Heights of height-40 trees reported here were averages from four to six field samples that represented the diameter range of the 40 largest trees. At age 42, the height-40 of the early thinned fixed treatments (98.3 feet) was 4.3 feet taller than height-40 of the four equivalent late-thinning treatments (94.0 feet). Each early thinned treatment was consistently taller than its respective late-thinned treatment (table 6). At age 25, however, the height-40 for the same two groups was nearly the same. Table 7 gives mean diameter of the height-40 trees.

Live Stand and Accumulated Yield, All Trees

The trends of growing stock, expressed as relative density, are given for treatments by age in figure 3. The unthinned western hemlock plots are included. By age 40, the hemlock stand was at about 115 units of relative density, the Douglas-fir control (T-9C) was at 100 units, and the range of basic treatments span relative densities from 20 to 70 units. Relative density, an expression of the level of growing stock, is the product of the applied treatment. Figure 4 shows that expressing growing stock level in terms of relative density is not the same as expressing in terms of basal area, the criteria used to control study treatments. Each of the late-thinning treatments was reduced to the identical basal area levels of its matched treatment, but in terms of relative density, the late thinning had a fairly consistent 9-percent-greater level of growing stock than the presumed matching treatments.

Standing yield of live trees in terms of numbers, mean diameter, basal area, cubicfoot volume and board-foot volume in 16-foot logs is given by plot and treatment in tables 8 through 12. Similar information in international units is given in tables 27 through 36.

The 405 trees per acre at age 15 on treated plots were reduced to as few as 40 by the time of the final treatment thinning at age 33 (figs. 5 and 6). Average diameter at age 15 was 3.6 inches. By age 33, average diameter ranged from 10 to over 15 inches on the thinned plots and was 6.9 on the control. By age 42, QMD ranged from 12.6 to 19.5 inches on the thinning treatments and was 8.8 on the control (T-9C) (figs. 7 and 8).

Initial basal area after cutting at age 15 ranged from nearly 26 square feet to over 33 on the treatments and was nearly 52 square feet on the control plots. By age 33, before the last cut, treatments ranged from 67 to 146 square feet and averaged 260 on the control. By age 42, control (T-9C) basal area reached 304 square feet per acre (figs. 9 and 10).

Text continued on page 21



Figure 3—Growing-stock trends expressed as relative density and age for basic study treatment, control, and unthinned western hemlock.



Figure 4—Relative density trends by age for fixed early (T) and late (L) thinning treatments.



Figure 5-Number of trees by age for fixed (T) and late (L) thinning treatments.



Figure 6-Number of trees by age for increasing and decreasing treatments.



Figure 7—Quadratic mean diameter by age for fixed early (T) and late (L) treatments.



Figure 8-Quadratic mean diameter by age for increasing and decreasing treatments.



Figure 9-Live basal area by age for fixed early (T) and late (L) thinning treatments.



Figure 10—Live basal area by age for increasing and decreasing treatments.

Initial volume after cutting at age 15 ranged from 286 to 384 cubic feet on the treatments and averaged 560 on the control. At age 33, after the final treatment cut, volume ranged between 1,446 and 5,339 cubic feet on treatments and was 7,217 on control. By age 42, control plots (T-9C) reached 10,718 cubic feet per acre (figs. 11 and 12).

Live standing board-foot volume (Scribner, expressed in 16-foot logs to a 6-inch top diameter) first exceeded 5,000 feet per acre at about age 25 for well-stocked treatments (figs. 13 and 14). By age 42, treatment 7 reached 40,000 board feet per acre; control (T-9C) and treatment 5 were not far behind. Volumes of treatments 1 and 3 were closely followed, respectively, by the volumes of late 1 and 3. Treatments 5 and 7 were 5,000 to 7,000 board feet greater than their respective late treatments. Treatment 4 produced nearly the same board-foot volume as the control but did so on only two-thirds of the basal area (fig. 10).

The relative amount of cumulative yield to date (live trees at age 42 plus trees cut in thinnings) by treatment is similar when expressed in terms of either basal area (not shown) or CVTS results for all trees. The relative cumulative yield by treatment is also similar considering either total accumulated 14-year production (at age 29) or production of only live material after 27 years (at age 42). (See cumulative yield, including thinnings in tables 13 and 14—table 31 in international units.) The pattern of these similar relative treatment results is given in figures 15 and 16 for cubic feet and board feet where initial treatment volume is included. The general pattern shows increasing production as level of growing stock increases, with the control (T-9C) having the most basal area and cubic-foot volume. That pattern changed when volume was expressed in board feet (Scribner 16-foot logs to a 6-inch top): control fell behind treatments 4, 5, and 7. Total cubic-foot yield at age 42 of Douglas-fir control is exceeded by the live volume of hemlock control (WHC) by 11 percent.

The effect of treatments on the volume developed by age 42 for important tree diameter classes is given in figure 17. Units of measure are in cubic and board feet. Clear patterns of increased larger diameter classes were developed by lower levels of growing stock. The patterns were similar in either cubic or board-foot units of measure.

Treatments developed widely different ranges of tree size. The number of trees per acre by 1-inch diameters is given for treatments at ages 15 and 42 in table 15.

The cumulative board-foot yield used as the basis for dollar value is shown in figure 18. Volume in units of Scribner board feet in 32-foot logs to a 4-inch top (inside bark) differs from the same unit of measure to other log lengths and top diameters and is the most common market place unit of measure. Tables showing cumulative yield in 32-foot logs are not shown. Standing live volume of the unthinned hemlock stand (WHC) at age 42 exceeded cumulative yield of Douglas-fir control (T-9C) by 38 percent. Cumulative yield of treatment 7 exceeded the cumulative yield of control (T-9C) by 7 percent. All other treatments produced less cumulative yield than the control.

The volume attained by each late thinning was within 10 percent of the volume of its matched early thinning (figs. 9, 11, and 13). Treatment L5 and L7 each developed less live net cubic-foot volume than their matched early treatments and averaged 95 and 91 percent, respectively, for all the growth periods since first late thinning. Late treatment L1 developed the same (99 percent) volume as treatment 1 for the periods.

Text continued on page 26



Figure 11—Cubic-foot volume by age for fixed early (T) and late (L) thinning treatments.



Figure 12-Cubic-foot volume by age for fixed, increasing, and decreasing treatments.



Figure 13—Board-foot volume by age for fixed early (T) and late (L) treatment thinnings.



Figure 14—Board-foot volume by age for fixed, increasing, and decreasing treatments.



Figure 15—Gross yield in cubic feet by treatment including trees cut in the calibration thinning.



Figure 16—Gross yield in board feet by treatment including trees cut in the calibration thinning.




Figure 17—Standing volume at age 42 by tree diameter classes in inches for (A) cubic feet per acre and (B) board feet per acre (Scribner, 16-foot logs).

•

25

	Late treatment L3 developed more volume than treatment 3, an average of 109 per- cent, for the growth periods since first late thinning. Basal area increment for L3 also was greater than treatment 3 (116 percent). Close examination of matched basal area immediately after thinning revealed a small systematic bias in treatment L3. Retained basal area was consistently higher than treatment 3. This accumulated to a sum of 11 percent for the four cuts in the period. The sum of comparable measurements for the other treatments was zero or 1 percent.
	In terms of board feet, L5 and L7 grew considerably less over the period; 88 and 79 percent, respectively, of their early thinning counterparts.
	All late treatments developed less board-foot volume than their respective early treatments.
Final Net Value	The accumulated dollar value as stumpage for treatments—including all costs and returns at 6-percent interest to age 42—is given in figure 18. There was a pattern to the values at 6-percent interest. Treatments 4, 5, 6, 7, L3, L5, and WHC had stumpage values greater than DF control (T-9C). Treatment 6 and L1 and L7 were close to control and the rest were less. This pattern also held for interest rates to 4 percent. At 7 percent, L1 and L7 slightly exceeded value of control. (Data not shown for 4 and 7 percent.) Clearly, thinning of young plantations produced greater value than planting to 600 trees without later thinning.
	The main effect of delivered values (not shown) as opposed to stumpage (fig. 18) was to increase the overall value level. Also, the value for treatment 8, which was slightly below the level of control (T-9C) as stumpage, equaled or exceeded control when expressed as delivered values, depending on interest rate.
	The value for unthinned natural western hemlock (WHC) was 11 percent higher than the stumpage value of the planted Douglas-fir control (T-9C, fig. 18B).
	The initial thinning in the late thinning sequence contributed significantly to accumu- lated stumpage value at this age. By age 42, value attributable to treatments L3 and L5 was higher than the values resulting from thinning the stands early at growing stock levels 5 and 7. On the other hand, if the first late thinning was considered noncommercial, none of the late treatments would exceed the value of control (T-9C).
Increment, Cut Trees, and Mortality	Periodic annual growth (increment) data for all live trees is given in tables 16 through 19 (tables 32 through 34 for international units). Increment generally is expressed herein as either net, the periodic change in units of measure of live trees minus the quantity of trees that died during the period, or gross, the periodic change in units of measure including trees that died during the period. Survivor growth—the change in units of measure of trees that were alive at both the start and end of a period—is given in one place in table 16. Net increment and survivor growth were nearly identical on all treatments except for control which, in the last two periods, had survivor growth in diameter at about half of control net diameter growth. Annual diameter increment ranged from 0.1 to 0.6 inch per year and was consistently least on the control. Net annual basal area increment ranged from 4 to 15 square feet per acre per year during the 27-year period. Net annual volume increment ranged from 164 to 449 cubic feet per acre per year. Period-by-period increment of cubic-foot volume usually was greater on the control than on all treatments, except treatment 7.
	Mean annual volume increment and periodic annual basal area increment by treat- ment and age are given in figures 19 and 20. Periodic and mean annual volume increment are given together by treatment in figure 21.

Basal area increment was greater at younger ages and at higher levels of growing stock, except for control (T-9C), which, in spite of greater growing stock, sometimes had less basal area increment than the thinning treatments. Basal area increment reached a maximum below age 25. Maximum mean annual volume increment has not been reached.

The relative amount and limited extent of short-term accelerated growth as the result of thinning is visible in the growth-to-growing-stock relation. Figure 22 illustrates periodic annual gross cubic-foot volume growth per acre, expressed as a percentage of unthinned Douglas-fir control volume growth, plotted over live basal area per acre (after thinning), expressed as a percentage of control basal area for the fixed-level treatments by age (except for age 29 for which all treatments are plotted). At age 29, volume growth of treatment 7 at 69 percent of control basal area growing stock, exceeded the volume growth of control by 9 percent. Treatment 7 also exceeded volume growth of control by 3 percent during the period beginning at age 33. Late thinning treatment L-7, not shown on figure 22, exceeded volume growth of control by 2 percent during the period beginning at age 29. Growth of all late-thinning treatments was slightly but consistently less than that of comparable early thinning treatments at similar levels of basal area growing stock.

Thinning also accelerated basal area growth (not shown). The pattern was similar to that for volume, but the percentage of increase was higher. Gross basal area growth reached 116 percent of control for treatment 7 at age 33 and exceeded growth of control at ages 25, 29, and 37. Late treatment L7 basal area growth also exceeded that of control for ages 29, 33, and 37. Growth percent of L7 averaged 3 percentage points lower than growth percent of treatment 7. Net basal area growth percentages, not shown in the figure, were higher than those given for gross basal area growth.

The record of trees cut by treatment and period is given in table 20 (table 35 in international units). Mortality is given in table 21 (table 36 in international units). Except for control, the amount of mortality was minor.

Average height and diameter of crop trees, the 80 per acre selected at the start of the study on each plot, was summarized by treatment in tables 22 and 23. The crop trees were favored by treatment cuttings and by age 42 were larger in diameter than average quadratic mean diameter (table 9) on all treatments except 1, 2, and L1. The three exceptions were thinned heavily and only crop trees remained by age 42. The average diameter of the crop trees was smaller than the 80 largest diameter trees per acre (not given).

Because initial tree selection at calibration was by number of trees, there was greater basal area variation between treatments than in some other LOGS studies where tree selection achieved given levels of basal area. Treatment 2 had the highest level of basal area of the treatments at age 15 and also had the largest average tree diameter. The impact of this is apparent in table 23; diameter of treatment 2 crop trees began higher than all other treatments and remained the highest until age 42, when it was surpassed by treatment 1. This illustrates the continuing advantage of a better greater starting diameter for thinned stands.

Stand Development Tables

Crop Trees

The stand development table 24 (table 37 in international units) presents thinning, yield, mortality, and growth of height, volume, and basal area by age in a "yield table" format. This is the most concise summary of the material presented in the report.

Text continued on page 33

27



Figure 18—Accumulated results at age 42 by treatment: (A) board feet and (B) dollar value per acre including planting cost and cost and value of thinnings, all carried at 6 percent compound interest.



Figure 19—Mean annual increment (MAI) in volume by stand age for western hemlock (WHC), fixed early treatments (T-), and late treatments (L-).



Figure 20—Net periodic annual increment (PAI) in basal area by age for (A) fixed treatments and control, (B) increasing and decreasing treatments, and (C) late-thinning treatments.



Figure 21—Comparison of curves of mean annual increment (MAI) in volume and of periodic annual increment (PAI) by stand age for (A) fixed, (B) increasing and decreasing, and (C) late thinning treatments.



Figure 22—Volume growth to basal area growing stock relations of treatment averages expressed as percentages of unthinned control by age of stand.

Discussion Species	As much as 20 percent of basal area of some plots was western hemlock. The growth and yield results from this study generally parallel results from other high site LOGS studies free of a hemlock component. (Curtis and Marshall 1986). We therefore ex- pected no adverse influence by the western hemlock component on results of this study. The results from the standard LOGS analysis presented here did not compute hemlock volume and growth from a western hemlock tree volume equation. Instead, we assigned volumes from the Douglas-fir volume equation to western hemlock. We made a separate analysis (data not shown) by using an appropriate equation for the western hemlock component and found no important difference from volume and growth figures reported here.
Site Index and Height-40	The tendency of Kings' (1966) site index values for young Douglas-fir to decline with increasing age is a common observation in Northwest plantations. One of several plausible explanations is that Kings' (1966) site index curves, which use total tree height and age at breast height (4.5 feet) were based on natural stock which usually crosses the breast-height threshold from a highly competitive early establishment period. Plantations, on the other hand, often cross the threshold from a more vigorous nursery-based start, and they continue an elevated height growth rate for several years until they settle down to more usual height growth trends. The effect of this behavior is that in the earliest years above breast height, sample trees from plantations overestimate site index as defined by King's curves.
	Site index computed from selective site trees sampled period by period, in the field, was more reasonable than site index based on height estimates from smoothed trends of height and diameter. Both procedures were applied to the same standard site index curves.
	Height-40 estimated from height-diameter trends was an average of 1.1 feet taller than the average of the height-40 sample trees that were selected as field samples each remeasurement period. At breast-height age 8, 1.1 feet of height represents about six points of site index. (Individual tree ages of the height-40 trees average 0.6 year older than the nominal breast-height age (8.0) of the study. If nominal age had been used instead of known individual tree ages, the overestimate of height at age 8 would have been 1.8 feet and about 10 points of site index.)
Growth and Yield	Growth and yield of the basic study treatments generally behaved as expected from results of other LOGS installations. Differences in growth were confirmed by the
	analysis of variance. Higher levels of growing stock gave greater increment and greater volume yields. The assumption that there is a nearly constant gross volume increment over a wide range of growing stock was not supported by the results of this—or other—LOGS studies. The late thinning treatments also confirmed the point; if the initial cut (to waste) wood-volume was included as part of gross cumulative yield each late thinning treatment produced more total yield per acre than its comparable early treatment. The reason; each acre carried <i>more</i> growing stock for a longer period of time. However, with the first thinning removal considered as waste and excluded from total yield (as shown in figs. 15 and 16), the late thinning treatments each produced <i>less</i> total yield (including ongoing thinning) than did each respective early treatment.

The benefits of thinning were twofold. The reduced levels of growing stock redistributed growth to different diameter classes than on unthinned stands. Important proportionate shifts of yield to larger log-grade diameter classes began 10 years after first thinning. The thinning treatments favored development of large-diameter trees (see board-foot units, fig. 12). The second benefit was increased, total board-foot yield from the harvest of early thinnings. This is most evident in figure 16. Volume by Tree Sizes Treatment 2, an early heavy thinning followed by successive lighter thinnings, produced the greatest number of 16-inch and larger diameter trees. Treatment 2 was a balance between two opposing factors; low production from severe understocking (treatments 1 and L-1) and increased individual tree growth from a reduced level of growing stock. The lowest level of growing stock produced 22-inch and larger tree diameter classes most rapidly. It should be possible to write a general silvicultural prescription that would produce the relative diameter class distributions comparable to any of the study treatments. **Dollar Value: Final Net** Because no two local log markets have identical conditions, absolute local dollar Value at Age 42 values are of limited interest. Relative value, on the other hand, helps interpret the usefulness of the results of treatments. Dollar value was estimated by holding logging costs and log values of variables not affected by log size as constants and by assigning local varying costs and values to variables influenced by log size. Then all costs and values, on a per-acre basis, were carried at interest until age 42, constituting a final net value analysis. The cost and value, if any, of wood removed in the calibration thinning was not included in the value analysis because the major function served by that thinning was to balance starting conditions for the experiment. In practice, the equivalent of that thinning would be accomplished as part of the first thinning. We therefore believe that our interpretation of practical worth of treatments should not be influenced by assigning either cost or value to the calibration thinning. We do not think that growth effects induced by the calibration thinning would alter the interpretation of value results. As of age 42, early dollar returns from the commercial value of the first late thinning exceeded the value of size differences created by early thinning treatments. Age 42 is, however, not yet the final answer to questions about treatment worth. Trees in the lower stocked wider spacings are approaching a size where significant log grade and value increases will occur. Another major change is likely in both the Douglas-fir control and the unthinned western hemlock. There has not yet been substantial mortality in either. With the expected onset of mortality, the wood volume and relative value of both will be reduced whereas that of other treatments will continue to increase. Those volume and value increases will probably continue for several decades. Thinning young plantations to produce larger trees is worthwhile. The thinning process (with accumulated values and altered stand structures) produced greater final net stumpage value at age 42 than the alternative of planting and leaving 600 stems per acre without further tending.

	Differences between dollar value of treatments help interpret noncommodity applica- tions. Wildlife specialists who have visited the Francis plots believe that some of the stem distributions (fig. 17 and table 15) and other attributes created by treatments are desirable for some forms of wildlife. ² If a specific treatment was prescribed to meet wildlife needs, value differences from alternative treatments (fig. 18) would directly estimate the "cost"; that is, relative loss of value in meeting that need. The similar values across the wide range of Douglas-fir plantation growing-stock regimes suggest that carefully applied silvicultural prescriptions might meet some wildlife needs at little or no loss of value to the landowner.
Crop Trees	On nonthinned control plots, trees shifted their relative dominance; some original crop trees selected at age 15 were in subdominant diameter classes 14 years later, and average diameter of crop trees was 1.5 inches smaller than the diameter of the 80 largest trees per acre.
	The average size of the 80 largest trees per acre was 0.5 to 0.8 inch larger at age 15 than the selected 80 crop trees per acre. This resulted from the defined spacing re- quirement specified by the study plan that four crop trees must appear in each quarter plot and that none be closer than 13.5 feet to another crop tree. The requirement sacrificed tree size for spacing. By age 29, the 80 largest trees per acre were as much as an inch larger than the 80 crop trees. Vigorous healthy-appearing trees selected as crop trees on spaced plots occasionally died from various causes.
	There seems to be little use in selecting fixed crop trees at such early ages.
Increment, Late Versus Early Thinning	If treatment L3 is disregarded because of the systematic error in thinned growing stock level (explained in RESULTS), the increment of the other three late treatments averaged 5-percent cubic-foot and 10-percent board-foot volume less for the period between age 25 and 42 than the increment of the early thinned treatments.
	Because the late-thinning plots had a higher average site index (128) than the four matched basic plots (124), the reported increment for late thinning would be greater than if growing as site index 124. A four-point change in site index translates into increment differences of 5 percent of cubic-foot annual volume increment and 7 percent of annual board-foot volume increment, the estimated percentages that the late thinning increment should be reduced to correct for the higher site index. (This is based on the basal area stocking and age of the treatments and estimations from a local empirical yield table [Chambers 1980]). By using the corrections, one could generalize that increment for ages 25 to 42 following late first thinning was about 10-percent less cubic-foot volume and 17-percent less board-foot volume than that of stands continuously thinned from early age, when both were thinned to the same levels of basal area growing stock and site index is identical at 124.
Acceleration of Growth by Thinning	Both basal area and volume growth increased for short periods as a result of thinning, especially on treatment 7. Percentage of volume increase from thinning was comparable to that reported for spruce by Assmann (1970, p. 230), and occurred at comparable levels of basal area growing stock (60 to 100 percent of control), and for a roughly similar type of thinning. The acceleration of growth was small and limited to a short period. This leads to three points of interpretation: (1) Results of this study support the idea that, for practical purposes, the gross growth in cubic feet of a fully

² Personal communication. 1995. Andrew Carey, research wildlife biologist, Forestry Sciences Laboratory, 3625 93d Ave. SW, Olympia, WA 98512-9193.

	stocked stand of a given species generally represents the maximum production of which the site is capable. (2) Because the modest growth acceleration occurred primarily at 70 percent of full stocking, and there were no comparable data at 80 to 90 percent of full stocking, we do not know how much or how long lasting growth acceleration might be as a result of thinning to higher levels of growing-stock stands (between 70 and 100 percent). (3) Perhaps what is seen here as a volume growth acceleration should be interpreted as a short-term reduction of growth on controls rather than results of acceleration of growth caused by thinning. This point needs further evaluation and a more general interpretation based on combined information from other installations in the LOGS study.
Future Use of the Study	The trends of MAI and CAI indicate clearly that all treatments are still far from culmin- ation of volume increment. This suggests that these stands are still far short of any reasonable rotation age. Also, with major mortality expected in the near future on the control, coupled with increases in density expected on the thinned plots, there likely will be major shifts among treatments in relative cumulative net volume production totals over the next decade or two.
	In view of the reported changes in tree size and stand structure among treatments, and expected changes in log grades and values, it is important that the study be maintained for at least the next two decades.
	The study also has major value as an on-the-ground demonstration of the effective- ness of thinning in young stands to produce alternative stand conditions that may be desired to meet aesthetic or wildlife goals, along with timber production.
Acknowledgments	We appreciate the work of C. J. Chambers, Biometrician for the Department of Nat- ural Resources, for adapting and running routine programs that assigned log grade board-foot volume and current market values for the trees in the value analysis in this study.
English Equivalents	1 centimeter = 0.3937 inch
	1 meter = 3.2808 feet
	1 square meter = 10.7643 square feet
	1 cubic meter = 35.3107 cubic feet
	1 hectare = 2.47105 acres
	1 square meter per hectare = 4.3560 square feet per acre
	1 cubic meter per hectare = 14.2913 cubic feet per acre
Literature Cited	Assmann, Ernst. 1970. The principles of forest yield study. New York: Pergamon Press. 506 p.
	Brackett, Michael. 1973. Notes on tree tarif volume computation. Resour. Mgmt. Rep. 24. Olympia, WA: Department of Natural Resources. 26 p.
	Bruce, David; DeMars, Donald J. 1974. Volume equation for second-growth Douglas-fir. Res. Note PNW-239. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 5 p.
	Chambers, Charles J. 1980. Empirical growth and yield tables for the Douglas-fir zone. DNR Rep. No. 41. Olympia, WA: Department of Natural Resources. 50 p.

- **Chambers, Charles; Smego, Jim. 1983.** How to use program DNRPN&W and DNR forest investment analysis: management costs, prices and yields. Intnl. Rep. Olympia, WA: Department of Natural Resources. 42 p.
- Curtis, Robert O. 1982. A simple index of stand density for Douglas-fir. Forest Science. 28(1): 92-94.
- **Curtis, Robert O.; Clendenen, Gary W.; DeMars, Donald J. 1981.** A new stand simulator for coast Douglas-fir: DFSIM users guide. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-128. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 79 p.
- Handley, D.L. 1976. The yield potential of western hemlock. In: Atkinson, William A.; Zasoski, Robert J., eds.: Proceedings, western hemlock management conference; 1976 May;. Seattle, WA. Seattle, WA: University of Washington, College of Forest Resources: 221-227.
- Henderson, Jan A.; Peter, David H.; Lesher, Robin D.; Shaw, David D. 1989.
 Forested plant associations of the Olympic National Forest. R6-Ecol. Tech. Pap. 001-88. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region. 502 p.
- Hoyer, G.E. 1975. Measuring and interpreting Douglas-fir management practices. DNR Rep. No. 26. Olympia, WA: Department of Natural Resources. 80 p.
- Hyink, D.M., Scott, W. and Leon, R.M. 1988. Some important aspects in the development of a managed stand growth model for western hemlock: In: Proceedings of an IURFO Conference; 1987 August 23-27; Minneapolis, MN. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-120. St. Paul, MN. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Experiment Station. 579 p.
- Jorgensen, C. 1957. Thinning experiments. Tech. Publ. T.-45. Victoria, BC: Department of Lands and Forests, British Columbia Forest Service. 24 p.
- King, James E. 1966. Site index curves for Douglas-fir in the Pacific Northwest. Weyerhaueser For. Pap. No. 8. Centralia, WA: Weyerhaeuser Forestry Research Center. 49 p.
- **Northwest Log Rules Advisory Group. 1982.** Official log scaling and grading rules. Tacoma, WA: Puget Sound Log Scaling and Grading Bureau.
- Pringle, Russell F. 1986. Soil survey of Gray's Harbor area, Pacific County and Wahkiakum County, Washington. [Place of publication unknown]: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 296 p.
- Reineke, L.H. 1933. Perfecting a stand density index for even-aged forests. Journal of Agriculture Research. 46: 627-638.
- **SAS Institute Inc. 1985.** SAS® user's guide: statistics, version 5 edition. Cary, NC. 956 p.
- Snedecor, George W.; Cochran, William G. 1967. Statistical methods. Ames, IA: lowa State University Press. 543 p.
- **Staebler, George R. 1959.** Optimum levels of growing stock for managed stands. Proceedings, Society of American Foresters: 110-113.

	Staebler, George R. 1960. Theoretical deriva Douglas-fir. Forest Science. 6(2): 98-109.	ation of numerical thinning schedules for										
	Turnbull, K.J. 1970. Comprehensive tarif tab volume. In: Proceedings, 6th World Forestr Spain. Madrid, Spain: Direction De Montes 2437-2439. Vol. 2.	les of tree volume and log-position y Congress; 1966 June 6-18; Madrid, , Caza Y Pesca, Fluvial, Madrid:										
	Wiley, Kenneth N. 1978. Site index tables for western hemlock in the Pacific North- west. Weyerhaeuser Forestry Pap. 17. Centralia, WA: Weyerhaeuser Co. 28 p.											
	Wiley, Kenneth N.; Bower, David R.; Shaw, Dale L.; Kovich, David G. 1978. Standard cubic-feet table for total-and merchantable-stem volumes and tariff access for western hemlock in Washington and Oregon. Centralia, WA: Weyerhaeuser For. Pap. 18. Western Forest Research Center. 157 p.											
Appendix 1: Description of Experiment	The experiment was designed to test several stands made alike at the start through a "calik time required for 60 feet of height growth, gro specified addition to the growing stock betwee growth was cut and was one of the measured	thinning regimes beginning in young pration" thinning. Thereafter, through the wing stock was controlled by allowing a en successive thinnings. Any extra d effects of the thinning regime.										
Experimental Design	A single experiment consists of eight thinning growth is the basis for treatment in these regi ment, arranged in a completely randomized d plots.	regimes plus unthinned plots whose mes. There are three plots per treat- esign for a total of 27 one-fifth acre										
	Interaction of site quality and treatment can b on each site quality class. Cooperative effort	e evaluated by replicating installations has made this replication possible.										
	Crop tree selection and details of the initial "calibration" thinning are given in the methods section.											
Treatments	The eight thinning regimes differ in the amount in the growing stock. The amount of growth re- mined percentage of the gross increase found thinning (see the table on the inside front cov all thinned plots after the calibration thinning is growing stock accumulation is based. As used may be thought of as providing a "local gross	nt of basal area allowed to accumulate etained at any thinning is a predeter- d in the unthinned plots since the last er). The average residual basal area for s the foundation on which all future d in the study, the three control plots yield table" for the study area.										
	For example, the following procedure was use stock for each treatment for the beginning of gross square-foot basal area increment per a area increment plus mortality.	ed to determine the level of growing the third treatment period. The average cre of the control plots equals net basal										
	Net basal area increment per acre	50.8 (12.7 4 years)										
	Basal area of mortality per acre	<u>2.0</u> (0.5 4 years)										
	Gross basal area increment per acre	52.8										

Treatment no.	Basal area to be retained ¹	Basal areaat beginning second treatment period ²	Gross + basal = area increment	Calculated basal area at beginning of third treatment
	Percent		Square feet per a	cre – – – – – – –
1	10	38.0	5.3	43.5 ³
2	30	42.6	15.9	58.5
3	30	55.8	15.9	71.7
	50	60.3	26.5	86.8
5	50	73.3	26.5	99.8
6	30	68.9	15.9	84.8
7	70	90.1	37.1	127.2
8	50	84.4	26.5	110.9

the calculated basal area level in square feet per acre by treatment at the beginning of the third treatment period is:

¹ See the treatment schedule on the inside front cover.

² See table 10.

³ Example calculation: 10 percent of 52.8 = 5.3; 38.2 + 5.3 = 43.5.

Thinning Interval Control of Type of Thinning After the calibration thinning, thinnings were made whenever average height growth of crop trees comes closest to each multiple of 10 feet.

As far as possible, type of thinning is eliminated as a variable in the treatment. The thinning specifications are described in detail in "Methods."

Table 6--Height-40; mean height of 40 largest trees per acre by treatment, total age, and year at beginning of period^a

Treatment	1963 (15) ^b	1966 (18)	1969 (21)	1973 (25)	1977 (29)	1981 (33)	1985 (37)	1990 (42)
			F	eet				
Fixed:								
1	26.3	35.0	43.5	54.9	65.2	75.1	83.9	96.8
3	27.4	35.2	43.2	55.3	66.8	75.3	84.9	98.5
5	29.2	36.6	45.1	56.6	70.5	80.3	89.0	101.5
7	26.1	34.5	43.5	54.9	65.8	75.5	85.9	96.5
Average				55.4	67.1	76.5	85.9	98.3
Increasing:								
2	28.7	35.5	44.3	56.6	70.0	79.7	88.6	102.1
4	28.4	36.8	45.9	57.0	69.2	77.4	86.1	97.5
Decreasing:								
6	26.9	36.3	44.6	56.1	64.5	74.4	85.0	100.0
8	26.5	35.2	44.3	54.9	67.1	76.5	84.6	96.3
Unthinned:								
Control	27.3	35.7	44.6	54.4	68.6	76.9	85.1	97.5
Late thinned:								
Late 1				55.8	65.4	74.0	81.6	92.8
Late 3				55.1	65.0	74.6	82.2	93.4
Late 5				58.9	70.1	79.4	86.0	97.9
Late 7				54.7	64.5	73.3	80.0	91.9
Average				56.1	66.2	75.3	82.4	94.0
WHC ^c					63	72	80	90

-- = missing data.

^a Respective average ages for WHC for 1977-90 are 26, 30, 34, and 39 years. Height-40 is computed from a sample of 4 to 6 trees taken from the diameter range of the 40 largest per acre.

^b Stand age in parenthesis.

° WHC - western hemlock.

DIAMETER, 40 LARGEST TREES PER ACRE

1963 (15)ª	1966 (18)	1969 (21)	1973 (25)	1977 (29)	1981 (33)	1985 (37)	1990 (42)
·····			Inches	<u></u>			
4.9	6.8	8.4	10.8	13.3	15.2	17.1	19.7
5.3	7.3	8.9	11.4	13.6	15.2	16.7	18.7
5.2	7.1	8.8	11.2	13.1	14.7	16.1	17.9
5.0	6.9	8.6	10.7	12.5	13.8	15.2	16.6
5.6	7.6	9.3	11.9	14.2	16.3	18.0	20.2
5.2	7.1	8.9	11.4	13.4	15.1	16.7	18.5
5.1	7.1	8.9	11.2	13.1	14.9	16.8	19.0
4.8	6.7	8.4	10.4	12.0	13.5	15.0	16.5
5.3	7.0	8.5	10.2	11.5	12.7	13.6	14.9
:							
			9.1	11.1	13.3	15.1	17.6
			9.4	11.1	12.7	14.3	16.3
			10.0	11.5	13.0	14.4	16.2
			9.9	11.5	13.0	14.3	15.8
	1963 (15)* 4.9 5.3 5.2 5.0 5.6 5.2 5.1 4.8 5.3 : 	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				

Table 7--Mean diameter of 40 largest trees per acre by treatment, total age, and year at beginning of period

-- = missing data.

^a Stand age in parenthesis.

Table 8--Number of live trees per acre by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age

			Live trees													
		Calib	ration	Per	iod 1	Per	iod 2	Per	iod 3	Per	iod 4	Per	iod 5	Per:	iod 6	
Treatment	Plot	After cut 1963 (15)*	Before cut 1966 (18)	After cut 1966 (18)	Before cut 1969 (21)	After cut 1969 (21)	Before _cut 1973 (25)	After cut 1973 (25)	Before cut 1977 (29)	After cut 1977 (29)	Before cut 1981 (33)	After cut 1981 (33)	Before cut 1985 (37)	After cut 1985 (37)	Before cut 1990 (42)	
							Tree:	s per a	acre							
Late Thinn	ing:															
Late 1	32						1540	160	160	90	90	60	60	60	60	
	34						990	160	160	85	85	60	60	60	60	
	37						940	165	165	95	95	65	65	65	65	
Avg.							1157	162	162	90	90	62	62	62	62	
Late 3	30						1410	275	275	220	220	170	170	170	170	
	31						1510	270	270	215	215	165	165	165	165	
	33						1150	275	270	215	210	155	155	155	155	
Avg.							1357	273	272	217	215	163	163	163	163	
Late 5	35						1045	380	380	330	330	275	270	270	265	
	36						905	375	375	335	335	300	295	295	295	
	38						870	375	370	350	345	305	285	285	260	
Avg.							940	377	375	338	337	293	283	283	273	
Late 7	28						1350	520	515	465	465	430	420	420	420	
	29						1250	590	590	540	540	485	480	480	475	
	39						880	465	460	450	445	410	400	400	375	
Avg.							1160	525	522	485	483	442	433	433	423	
WHC Avg. b									2738	2738	1960	1960	1213	1213	1017	

Table 8--Number of live trees per acre by treatment, plot, treatment period, year and stand age (continued)

-- = missing data.

• Stand age in parenthesis.

^b WHC = western hemlock. Western hemlock is 1 year younger than the age given for Douglas-fir.

		Quadatric Mean Diameter													
		Calib	ration	Per	iod 1	Per	iod 2	Per	iod 3	Per	iod 4	Per	iod 5	Per	iod 6
Treatment	Plot	After cut 1963 (15)*	Before cut 1966 (18)	After cut 1966 (18)	Before cut 1969 (21)	After cut 1969 (21)	Before cut 1973 (25)	After cut 1973 (25)	Before cut 1977 (29)	After cut 1977 (29)	Before cut 1981 (33)	After cut 1981 (33)	Before cut 1985 (37)	After cut 1985 (37)	Before cut 1990 (42)
							Inche	s							
lxea: 1	6 20 27	4.0 3.4 3.2	5.6 5.0 4 7	5.7 5.1	7.5 6.8 6.1	7.5 7.1	10.0 9.5 8.4	10.5 10.0	13.1 12.5	13.1 12.7 10.9	15.5 15.1 13.0	15.4 15.5 13.1	17.6 17.8	17.6 17.8 15.1	20.3 20.6
Avg.	2,	3.6	5.1	5.2	6.8	6.9	9.3	9.7	12.2	12.2	14.5	14.7	16.8	16.8	19.5
3 Avg.	9 10 22	3.5 3.5 3.9 3.7	5.1 5.0 5.6 5.2	5.0 5.1 5.8 5.3	6.6 6.7 7.5 6.9	6.7 6.8 7.6 7.0	8.7 8.9 9.9 9.2	8.7 9.2 10.3 9.4	10.4 11.3 12.3 11.4	10.3 11.6 12.9 11.6	11.7 13.5 14.6 13.3	11.8 13.9 15.1 13.6	13.1 15.8 16.8 15.2	13.1 15.8 16.8 15.2	14.5 17.7 18.9 17.0
5 Avg.	8 16 18	3.8 3.9 3.6 3.7	5.3 5.4 5.1 5.3	5.3 5.4 5.2 5.3	6.8 6.8 6.6 6.7	6.9 6.9 6.7 6.8	8.7 8.8 8.6 8.7	8.8 8.9 8.6 8.8	10.3 10.4 10.2 10.3	10.4 10.5 10.2 10.4	11.6 11.9 11.6 11.7	11.5 11.9 11.7 11.7	12.6 13.1 12.9 12.8	12.6 13.1 12.9 12.8	14.0 14.4 14.3 14.2
7 Avg.	1 21 23	3.7 3.3 3.6 3.5	5.3 4.8 5.2 5.1	5.3 4.8 5.2 5.1	6.9 6.3 6.5 6.6	6.9 6.3 6.6 6.6	8.6 8.0 8.2 8.2	8.6 8.0 8.2 8.2	10.0 9.4 9.5 9.6	10.0 9.3 9.5 9.6	11.1 10.5 10.5 10.7	11.1 10.5 10.5 10.7	12.2 11.5 11.4 11.7	12.2 11.5 11.4 11.7	13.4 12.8 12.5 12.9
Increasing	1:														
2 Avg.	3 5 11	3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9	5.5 5.4 5.5 5.5	5.8 5.8 6.0	7.5 7.6 7.8 7.6	7.7 7.8 8.2 7.9	10.2 10.2 10.8 10.4	10.4 10.6 11.5 10.8	12.4 12.9 14.1 13.1	12.5 13.2 14.1 13.2	14.3 15.0 16.3 15.2	14.5 15.0 16.2 15.2	16.0 16.6 18.3 17.0	16.0 16.6 18.3 17.0	18.0 18.7 20.5 19.0
4	12 14 15	3.7 3.7 3.9	5.2 5.3 5.5	5.3 5.2 5.6	6.9 6.9 7.4	6.9 7.0 7.4	9.0 9.1 9.8	9.1 9.1 9.8	10.8 11.0 11.9	10.8 11.0 12.0	12.3 12.5 13.7	12.5 12.5 13.7	13.8 13.9 15.2	13.8 13.9 15.2	15.3 15.4 16.6
Avg.		3.8	5.4	5.4	7.1	7.1	9.3	9.3	11.2	11.3	12.8	12.9	14.3	14.3	15.7
Decreasing	J:														
6 Avg.	2 13 26	4.0 3.7 3.1 3.6	5.7 5.3 4.7 5.2	5.9 5.4 4.7 5.3	7.6 6.9 6.1 6.9	7.7 7.0 6.2 6.9	9.8 9.1 7.9 8.9	10.1 9.2 8.0 9.1	11.9 11.1 9.5 10.8	12.3 11.5 9.6 11.1	14.0 13.3 11.1 12.8	14.5 13.7 11.5 13.2	16.2 15.3 13.1 14.9	16.2 15.3 13.1 14.9	18.1 17.2 14.9 16.7
8 Avg.	7 24 25	3.7 3.2 3.3 3.4	5.2 4.7 4.9 4.9	5.2 4.7 4.9 4.9	6.6 6.1 6.3 6.3	6.6 6.1 6.3 6.3	8.1 7.7 7.8 7.9	8.1 7.8 7.9 7.9	9.3 9.0 9.2 9.2	9.4 9.1 9.2 9.2	10.4 10.3 10.2 10.3	10.4 10.4 10.3 10.4	11.4 11.6 11.3 11.4	11.4 11.6 11.3 11.4	12.5 12.8 12.4 12.6
2															
Unthinned: Control	: 4 17 19	3.3 3.2 3.3	4.0 4.0 4.2	4.0 4.0 4.2	4.6 4.8 4.9	4.6 4.8 4.9	5.3 5.8 5.9	5.3 5.8 5.9	5.8 6.6 6.7	5.8 6.6 6.7	6.2 7.1 7.5	6.2 7.1 7.5	6.9 8.2 8.4	6.9 8.2 8.4	7.9 9.1 9.5
Ava.		3.3	4.0	4.0	4.8	4.8	5.6	5.6	6.4	6.4	7.0	7.0	7.8	7.8	8.8

Table 9--Quadratic mean diameter for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age

			Quadatric Mean Diameter													
		Calibration		Per	iod 1	Per	Period 2		Period 3		iod 4	Period 5		Period 6		
Treatment	Plot	After cut 1963 (15)*	Before cut 1966 (18)	After cut 1966 (18)	Before cut 1969 (21)	After cut 1969 (21)	Before cut 1973 (25)	After cut 1973 (25)	Before cut 1977 (29)	After cut 1977 (29)	Before cut 1981 (33)	After cut 1981 (33)	Before cut 1985 (37)	After cut 1985 (37)	Before cut 1990 (42)	
							Inche	<u>s</u>								
Late thing	ina:															
Late 1	32						4.8	7.0	8.7	9.9	12.2	12.7	14.8	14.8	17.3	
	34						5.8	7.1	8.7	10.2	12.1	12.6	14.4	14.4	16.7	
	37						5.5	7.0	8.4	9.6	11.5	12.0	13.7	13.7	15.9	
Avg.							5.4	7.0	8.6	9.9	12.0	12.4	14.3	14.3	16.6	
Late 3	30						4.7	6.9	8.3	8.4	10.0	10.2	11.4	11.4	13.0	
	31						5.1	7.0	8.4	8.6	10.2	10.4	12.0	12.0	13.6	
	33						5.6	7.1	8.4	8.5	10.2	10.6	12.0	12.0	13.7	
Avg.							5.1	7.0	8.4	8.5	10.1	10.4	11.8	11.8	13.5	
Late 5	35						5.7	7.0	8.1	8.2	9.4	9.6	10.7	10.7	12.0	
	36						5.7	7.0	8.1	8.2	9.1	9.2	10.1	10.1	11.2	
	38						6.5	7.0	8.0	8.0	9.0	9.1	10.2	10.2	11.8	
Avg.							6.0	7.0	8.1	8.1	9.2	9.3	10.3	10.3	11.6	
Late 7	28						4.9	6.8	7.9	7.9	8.8	8.8	9.6	9.6	10.6	
	29						4.9	6.3	7.3	7.3	8.2	8.3	9.0	9.0	9.8	
	39						6.3	7.1	8.1	8.1	9.0	9.1	9.9	9.9	11.0	
Avg.							5.4	6.7	7.7	7.8	8.7	8.7	9.5	9.5	10.5	
Avg. ₩HCÞ	_		-	-					4.2	4.2	5.2	5.2	6.7	6.7	7.6	

Table 9--Quadratic mean diameter for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age (continued)

-- = missing data.

• Stand age in parenthesis.

 $^{\flat}$ WHC = western hemlock. Western hemlock is 1 year younger than the age given for Douglas-fir.

							Basa	al ar	eas						
		Calib	ration	Per	iod 1	Per	iod 2	Per	iod 3	Per	iod 4	Per	iod 5	Per	iod 6
Treatment	Plot	After cut 1963 (15)°	Before cut 1966 (18)	After cut 1966 (18)	Before cut 1969 (21)	After cut 1969 (21)	Before cut 1973 (25)	After cut 1973 (25)	Before cut 1977 (29)	After cut 1977 (29)	Before cut 1981 (33)	After cut 1981 (33)	Before cut 1985 (37)	After cut 1985 (37)	Before cut 1990 (42)
					<u>Squ</u>	are fe	et per	acre							
Fixed: 1 Avg.	6 20 27	36.1 25.6 23.0 28.2	68.4 54.0 48.1 56.8	32.7 33.6 34.1 33.5	56.3 59.9 58.2 58.2	38.5 38.4 37.1 38.0	68.8 66.6 67.7 67.7	44.8 43.3 44.4 44.1	70.0 68.5 70.6 69.7	46.6 48.0 48.2 47.6	65.4 68.3 68.8 67.5	51.9 52.4 51.6 52.0	67.4 69.2 68.6 68.4	67.4 69.2 68.6 68.4	90.0 92.5 91.7 91.4
3 Avg.	9 10 22	27.6 27.4 33.7 29.5	56.9 56.1 69.9 61.0	38.0 34.8 38.1 37.0	64.8 59.8 64.9 63.2	55.7 56.0 55.7 55.8	94.8 97.7 94.0 95.5	71.9 71.9 71.8 71.9	100.7 107.8 103.9 104.1	84.1 84.9 85.9 85.0	108.7 114.0 110.3 111.0	94.6 94.7 92.8 94.1	116.2 121.8 115.7 117.9	116.2 121.8 115.7 117.9	143.1 154.3 145.5 147.6
5 Avg.	8 16 18	31.5 33.3 28.3 31.1	62.0 64.5 57.7 61.4	51.9 52.6 52.4 52.3	83.2 83.8 85.5 84.2	73.3 73.1 73.6 73.3	117.8 117.6 122.5 119.3	99.7 99.4 100.1 99.7	130.5 132.5 135.3 132.8	121.4 123.5 123.7 122.8	149.5 157.4 160.1 155.7	138.1 140.1 137.1 138.4	164.4 167.5 167.4 166.4	164.4 167.5 167.4 166.4	198.9 198.1 205.0 200.7
7 Avg.	1 21 23	30.4 23.6 28.2 27.4	62.6 51.5 59.3 57.8	60.8 51.5 59.3 57.2	99.8 88.0 94.2 94.0	91.1 88.0 91.3 90.1	140.3 140.2 142.1 140.9	128.3 128.2 128.6 128.3	174.7 174.2 172.8 173.9	158.4 158.4 158.4 158.4	194.8 199.6 192.7 195.7	181.1 181.9 180.6 181.2	214.8 220.3 211.8 215.6	214.8 220.3 211.8 215.6	252.8 262.6 250.4 255.3
Increasin															
2 Avg.	3 5 11	33.5 32.8 34.4 33.6	66.9 64.3 67.4 66.2	34.6 33.6 34.5 34.2	57.7 58.1 58.2 58.0	42.5 42.8 42.5 42.6	74.4 73.5 73.5 73.8	58.5 58.4 57.9 58.3	79.9 85.6 86.6 84.0	76.5 76.0 75.4 76.0	99.7 98.4 100.9 99.7	91.4 92.4 93.4 92.4	112.0 113.3 118.3 114.5	112.0 113.3 118.3 114.5	141.1 143.0 148.4 144.2
4	12 14 15	29.7 30.8 33.3	60.3 62.3 67.3	38.0 38.3 38.1	65.1 67.0 65.5	60.2 60.3 60.3	100.8 100.0 103.8	87.2 91.2 86.7 88 4	121.2 131.6 127.9	112.1 112.9 113.6	144.6 144.4 149.2 146.0	135.3 136.6 133.9	166.9 167.7 163.7	166.9 167.7 163.7	203.8 206.1 195.2 201.7
Avg.		51.5	05.5	50.1	00.9	00.5	101.5	00.4	120.9	112.9	140.0	155.2	100.1	100.1	201.
Decreasir 6 Avg.	ng: 2 13 26	35.5 31.0 21.2 29.2	71.7 62.6 47.5 60.6	51.5 52.2 47.5 50.4	84.7 84.9 81.8 83.8	69.2 68.2 69.3 68.9	113.6 114.0 113.6 113.7	85.6 87.5 84.9 86.0	120.3 126.7 120.9 122.6	94.2 93.1 95.0 94.1	122.3 124.5 128.1 125.0	97.3 96.7 94.1 96.0	121.3 120.8 122.1 121.4	121.3 120.8 122.1 121.4	151.6 152.6 158.4 154.2
8 Avg.	7 24 25	30.2 23.0 24.1 25.7	60.2 48.9 52.4 53.9	60.2 48.9 52.4 53.9	92.7 82.1 85.6 86.8	85.6 82.1 85.6 84.4	126.1 129.1 132.2 129.1	113.3 113.0 111.9 112.7	149.4 153.8 149.8 151.0	131.6 131.1 133.5 132.1	161.2 168.4 165.4 165.0	140.8 140.7 145.5 142.4	167.1 171.1 173.2 170.4	167.1 171.1 173.2 170.4	201.2 209.5 208.3 206.4
Unthinned Control	1: 4 17 19	64.9 37.7 52.0	116.7 71.1 100.5	116.7 71.1 100.5	163.1 107.6 146.1	163.1 107.6 146.1	215.4 154.3 199.9	215.4 154.3 199.9	252.3 192.4 240.0	252.3 192.4 240.0	286.7 226.2 268.0	286.7 226.2 268.0	305.2 243.4 286.5	305.2 243.4 286.5	331.2 268.5 313.1
Avg.		51.5	96.1	96.1	138.9	138.9	189.9	189.9	228.2	228.2	260.3	260.3	278.4	278.4	304.3

Table 10--Basal area per acre for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year and stand age

Table 10--Basal area per acre for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year and stand age (continued)

. <u></u>							Basa	il ar	eas						
-		Calib	ration	Per	iod 1	Per	iod 2	Per	iod 3	Per	iod 4	Per	iod 5	Per	iod 6
Treatment	Plot	After cut 1963 (15)°	Before cut 1966 (18)	After cut 1966 (18)	Before cut 1969 (21)	After cut 1969 (21)	Before cut 1973 (25)	After cut 1973 (25)	Before cut 1977 (29)	After cut 1977 (29)	Before cut 1981 (33)	After cut 1981 (33)	Before cut 1985 (37)	After cut 1985 (37)	Before cut 1990 (42)
					Squa	are fe	et per a	acre							
Late thinn	ing:														
Late 1	32						189.5	42.9	65.9	48.2	73.0	52.8	71.7	71.7	97.6
	34						182.0	43.6	66.4	47.8	68.1	51.6	68.1	68.1	91.4
	37						155.6	43.8	63.3	48.1	69.0	51.4	66.9	66.9	90.1
Avg.							175.7	43.5	65.2	48.0	70.0	51.9	68.9	68.9	93.0
Late 3	30						166.5	72.3	103.5	84.9	119.0	95.8	121.3	121.3	157.9
	31						212.0	72.0	104.9	86.7	123.0	98.2	129.6	129.6	167.4
	33						195.0	76.1	104.7	85.7	118.2	94.9	122.4	122.4	158.3
Avg.							191.2	73.4	104.4	85.8	120.1	96.3	124.4	124.4	161.2
Late 5	35						187.0	100.9	137.6	122.1	158.5	136.8	168.5	168.5	208.1
Lave c	36						161.0	100.5	134.3	121.6	152.8	138.3	163.2	163.2	200.9
	38						201.5	99.5	128.9	121.9	152.9	138.4	163.0	163.0	196.5
Avg.							183.2	100.3	133.6	121.9	154.7	137.8	164.9	164.9	201.8
Late 7	28						179.0	129.8	173.2	158.2	195.9	180.8	211.4	211.4	255.9
Lace .	29						163.5	128.0	171.2	158.3	197.0	181.0	212.2	212.2	250.1
	39						184.5	127.4	163.6	161.4	197.6	184.9	215.8	215.8	246.7
Avg.							175.7	128.4	169.3	159.3	196.8	182.2	213.1	213.1	250.9
Avg. WHC⊳						 -			564.6	264.6	291.5	291.5	296.0	296.0	319.4

-- = missing data.

* Stand age in parenthesis.

^b WHC = western hemlock. Western hemlock is 1 year younger than the age given for Douglas-fir.

							Vo	lume							
		Calib	ration	Per	iod 1	Per	iod 2	Per	iod 3	Per	iod 4	Per	iod 5	Per	iod 6
Treatmen	at Plot	After cut 1963 (15)°	Before cut 1966 (18)	After cut 1966 (18)	Before cut 1969 (21)	After cut 1969 (21)	Before cut 1973 (25)	After cut 1973 (25)	Before cut 1977 (29)	After cut 1977 (29)	Before cut 1981 (33)	After cut 1981 (33)	Before cut 1985 (37)	After cut 1985 (37)	Before cut 1990 (42)
	<u> </u>					Cubic	feet p	er acr	e						
Fixed:															
1	6 20 27	410 268 233	1000 740 644	486 462 454	1011 1019 978	697 651 626	1527 1371 1350	1020 894 898	1844 1619 1686	1209 1131 1167	1914 1818 1925	1518 1427 1446	2155 2051 2094	2155 2051 2094	3148 3111 3220
Avç	1.	304	794	467	1002	658	1416	938	1716	1169	1886	1464	2100	2100	3160
3	9 10 22	292 284 366	805 786 1032	537 487 570	1089 998 1134	942 937 977	2017 2071 2012	1529 1525 1541	2490 2678 2632	2077 2116 2188	3081 3174 3161	2694 2631 2667	3609 3732 3700	3609 3732 3700	5014 5435 5273
Avg]•	314	875	531	1074	952	2033	1532	2600	2127	3139	2664	3680	3680	5241
5	8 16 18	360 387 318	904 952 827	757 777 752	1498 1529 1526	1322 1337 1317	2587 2606 2651	2201 2214 2161	3418 3503 3487	3185 3275 3181	4433 4736 4680	4093 4225 4005	5363 5573 5433	5363 5573 5433	7301 7379 7511
Avç].	355	894	762	1518	1325	2615	2192	3469	3214	4616	4108	5457	5457	7397
7	1 21 23	322 239 296	875 674 814	851 674 814	1744 1474 1615	1593 1474 1566	3013 2936 3028	2755 2683 2743	4461 4344 4383	4049 3945 4021	5639 5675 5554	5242 5166 5206	6956 6926 6753	6956 6926 6753	9218 9185 8963
AVÇ] -	286	/88	180	1611	1545	2992	2121	4396	4005	5623	5204	68/8	68/8	9122
Increasi	Da:														
2	3	384	1002	522	1033	765	1672	1314	2067	1977	2924	2706	3673	3673	5247
-	5	377	975	524	1078	805	1716	1381	2332	2076	3026	2846	3813	3813	5384
	11	391	1005	519	1042	764	1639	1299	2192	1898	2840	2644	3652	3652	5241
Avç	J.	384	994	522	1051	778	1676	1331	2197	1984	2930	2732	3713	3713	5291
4	12	329	873	550	1141	1056	2172	1879	3094	2858	4194	3926	5372	5372	7361
	14	353	922	568	1216	1101	2242	2051	3480	2999	4341	4112	5622	5622	7646
Avç	15 g.	382 354	991 929	562 560	1184 1180	1091 1083	2293 2236	1915 1948	3300 3291	2929 2929	4328 4288	3876 3971	5250 5415	5250 5415	7034 7347
Decreasi	ng:														
6	2 13 26	407 344 233	1061 895	770 746 664	1528 1476 -	1254 1182	2530 2448 2491	1920 1869	3061 3139 3123	2411 2278 2452	3566 3429 3767	2841 2622 2748	3979 3654 3847	3979 3654 3847	5743 5257 5644
Ave	20	328	873	727	1477	1216	2486	1882	3107	2380	3588	2737	3827	3827	5548
	, -	020	0.0			1210	2.00	1002		2000					
8	7	344	880	880	1665	1540	2788	2508	3872	3416	4838	4229	5454	5454	7263
	24	251	673	673	1416	1416	2796	2446	3897	3320	4955	4133	5496	5496	7451
	25	268	745	745	1514	1514	2900	2458	3835	3418	4913	4323	5665	5665	7561
Ave].	287	766	766	1532	1490	2828	2470	3868	3385	4902	4228	5539	5539	7425
Unthinne	ed:														
Contro	1 4	712	1657	1657	2848	2848	4570	4570	6284	6284	7832	7832	9259	9259	11478
	17	403	996	996	1880	1880	3278	3278	4820	4820	6246	6246	7519	7519	9463
3	19	565	1436	1436	2589	2589	4312	4312	6083	6083	7573	7513	8975	8975	10719
AVÇ	•	260	1363	1363	2439	2439	4053	4053	5729	5129	1211	1211	0004	0004	10/10

Table 11--Total stem cubic-foot volume per acre for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year and stand age

							Vo	lume							
		Calib	ration	Per	iod 1	Per	iod 2	Per	iod 3	Per	iod 4	Per	iod 5	Per	iod 6
Treatment	Plot	After cut 1963 (15)°	Before cut 1966 (18)	After cut 1966 (18)	Before cut 1969 (21)	After cut 1969 (21)	Before cut 1973 (25)	After cut 1973 (25)	Before cut 1977 (29)	After cut 1977 (29)	Before cut 1981 (33)	After cut 1981 (33)	Before cut 1985 (37)	After cut 1985 (37)	Before cut 1990 (42)
						Cubic	feet p	er acr	<u>.e</u>						
Late thinn	ing:														
Late 1	32							940	1646	1231	2083	1492	2177	2177	3292
	34							965	1689	1254	2012	1548	2210	2210	3286
	37							940	1556	1210	1926	1431	1998	1998	3011
Avg.							3749	948	1630	1232	2007	1490	2128	2128	3196
Late 3	30							1514	2507	2051	3319	2670	3702	3702	5301
	31							1571	2631	2191	3525	2823	4079	4079	5805
	33	~-						1673	2642	2176	3442	2797	3896	3896	5587
Avg.							4080	1586	2593	2140	3429	2763	3892	3892	5564
Late 5	35							2263	3582	3185	4656	4035	5388	5388	7416
	36							2241	3492	3168	4447	4027	5134	5134	6982
	38							2259	3401	3220	4522	4111	5265	5265	7111
Avg.							3909	2254	3491	3191	4542	4058	5263	5263	7170
Late 7	28							2661	4305	3934	5540	5111	6432	6432	8690
	29							2572	4186	3874	5494	5051	6346	6346	8329
	39							2669	4103	4049	5610	5254	6632	6632	8533
Avg.							3749	2634	4198	3952	5548	- 5139	6470	6470	8517
Avg. WHC ^b									6121	6121	8575	8575	9775	9775	11903

Table 11--Total stem cubic-foot volume per acre for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year and stand age (continued)

-- = missing data.

• Stand age in parenthesis.

^b WHC = western hemlock. Western hemlock is 1 year younger than the age given for Douglas-fir.

							Vo	lume							
		Calib	ration	Per	iod 1	Per	iod 2	Per	iod 3	Per	iod 4	Per	iod 5	Per	iod 6
Treatment	Plot	After cut 1963 (15)°	Before cut 1966 (18)	After cut 1966 (18)	Before cut 1969 (21)	After cut 1969 (21)	Before cut 1973 (25)	After cut 1973 (25)	Before cut 1977 (29)	e After cut 1977 (29)	Before cut 1981 (33)	After cut 1981 (33)	Before cut 1985 (37)	After cut 1985 (37)	Before cut 1990 (42)
						Board	l feet p	er acr	e						
Fixed:															
1	6	0	333	162	1496	1101	4610	3229	7414	4842	8657	6849	10458	10458	16317
	20	9	136	53	1000	720	3694	2575	6137	4316	7890	6297	975 4	9754	16000
	27	0	33	24	545	409	2847	2084	5784	3942	7865	5951	9505	9505	16095
Avg.		3	167	80	1014	743	3717	2629	6445	4367	8137	6366	9906	9906	16137
3	9	0	156	141	1094	1014	4667	3556	8106	6661	11713	10303	15314	15314	23345
	10	11	205	65	915	898	4973	3917	9467	7692	13226	11142	17246	17246	27293
	22	3	520	349	1843	1648	5829	4670	10073	8630	13819	11835	17733	17733	27176
Avg.		5	294	185	1284	1187	5156	4048	9216	7661	12919	11093	16764	16764	25938
5	8	0	202	197	1614	1449	61.51	5416	11216	10580	17008	15683	22568	22568	33957
	16	Õ	294	268	1822	1620	6510	5615	11672	11046	18656	16742	24193	24193	34919
	18	0	128	117	1308	1199	6020	4881	11112	10119	17791	15331	23149	23149	35190
Avg.		0	208	194	1581	1422	6227	5304	11333	10582	17818	159 1 9	23303	23303	34689
7	1	2	264	264	2010	1997	6868	6310	13919	12625	20549	19096	28643	28643	41709
,	21	0	54	54	1040	1040	5466	5016	12052	10849	19189	17464	26843	26843	39818
	23	õ	182	182	1472	1445	6113	5602	12630	11606	19026	17838	26085	26085	38587
Avg.		1	167	167	1507	1461	6149	5642	12867	11693	19588	18133	27190	27190	40038
increasing	:	0	419	228	1524	1220	5130	4091	7997	7678	12772	11930	17424	17424	26846
2	5	0	263	216	1754	1410	5357	4532	9403	8524	13738	12937	18510	18510	28005
	11	19	484	299	1782	1477	5345	4501	9048	7806	·12882	12008	17701	17701	27299
Avg.		6	389	248	1687	1369	5277	4375	8816	8003	13131	12292	17879	17879	27383
4	12	0	173	133	1251	1191	5412	4748	10590	9805	16691	15743	23692	23692	35265
-	14	Ő	243	109	1459	1379	5874	5399	12272	10651	17662	16766	25162	25162	37012
	15	0	294	207	1733	1617	6601	5561	12392	11020	18453	16516	24207	24207	34751
Avg.		0	237	150	1481	1396	5962	5236	11751	10492	17602	16341	24354	24354	35676
Dograading															
6	• 2	5	631	478	2468	2115	7340	5749	11344	9168	15347	12472	18984	18984	29716
Ŭ	13	0	204	169	1532	1272	5997	4687	10713	8034	14024	10862	16580	16580	25947
	26	0	35	35	788	666	4494	3486	8883	7028	13640	10275	16369	16369	26781
Avg.		2	290	227	1596	1351	5944	4641	10313	8077	14337	11203	17311	17311	27481
8	7	0	214	214	1613	1556	5713	5129	11135	9865	16623	14569	21169	21169	31429
0	24	0	32	32	832	832	4930	4440	10649	9081	16810	14120	21459	21459	32494
	25	Õ	104	104	1229	1229	5448	4713	10718	9530	16602	14764	21847	21847	32625
Avg.		0	117	117	1225	1206	5364	4761	10834	9492	16678	14484	21492	21492	32183
Unthinned:															
Control	4	0	240	240	1638	1638	5852	5852	11225	11225	17378	17378	24494	24494	36740
	17	0	54	54	862	862	3964	3964	9063	9063	15061	15061	22152	22152	33403
	19	1	305	305	1834	1834	6434	6434	12766	12766	19690	19690	27418	27418	40208
Avg.		0	200	200	1445	1445	5417	5417	11018	11018	17376	17376	24688	24688	36/83

Table 12--Board-foot volume in 16-foot logs to a 6-inch top, Scribner scale, for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age

Table 12--Board-foot volume in 16-foot logs to a 6-inch top, Scribner scale, for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age (continued)

							Vo	lume			-				
-		Calib	ration	Per	iod 1	Per	iod 2	Per	iod 3	Per	iod 4	Per	iod 5	Per	iod 6
Treatment	Plot	After cut 1963 (15)*	Before cut 1966 (18)	After cut 1966 (18)	Before cut 1969 (21)	After cut 1969 (21)	Before cut 1973 (25)	After cut 1973 (25)	Before cut 1977 (29)	After cut 1977 (29)	Before cut 1981 (33)	After cut 1981 (33)	Before cut 1985 (37)	After cut 1985 (37)	Before cut 1990 (42)
						Board	l feet p	er acr	e						
Late thinn	ing:								_						
Late 1	32							1464	4292	3761	8185	6021	9775	9775	16152
	34							1546	4439	3981	7978	6330	10023	10023	16287
	37							1472	3907	3616	7234	5559	8651	8651	14383
Avg.								1494	4213	3786	7799	5970	9483	9483	15607
Late 3	30							1852	5664	4644	10556	8753	14112	14112	23060
	31							2238	6328	5456	11819	9660	16328	16328	26134
	33							2707	6671	5608	11709	9961	15837	15837	25516
Avg.								2266	6221	5236	11361	9458	15426	15426	24904
Late 5	35							3404	8429	7666	14571	12928	19992	19992	31396
	36							3578	8362	7797	13718	12496	18078	18078	28225
	38							3724	8240	7889	14156	13190	19510	19510	30272
Avg.								3569	8344	7784	14149	12871	19193	19193	29964
Late 7	28							3065	8431	7806	14568	13422	20191	20191	32057
	29							2389	6939	6558	12771	12103	18293	18293	28497
	39							4745	10029	10007	16918	16027	23252	23252	34071
Avg.								3400	8466	8124	14752	13851	20579	20579	31542
Avg. WHC⊳									4623	4623	11113	11113	18518	18518	29546

-- = missing data.

• Stand age in parenthesis.

^b WHC = western hemlock. Western hemlock is 1 year younger than the age given for Douglas-fir.

CUMULATIVE YIELD, ENGLISH UNITS, ALL TREES

				Yield				
Treatment	1963 (15)ª	1966 (18)	1969 (21)	1973 (25)	1977 (29)	1981 (33)	1985 (37)	1990 (42)
			<u>Cub</u>	ic feet j	per acre			
				Net yie	eld			
rixea:	204	704	1220	2007	2066	2502	4210	5070
1	214	075	1329	2087	2800	3302	4219	JZ / 8 715/
с 5	355	801	1650	2498	4216	4578	6968	2000
7	286	788	1619	3067	4736	6354	8028	10271
Increasing:	200	,00	1019	5007	4750	0004	0020	10271
2	384	994	1523	2420	3286	4232	5212	6791
4	354	929	1549	2702	4045	5404	6847	8780
Decreasing:								
6	328	873	1623	2894	4119	5326	6417	8137
8	287	766	1532	2870	4267	5784	7095	8981
Unthinned:								
Control	560	1363	2439	4053	5729	7217	8584	10718
Late thinning.								
Late 1				948	1630	2406	3044	4112
Late 3				1586	2593	3882	5011	6683
Late 5				2254	3491	4842	6047	7954
Late 7				2634	4198	5794	7125	9173
				Gross Y	ield			
Fixed:	204	000	1240	0111	2000	2606	40.40	F 2 0 1
1	304	802	1340	2111	2889	3606	4242	530I 7166
5	355	907	1417	2490	1227	4390	7089	0091
7	286	788	1619	3072	4745	6363	8050	10369
Increasing:	200	,00	1019	3072	1,10	0000	0000	10000
2	384	998	1527	2425	3337	4283	5263	6841
4	354	929	1549	2709	4063	5422	6865	8798
Decreasing:								
6	328	874	1633	2903	4128	5336	6426	8146
8	287	766	1546	2913	4312	5829	7154	9040
Unthinned:	5.60	1075			50.51		0.01.0	11460
Control	560	13/5	2463	4112	5861	/38/	9019	11469
Late thinning."	>							
Late 1				948	1630	2406	3044	4112
Late 3				1586	2600	3892	5021	6693
Late 5				2254	3494	4845	6079	8016
Late 7				2634	4224	5832	7210	9333

Table 13--Total yield in cubic feet by treatment, year, and stand age

-- = missing data.

^a Stand age in parenthesis.

^b Wood cut in initial late thinning, in 1973, not included in cumulative yield.

			Tot	tal Yiel	.d			
- Treatment	1963 (15)°	1966 (18)	1969 (21)	1973 (25)	1977 (29)	1981 (33)	1985 (37)	1990 (42)
		Scribne	er board	feet pe	r acre,	16-foot	logs	
				Net yi	.eld			
Fixed:					5001	11000	15000	
1	3	167	1101	4075	7891	11662	15202	21433
3	5	294	1393	5362	10530	15788	21459	30633
5	1	208	1596	6400	12430	19666	27051	38436
To one of our	T	167	1507	6195	13420	21315	30372	43220
increasing:	C	200	1000	FJOC	10177	15005	20002	20207
2	0	389	1828	5/30	10177	10760	20892	30397
Pogrozging:	0	231	1300	6133	12650	19760	21113	39095
6	2	290	1659	6252	11021	10105	21292	21162
8	0	117	1225	5383	11456	18642	25649	36341
Unthinned.	U	11/	1225	5505	11450	10042	20040	30341
Control	0	200	1445	5417	11018	17376	24688	36783
Taba bhinninn.								
Late thinning:				1404	4010	0005	11720	17060
Late 1				1494	4213	12246	10214	1/002
Late 3				2260	0221	12340	21021	21902
Late 7				3369	8466	15094	21031	32785
Luce /				0 100	0 100	10051	21022	02700
				Gross y	yield			
Fixed.								
1	3	167	1101	4100	7917	11687	15227	21459
3	5	294	1393	5362	10545	15803	21474	30648
5	õ	208	1596	6404	12746	19982	27367	38913
7	1	167	1507	6195	13420	21315	30389	43399
Increasing:								
2	6	389	1828	5736	10370	15498	21085	30589
4	0	237	1568	6138	12667	19777	27790	39112
Decreasing:								
6	2	290	1666	6259	11932	18192	24300	34470
8	0	117	1225	5415	11488	18674	25682	36373
Unthinned:								
Control	0	200	1445	5432	11133	17491	24885	37150
Late thinning:								
Late 1				1494	4213	8225	11738	17862
Late 3				2266	6221	12346	18314	27792
Late 5				3569	8344	14708	21031	31809
Late 7				3400	8535	15165	21922	33094

Table 14--Total yield in board feet by treatment, year, and stand age

-- = missing data.

* Stand age in parenthesis.

NUMBER OF TREES BY DIAMETERS

Table 15--Number of live trees per acre, by diameter class, treatment at start of the calibration (age 15 in 1963) and at the end of the last measured treatment period (age 42 in 1990)

Treatments

 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 Control

 D.b.h. Start End
 Start End

								Trees	per a	acre								
Inches:																		
2																	2	
3	65		48		50		38		35		77		80		82		312	57
4	162		133		180		158		163		143		157		177		272	65
5	130		137		112		137		142		122		120		115		220	37
6	40		68		53		65		60		48		40		27	3	75	42
7	7		17		8		7		5		15		8		5	7	8	80
8	2		2		2					2				8		5		67
9														13		15		87
10										13		2		17		15		50
11								3		10		2		40		22		52
12						3		2		25		2		28		23		70
13		2				0		15		13		8		30		30		57
14		2		2		10		13		13		5		38		40		28
15		0		0		18		20		28		8		33		35		·22
16		0		2		12		18		23		10		32		12		17
17		5		2		8		33		13		13		23		15		5
18		2		12		8		12		20		18		15		12		5
19		5		23		12		15		10		10		3		5		
20		.7		12		13		15		5		10		2		2		
21		13		3		5		0		3		7						
22		3		10		3		2		2		7						
23		5		5		2		2				2						
24		0		2		0												
25		0		0		2												
26		2		2														
Total	405	45	405	73	405	97	405	150	405	182	405	103	405	283	405	240	888	738

NUMBER OF TREES BY DIAMETERS

Table 15--Number of live trees per acre, by diameter class, treatment at start of the calibration (age 15 in 1963) and at the end of the last measured treatment period (age 42 in 1990) (continued)

						Tr	eatme	nts						
-	L	ate 1ª		L	ate 3ª		I	ate 5	a	La	te 7ª		W	HC₽
- Dbh class	Sta before cut	e after cut	End	Sta before cut	art e afte cut	End r	Sta before cut	ert e afte cut	End r	Start before cut	e afte cut	End er	Start	End
							Trees	per ac	ere					
Inches:													0.47	
1	1.05						170						247	
2	195			249			123			205			500	10
1	173	17		249	22		115	12		155	16	~	468	82
4	182	35		193	35		112	42		150	112	2	334	199
5	102	55		195	55		112	15		150	112	J	554	100
6	152	23		157	63	2	80	57	10	137	116	12	218	159
7	110	18		117	50	0	108	70	15	115	107	45	148	153
8	95	37		95	55	3	90	60	22	75	70	42	87	127
9	47	20		55	37	5	70	43	17	50	4.5	50	37	120
10	18	10		17	8	5	42	22	28	20	12	53	18	75
11	3	2		7	3	13	20	8	27	13	7	32	3	47
12	2		3			13	8		30	5	3	55		28
13 ·			0			18	0		25	2	2	52		18
14			2			30	2		25			28		7
15			2			18			22			22		3
16			5			22			28			7		
17			20			13			10			12		
18			12			15			5			5		
19			12			5			8			2		
20			3									2		
21			3									0		
22												2		
23														
24														
25														
26														
[otal	1154	162	62	1357	273	163	940	377	272	1160	525	423	2738	1017

-- = missing data.

^a "Start" for all late thinnings was 1973 at age 25 before thinning cut, and WHC was 1977. Western hemlock is one year younger than the ages given for Douglas-fir.

^b WHC = western hemlock.

GROWTH, ENGLISH UNITS, ALL TREES

				Diameter	growth			
Treatment	Calib. (1963-66) (15-18)°	Period 1 (1966-69) (18-21)	Period 2 (1969-73) (21-25)	Period 3 (1973-77) (25-29)	Period 4 (1977-81) (29-33)	Period 5 (1981-85) (33-37)	Period 6 (1985-90) (37-42)	Total (1963-90) (15-42)
				Inches pe	<u>r year</u>			Total
Fired				Net gr	owth			Inches
rixed:	E 2		60	60	E O	E /	ED	15 10
1	.52	.55	.00	. 62	. 30	. 54	. 33	12.10
5	. J J E 1	. 34	. 34	.45	.41	• 4 L 2 0	.30	12.45
5	. 31	.40	.47	.30	. 3 3	.20	.20	10.22
/ Transsin	.00	.40	. 42	. 34	• 2 1	.25	. 23	9.33
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	y; E 2	FO	63	E 7	10	4.2	41	12 00
2	. 3 3	.59	.03	.57	.40	.43	.41	11 74
4 Degraadin	.00	.50	. 34	.40	. 3 9	.35	. 29	11.74
C	у: сл	E 2	40	4.4	4.2	41	27	12 00
0	• J 4 E 1	.52	.49	.44	.42	.41	. 37	12.09
Unthinned		.40	. 39	.32	• 21	.20	.23	9.02
Control	•	24	22	10	14	2.2	20	6 67
CONCLOT	.20	• 2 4	• 2 2	.10	•14	• 2 2	.20	5.57
Late thin	ning:							
Late 1				. 40	. 51	. 47	46	7.84
Late 3				.34	. 40	.36	. 33	6.03
Late 5				.27	.26	.26	.26	4.50
Late 7				.26	.22	.20	.19	3.65
				Survivor	${\tt growth}^{ m b}$			
Fixed:								
1	.52	.55	.60	.62	.58	.54	.53	15.20
3	.53	.54	.54	.49	.41	.41	.36	12.44
5	.51	.48	.47	.39	.33	.28	.27	10.20
7	.53	.48	.41	.34	.27	.25	.22	9.22
Increasing	g:							
2	.53	.59	.63	.59	.48	.43	.41	13.96
4	.53	.56	.54	.47	.39	.35	.29	11.73
Decreasin	g:							
6	.54	.52	.49	.44	.42	.41	.37	12.10
8	.51	.46	.39	.31	.27	.25	.23	8.95
Unthinned	:							
Control	.27	.24	.21	.15	.12	.11	.11	4.43
Toto this	nines							
Late inim	inting :			4.0	51	. 47	16	7 94
Late 1				.40		. 4 /	.40	6.00
Late 5				. 34	.39	. 30	. 3 3	4 21
Late J				. 2 1	.20	.23	.23	3 5 1
Date /				.20		.19	• 1 /	5.54

Table 16--Periodic annual quadratic mean diameter growth by treatment, treatment period, year, and stand age

-- = missing data.

* Stand age in parenthesis.

^b Includes only trees alive at the end of each period.

GROWTH, ENGLISH UNITS, ALL TREES

Table 17--Periodic annual basal area growth by treatment, treatment period, year, and stand age

			Basa	l area gi	rowth			
Treatment	Calib. (1963-66) (15-18)°	Period 1 (1966-69) (18-21)	Period 2 (1969-73) (21-25)	Period 3 (1973-77) (25-29)	Period 4 (1977-81) (29-33)	Period 5 (1981-85) (33-37)	Period 6 (1985-90) (37-42)	Total (1963-90) (15-42)
			Square	e feet per	acre per	year		Total ft ²
				Net gr	owth			<u>per acre</u>
Fixed:								
1	9.54	8.22	7.44	6.39	4.97	4.10	4.60	167.88
3	10.48	8.73	9.92	8.06	6.51	5.96	5.94	209.18
5	10.12	10.63	11.49	8.26	8.21	7.00	6.85	236.33
7	10.15	12.27	12.69	11.39	9.33	8.61	7.92	274.90
Increasin	g:							
2	10.89	7.92	7.80	6.44	5.93	5.53	5.93	188.85
4	10.68	9.25	10.30	9.63	8.29	7.72	7.12	239.15
Decreasin	g:							
6	10.45	11.14	11.20	9.15	7.72	6.35	6.56	235.25
8	9.37	10.98	11.18	9.56	8.24	7.02	7.18	240.96
Unthinned	:							
Control	14.85	14.29	12.73	9.59	8.03	4.50	5.18	252.72
Late thin	ning:							
Late 1				5 44	5 50	4 25	4 82	84 86
Late 3				7.73	8.58	7.03	7.36	130.14
Late 5				8.32	8,20	6.77	7.39	130.10
Late 7				10.23	9.39	7.73	7.56	147.18
				Gross g	rowth			
Fixed:	0 70		7 60	6 20	4 07	4 3 0		1.60.00
1	9.73	8.29	7.60	6.39	4.97	4.10	4.60	169.30
5	10.48	8.73	9.92	8.18	0.51	5.96	5.94	209.67
7	10.10	10.70	11.59	9.32	0.21	7.00	9 40	242.90
Increasin	10.13	12.27	12.75	11.43	9.33	0.15	0.40	270.21
2	10 98	7 92	7 80	6 88	5 93	5 5 3	5 93	190 89
4	10.68	9.25	10.39	9.76	8.29	7 72	7 12	240 04
Decreasin	a:		10000	50,0	0120			210001
6	10.48	11.30	11.20	9.15	7.72	6.35	6.56	235.79
8	9.37	11.27	11.52	9.57	8.24	7.15	7.18	243.77
Unthinned								
Control	15.13	14.56	13.23	10.51	8.54	7.52	7.59	286.19
Late thin	ning:							
Late 1				5.44	5.50	4.25	4.82	84.86
Late 3				7.81	8.62	7.03	7.36	130.61
Late 5				8.36	8.20	7.11	7.65	132.93
Late 7				10.49	9.51	8.16	8.05	152.89

-- = missing data.

÷

* Stand age data in parenthesis.

			Vo	lume grou	vth			
Treatment	Calib. (1963-66) (15-18)	Period 1 (1966-69) (18-21)	Period 2 (1969-73) (21-25)	Period 3 (1973-77) (25-29)	Period 4 (1977-81) (29-33)	Period 5 (1981-85) (33-37)	Period 6 (1985-19) (37-42)	Total (1963-90) (15-42)
			<u>Cubic Fo</u>	oot Per Ac Net grow	<u>re Per Yea</u> th	<u>ır</u>		Total ft ³ per acre
Fived.								
1	164	178	189	195	179	159	212	4974
1 3	187	181	270	267	253	254	312	6841
5	180	252	322	319	255	337	388	8553
7	167	277	362	417	404	418	449	9986
Increasing	10,	211	502	11,	10 1	110	115	2200
2	203	176	224	216	237	245	316	6406
4	191	207	288	336	340	361	386	8425
Decreasing	191	207	200	550	510	501	500	0 120
6	182	250	318	306	302	273	344	7809
8	160	255	334	349	379	328	377	8694
Unthinned	100	200	001	0.15	0,0	010	0,,,	0001
Control	268	359	403	419	372	342	427	10159
Late thinr	ning:							
Late 1				171	194	160	214	3163
Late 3				252	322	282	334	5097
Late 5				309	338	301	381	5700
Late 7				391	399	333	409	6539
				Gross g	rowth			
Fixed:								
1	166	179	193	195	179	159	212	4998
3	187	181	270	270	253	254	312	6852
5	181	253	324	346	351	337	399	8726
7	167	277	363	418	404	422	464	10083
Increasing	a :							
2	205	176	224	228	237	245	316	6457
4	191	207	290	338	340	361	386	8443
Decreasing	g:							
6	182	253	318	306	302	273	344	7818
8	160	260	342	350	379	331	377	8753
Unthinned	:							
Control	272	363	412	437	382	408	490	10909
Late thin	ning:							
Late 1				171	194	160	214	3163
Late 3				253	323	282	334	5107
Late 5				310	338	309	387	5762
Late 7				398	402	344	425	6699

Table 18--Periodic annual cubic-foot volume growth by treatment, teatment period, year, and stand age

-- = missing data.

^a Stand age in parenthesis.

GROWTH, ENGLISH UNITS, ALL TREES

Table 19--Periodic annual board-foot volume growth in 16-foot logs to a 6-inch top, Scribner scale, by treatment, treatment period, year, and stand age

	Volume growth										
Treatment numbers	Calib. (1963-66) (15-18)	Period 1 (1966-69) (18-21)	Period 2 (1969-73) (21-25)	Period 3 (1973-77) (25-29)	Period 4 (1977-81) (29-33)	Period 5 (1981-85) (33-37)	Period 6 (1985-19) (37-42)	Total (1963-90) (15-42)			
			Board	feet per Net gr	<u>acre per y</u> o wth	<u>'ear</u>					
Dinad.				-							
rixea:	55	211	744	954	042	005	1246	21420			
1	55	366	992	1292	1314	1/18	1240	21430			
5	50	462	1201	1292	1809	1910	2027	38436			
ן ק	55	402	1172	1806	1974	2264	2570	43220			
Increasing	<u>ع</u> د .	11/	11/2	1000	1571	2204	2370	45220			
2	127	480	977	1110	1282	1397	1901	30391			
4	79	444	1142	1629	1778	2003	2264	39095			
Decreasin	a , , , ,		1112	1025	1770	2005	2201	55050			
6	9 96	456	1148	1418	1565	1527	2034	34461			
8	39	369	1040	1518	1797	1752	2138	36341			
Unthinned	:										
Control	66	415	993	1400	1590	1828	2419	36783			
Late thin	ning:						4005				
Late 1				680	1003	878	1225	16369			
Late 3				989	1531	1492	1896	25526			
Late 5				1194	1591	1581	2154	28233			
Late /				1267	1657	1682	2193	29386			
				Gross g	rowth						
				-							
Fixed:											
1	55	311	750	954	943	885	1246	21456			
3	96	366	992	1296	1314	1418	1835	30643			
5	69	462	1202	1585	1809	1846	2309	38913			
- /	55	447	1172	1806	1974	2269	2602	43398			
increasin	g:	490	077	1150	1000	1207	1001	20502			
2	127	480	977	1622	1282	1397	1901	30383			
Dograadin	79 79	444	1142	1632	1//8	2003	2204	39112			
6	у. 96	459	11/19	1/19	1565	1527	2034	34469			
8	30	369	1049	1510	1707	1752'	2139	36373			
Unthinned		309	1040	1310	1797	1752	2150	50575			
Control	• 66	415	997	1425	1590	1849	2453	37150			
CONCLOT	00	415	331	1425	1590	1049	2455	57150			
Late thin	ning:										
Late 1				680	1003	878	1225	16369			
Late 3				989	1531	1492	1896	25526			
Late 5				1194	1591	1581	2156	28240			
Late 7				1284	1658	1689	2234	29694			

-- = missing data.

^a Stand age in parenthesis.

.

ENGLISH UNITS, CUT TREES

·	Live trees cut, by period									
Treatment	1966 (18)ª	1969 (21)	1973 (25)	1977 (29)	1981 (33)	1985 (37)	Total			
			Tree	es per aci	<u>:e</u>					
Fixed:										
1	161.7	88.3	58.3	26.7	15.0	0	350.0			
3	161.7	33.3	58.3	31.7	21.7	0	306.7			
5	61.7	48.3	51.7	20.0	25.0	0	206.7			
7	5.0	16.7	35.0	30.0	23.3	0	110.0			
Increasing:										
2	220.0	58.3	33.3	10.0	6.7	0	328.3			
4	163.3	23.3	30.0	21.7	13.3	0	251.7			
Decreasing:										
6	70.0	63.3	71.7	51.7	41.7	0	298.3			
8	0	11.7	51.7	43.3	41.7	0	148.3			
Unthinned										
Control	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
00110101	Ū	0	Ũ	Ũ	0	Ũ	Ũ			
Late thinnin	g:			_						
Late 1	0	0	995.0	71.7	28.3	0	100.0			
Late 3	0	0	1084.0	55.0	51.7	0	106.7			
Late 5	0	0	563.0	36.7	43.3	0	80.0			
Date /	0	0	035.0	50.7	41./	0	10.5			
		Oua	dratic me	an diamet	erinche	s				
						-				
Fixed:										
1	5.1	6.5	8.6	12.3	13.8	0				
3 F	5.2	6.4	8.6	10.5	12.0	0				
5	5.Z	6.4	8.3	9.5	11.3	0	-			
'	4.0	0.5	0.1	5.1	10.7	0				
Increasing:										
2	5.2	7.0	9.2	12.2	14.1	0				
4	5.3	6.6	9.0	10.9	12.2	0				
Decreasing:										
6	5.2	6.6	8.4	10.1	11.3	0				
8	0	6.1	7.6	8.9	10.0	0				
Control	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Late thinnin	<i>а</i> .									
Late 1	9. N	0	4 9	6 6	10.8	0				
Late 3	0	0	4.5	7.9	9.2	0				
Late 5	õ	õ	5.2	7.6	8.5	Õ				
Late 7	0	0	3.7	7.1	8.0	0				

Table 20--Live trees cut by treatment at start of period
ENGLISH UNITS, CUT TREES

			Live tree	s cut, by	period		
Treatment	1966 (18)ª	1969 (21)	1973 (25)	1977 (29)	1981 (33)	1985 (37)	Total
		Bas	al areas	square fee	et per acr	<u>:e</u>	
Fixed:							
1	23.3	20.2	23.6	22.1	15.5	0	104.7
3	24.0	7.4	23.6	19.1	17.0	0	91.1
5 7	9.2	10.8 3.9	19.6	9.9 15.5	$17.3 \\ 14.5$	0	66.7 47.0
Ingroading							
2	32 0	15 /	15 5	9 1	73	0	78 2
4	25.2	5.6	13.1	14.0	10.8	0	68.7
Decreasing.							
6	10.2	14.9	27.7	28.5	29.0	0	110.3
8	0	2.4	16.4	18.9	22.6	0	60.3
Unthinned:							
Control	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Late thinnin	ıg:						
Late 1	0	0	132.2	17.2	18.1	0	35.3
Late 3	0	0	117.8	18.6	23.8	0	42.4
Late 5	0	0	83.0	11.7	16.9	0	28.6
Late /	0	0	47.3	10.0	14.6	0	24.7
		Л	Volumecu	bic feet	p er a cre		
Fixed:							
1	327	344	478	547	422	0	2119
3	343	122	501	473	475	0	1914
5	132	192	423	256	509	0	1511
7	8	66	265	391	418	0	1149
Increasing:							
2	472	273	344	213	198	0	1500
4	369	98	287	362	317	0	1433
Decreasing:							
6 8	147	261 42	604 358	483	851 674	0	2590 1556
-						, C	
Control	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Taba bbingin	~			-	-	-	-
Late thinnin	ig: o	0	2002	200	517	0	Q1 C
Late 3	0	0	2546	454	517	0	1119
Late 5	õ	0	1862	300	484	0	784
Late 7	0	0	970	246	409	0	655

Table 20--Live trees cut by treatment at start of period (continued)

ENGLISH UNITS, CUT TREES

			Live tre	es cut, b	y period		
Treatment	1966 (18) ^a	1969 (21)	1973 (25)	1977 (29)	1981 (33)	1985 (37)	Total
<u>Volume-</u>	-Scribner	board-ft.	per acr	e, 16-ft.	<u>logs, to</u>	<u>a 6-inch</u>	top
Fixed:							
1	87	270	1088	2079	1772	0	5296
3	109	97	1108	1555	1826	0	4695
5	14	159	923	752	1900	0	3747
7	0	46	507	1174	1455	0	3182
Increasing:							
2	141	318	902	813	839	0	3014
4	87	86	726	1260	1260	0	3419
Decreasing:							
6	63	245	1303	2236	3134	0	6981
8	0	19	603	1342	2194	0	4158
Unthinned:							
Control	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Late thinni	ng:						
Late 1	0	0		427	1829	0	2255
Late 3	0	0		985	1904	0	2888
Late 5	0	0		560	1278	0	1838
Late 7	0	0		342	902	0	1244

Table 20--Live trees cut by treatment at start of period (continued)

-- = missing data.

^a stand age in parenthesis.

MORTALITY, ENGLISH UNITS, ALL TREES

		Period	ic annua	al mort	ality,	end of	f period	d t
Treatment	1966 (18)°	1969 (21)	1973 (25)	1977 (29)	1981 (33)	1985 (37)	1990 (42)	Total
				<u>Trees</u> p	er acre			
Fixed:								
1	7	2	2	0	0	0	0	11
3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
5	2	2	2	8	0	0	3	17
7	0	0	2	2	0	2	7	13
Increasing:								
2	2	0	0	2 .	0	0	0	4
4	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	4
Decreasing:								
6	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
8	0	7	5	2	0	3	0	17
Unthinned:								
Control	8	15	42	68	37	158	118	446
Late thinning:	:							
Late 1				0	0	0	0	0
Late 3				2	2	0	0	4
Late 5				2	0	10	10	22
Late 7				3	3	10	10	26
			Ouadrati	c mean d	diamete:	rinch	es	
							<u></u>	
Fixed:								
1	3.85	4.46	7.66	.00	.00	.00	.00	
3	.00	.00	.00	6.6/	.00	.00	.00	
5	4.02	4.39	6.03	9.85	.00	.00	9.90	
/	.00	.00	4.94	4.10	.00	0.00	1.00	
Increasing:								
2	5.13	.00	.00	12.69	.00	.00	.00	
4	.00	.00	5.85	6.84	.00	.00	.00	
Decreasing:								
6	2.76	6.47	. 00	.00	. 00	. 00	. 00	
8	.00	4.78	7.09	2.47	.00	5.55	.00	
Unthinned:	1 20	2 1 5	2 05	2 1 5	2 10	2 74	1 22	
CONCEPT	4.39	3.15	2.95	3.15	3.19	3.74	4.33	
Late thinning:	:							
Late 1				.00	.00	.00	.00	
Late 3				5.39	3.67	.00	.00	4.61
Late 5				3.83	.00	4.95	4.94	4.86
Late /				1.99	5.45	5.63	6./0	6.34

Table 21--Periodic annual mortality, all trees, by treatment, period, year, and stand age

MORTALITY, ENGLISH UNITS, ALL TREES

		Perio	odic annu	lal mort	ality,	end of j	period	
Treatment	1966 (18) ^a	1969 (21)	1973 (25)	1977 (29)	1981 (33)	1985 (37)	1990 (42)	Total
]	<u>Basal are</u>	easqua	re feet	per ac.	re	
Fixed:								
1	.57	.22	.64	.00	.00	.00	.00	1.42
3	.00	.00	.00	.48	.00	.00	.00	.48
5	.18	.21	.40	4.24	.00	.00	1.60	6.62
7	.00	.00	.27	.18	.00	.48	2.37	3.31
Increasing:								
2	.29	.00	.00	1.76	.00	.00	.00	2.04
4	.00	.00	.37	.51	.00	.00	.00	.88
Decreasing:								
6	.08	.46	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.54
8	.00	.87	1.37	.07	.00	.50	.00	2.81
Unthinned:								
Control	.84	.81	1.99	3.68	2.05	12.05	12.05	33.47
Late thinning:	:							
Late 1				.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
Late 3				.32	.15	.00	.00	.46
Late 5				.16	.00	1.34	1.33	2.83
Late 7				1.04	.49	1.73	2.45	5.71
					f t			
			volume	cubic	<u>ieet pe</u>	e <u>r acre</u>		
Fixed:								
1	7.10	3.42	12.80	.00	.00	.00	.00	23.32
3	.00	.00	.00	11.80	.00	.00	.00	11.80
5	2.26	3.27	7.65	107.19	.00	.00	52.61	172.98
7	.00	.00	5.13	3.91	.00	13.58	74.68	97.30

Table 21--Periodic annual mortality, all trees, by treatment, period, year, and stand age (continued)

				-			
7.10	3.42	12.80	.00	.00	.00	.00	23.32
.00	.00	.00	11.80	.00	.00	.00	11.80
2.26	3.27	7.65	107.19	.00	.00	52.61	172.98
.00	.00	5.13	3.91	.00	13.58	74.68	97.30
4.29	.00	.00	46.26	.00	.00	.00	50.55
.00	.00	7.17	10.95	.00	.00	.00	18.12
.97	8.13	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	9.10
.00	14.04	29.64	.85	.00	14.19	.00	58.72
12.07	12.20	34.12	73.42	38.05	264.90	316.09	750.86
			. 00	.00	.00	.00	.00
			6.64	2.69	.00	.00	9.33
			3.01	.00	29.00	29.52	62.33
			25.93	12.42	46.38	75.53	160.26
	7.10 .00 2.26 .00 4.29 .00 .97 .00 12.07	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7.10 3.42 12.80 $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ 11.80 $.00$ 2.26 3.27 7.65 107.19 $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ 5.13 3.91 $.00$ 4.29 $.00$ $.00$ 46.26 $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ 7.17 10.95 $.00$ $.97$ 8.13 $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ 14.04 29.64 $.85$ $.00$ 12.07 12.20 34.12 73.42 38.05 $$ $$ $$ 6.64 2.69 $$ $$ $$ 3.01 $.00$	7.10 3.42 12.80 $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ 11.80 $.00$ $.00$ 2.26 3.27 7.65 107.19 $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ 5.13 3.91 $.00$ 13.58 4.29 $.00$ $.00$ 46.26 $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ 7.17 10.95 $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ 14.04 29.64 $.85$ $.00$ 14.19 12.07 12.20 34.12 73.42 38.05 264.90 -00 $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ -00 $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ -00 $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ -00 $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ -00 $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ -00 $.00$ $.00$ $.00$	7.10 3.42 12.80 $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ 2.26 3.27 7.65 107.19 $.00$ $.00$ 52.61 $.00$ $.00$ 5.13 3.91 $.00$ 13.58 74.68 4.29 $.00$ $.00$ 46.26 $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ 7.17 10.95 $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ 14.04 29.64 $.85$ $.00$ 14.19 $.00$ 12.07 12.20 34.12 73.42 38.05 264.90 316.09 $$ $$ $$ $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ $.00$ $$ $$ $$ $.00$ $.0$

MORTALITY, ENGLISH UNITS, ALL TREES

		Perio	dic annu	ual mort	ality,	end of	period	
Treatment	1966 (18)ª	1969 (21)	1973 (25)	1977 (29)	1981 (33)	1985 (37)	1990 (42)	Total
	Volume-	-Scribne	r board	ft. per	acre,	<u>16-ft.</u>	logs to	6-inch top
Fixed:								
1	.00	.00	25.35	.00	.00	.00	.00	25.35
3	.00	.00	.00	14.33	.00	.00	.00	14.33
5	.00	.00	4.00	312.00	.00	.00	160.38	476.40
7	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	17.15	161.61	178.76
Increased:								
2	.00	.00	.00	192.70	.00	.00	:00	192.70
4	.00	.00	2.47	14.68	.00	.00	.00	17.16
Decreased:								
6	.00	7.64	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	7.64
8	.00	.00	32.35	.00	.00	.00	.00	32.35
Unthinned:								
Control	.00	.00	15.62	99.12	.00	82.08	169.95	366.76
Late thinning	:							
Late 1				.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
Late 3				.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
Late 5				.00	.00	.00	7.53	7.53
Late 7				68.90	1.73	29.12	208.62	308.37

Table 21--Periodic annual mortality, all trees, by treatment, period, year, and stand age (continued)

-- = missing data.

^a Stand age, end of period in parenthesis.

HEIGHT, CROP TREES

		·	Height	t, by pe	eriod			
Treatment	1963 (15)ª	1966 (18)	1969 (21)	1973 (25)	1977 (29)	1981 (33)	1985 (37)	1990 (42)
				Feet				
Fixed: 1 2 5 7	23.8 24.2 26.0 23.4	32.8 34.4 35.3 32.6	41.8 42.3 44.1 41.7	52.9 53.8 55.4 52.6	62.9 64.2 66.5 63.0	74.4 73.5 76.3 72.0	83.1 82.1 84.8 80.5	95.7 94.4 96.3 90.7
Increasing: 2 4	27.4 25.7	37.2 34.8	45.5 43.9	58.1 55.4	68.0 66.3	78.5 75.7	87.4 84.7	100.4 96.1
Decreasing: 6 8	25.7 25.0	34.9 33.9	43.5 42.8	54.8 53.7	64.1 63.4	73.8 73.4	82.7 80.9	95.6 90.0
Unthinned: Control	25.6	35.4	44.0	54.0	63.3	70.6	77.4	88.5
Late thinned: Late 1 Late 3 Late 5 Late 7		 	 	53.9 53.3 55.8 51.6	63.1 62.7 66.1 62.0	72.5 72.6 75.3 70.8	79.6 79.7 82.2 77.0	90.9 90.1 92.7 87.1

Table 22--Mean height of crop trees by treatment, total age, and year at beginning of period

-- = missing data.

^a Stand age in parenthesis.

DIAMETER, CROP TREES

Treatment numbers	1963 (15)	1966 (18)	1969 (21)	1973 (25)	1977 (29)	1981 (33)	1985 (37)	1990 (42)
				Inche	s			
Fixed: 1 3 5 7	3.7 4.1 4.2 3.9	5.4 5.8 5.8 5.5	7.1 7.5 7.4 7.0	9.6 9.9 9.6 8.9	12.1 12.0 11.3 10.3	14.6 13.7 12.8 11.5	16.7 15.3 14.1 12.6	19.3 17.1 15.6 13.8
Increasing: 2 4	4.7 4.1	6.5 5.7	8.3 7.5	10.9 9.8	13.2 11.8	15.1 13.5	16.9 14.9	18.9 16.5
Decreasing: 6 8	4.2 3.8	5.9 5.4	7.6 6.9	9.8 8.6	11.7 9.9	13.5 11.0	15.1 12.0	17.1 13.1
Unthinned: Control	4.2	5.7	7.0	8.3	9.2	10.0	10.6	11.5
Late thinned: Late 1 Late 3 Late 5 Late 7	 	 		8.3 8.2 8.3 8.3	10.1 9.7 9.7 9.6	12.4 11.5 11.0 10.7	14.2 13.0 12.2 11.2	16.5 14.8 13.7 12.9

Table 23--Mean diameter of crop trees by treatment, total age and year at beginning of period

STAND DEVELOPMENT TABLE, ENGLISH UNITS

Table 24--Stand development by treatment, per-acre basis

		A	fter	thin	ning		ł	Remov	ved in	thin	ning			Mort	ality		Yi	eld₫	Ne	et gro	owth	
Year	Stand age	H40ª	Tree left	s Avç dbh	g Basal area	Total vol	Trees cut ^b	Avg dbh	Basal area	Total vol	Avg vol	Avg d∕D⁵	Trees dead	Avg dbh	Basal area	Total vol	Net vol	Gross vol	DBH PAI	BA PAI	Vol PAI	Vol MAI
	Yrs	Ft	No	. I	n ft²	Ft³	No.	In	Ft²	Ft³	Ft³		No.	In	Ft²	Ft³	Ft³	Ft³	In	Ft²	Ft³	Ft ³
Treat	ment	1, fi	xed:																			
1963 1966 1973 1977 1977 1981 1985 1990	15 18 21 25 29 33 37 42	28 36 44 55 65 75 84 97	405 237 147 87 60 45 45 45	3.6 5.2 6.9 9.7 12.2 14.7 16.8 19.5	28.2 33.5 38.0 44.1 47.6 52.0 68.4 91.4	304 467 658 938 1169 1464 2100 3160	0 62 88 58 27 15 0 0	0.0 5.1 6.5 8.6 12.3 13.8 .0 .0	0.0 23.3 20.2 23.6 22.1 15.5 .0 .0	0 327 344 478 547 422 0 0	0.0 2.0 4.1 8.4 20.7 29.8 .0 .0	.00 .99 .97 .93 1.01 .97 .00 .00	0 7 2 0 0 0 0	0.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	0.0 .6 .2 .6 .0 .0 .0	0 7 3 13 0 0 0 0	304 794 1329 2087 2866 3582 4219 5278	304 802 1340 2111 2889 3606 4242 5301	.00 .52 .55 .60 .62 .58 .54 .53	0.0 9.5 8.2 7.4 6.4 5.0 4.1 4.6	0 164 178 189 195 179 159 212	20 44 63 83 99 109 114 126
Treat	tment	3, fi	xed:																			
1963 1966 1969 1973 1977 1981 1985 1990	15 18 21 25 29 33 37 42	28 38 46 56 67 75 85 98	405 243 210 152 118 97 97 97	3.7 5.3 7.0 9.4 11.6 13.6 15.2 17.0	29.5 37.0 55.8 71.9 85.0 94.1 117.9 147.6	314 531 952 1532 2127 2664 3680 5241	0 162 33 58 32 22 0 0	.0 5.2 6.4 8.6 10.5 12.0 .0	.0 24.0 7.4 23.6 19.1 17.0 .0	0 343 122 501 473 475 0 0	.0 2.1 3.6 8.7 15.1 21.9 .0 .0	.00 .99 .91 .95 .94 .91 .00	0 0 2 0 0	.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .0 .0 .5 .0 .0	0 0 0 12 0 0 0	314 875 1417 2498 3566 4578 5594 7154	314 875 1417 2498 3578 4590 5606 7166	.00 .53 .54 .54 .49 .41 .36	.0 10.5 8.7 9.9 8.1 6.5 6.0 5.9	0 187 181 270 267 253 254 312	21 49 67 100 123 139 151 170
Treat	tment	5, fi	xed:																			
1963 1966 1969 1973 1977 1981 1985 1990	15 18 21 25 29 33 37 42	29 40 48 60 71 80 89 101	405 342 292 238 210 185 185 182	3.7 5.3 6.8 8.8 10.4 11.7 12.8 14.2	31.1 52.3 73.3 99.7 122.8 138.4 166.4 200.7	355 762 1325 2192 3214 4108 5457 7397	0 62 48 52 20 25	.0 5.2 6.4 8.3 9.5 11.3 .0 .0	.0 9.2 10.8 19.6 9.9 17.3 .0	0 132 192 423 256 509 0 0	.0 2.1 4.0 8.2 12.8 20.8 .0 .0	.00 .97 .95 .96 .93 .97 .00	0 2 2 8 0 0 3	.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .2 .4 4.2 .0 1.6	0 2 3 8 107 0 0 53	355 894 1650 2939 4216 5619 6968 8908	355 897 1655 2952 4337 5739 7088 9081	.00 .51 .48 .47 .38 .33 .28 .28	.0 10.1 10.6 11.5 8.3 8.2 7.0 6.8	0 180 252 322 319 351 337 388	24 50 79 118 145 170 188 212
Treat	tment	7, fi	xed:																			
1963 1966 1969 1973 1977 1981 1985 1990	15 18 21 25 29 33 37 42	27 37 46 56 75 86 97	405 400 383 347 315 292 290 283	3.5 5.1 6.6 8.2 9.6 10.7 11.7 12.9	27.4 57.2 90.1 128.3 158.4 181.2 215.6 255.3	286 780 1545 2727 4005 5204 6878 9122	0 5 17 35 30 23 0 0	.0 4.8 6.5 8.1 9.7 10.7 .0 .0	.0 .6 3.9 12.5 15.5 14.5 .0 .0	0 8 265 391 418 0 0	.0 .5 2.5 7.7 13.0 18.1 .0 .0	.00 .30 .63 .99 1.01 1.00 .00 .00	0 0 2 2 0 2 7	.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .0 .3 .2 .0 .5 2.4	0 0 5 4 0 14 75	286 788 1619 3067 4736 6354 8028 .0271	286 788 1619 3072 4745 6363 8050 10369	.00 .53 .48 .42 .34 .27 .25 .23	.0 10.1 12.3 12.7 11.4 9.3 8.6 7.9	0 167 277 362 417 404 418 449	19 44 77 123 163 193 217 245

Table 24--Stand development by treatment, per-acre basis (continued)

	After thinning		ł	Remov	ved in	thin	ning			Mort	ality		Yi	eld⁴	N∈	et gro	owth					
Year	Stand age	H40°	Tree lef	es Av t dbh	g Basal area	Total vol	Trees cut ^b	Avg dbh	Basal area	Total vol	. Avg vol	Avg d/D°	Trees dead	Avg dbh	Basal area	Tota vol	l Net vol	Gross vol	DBH PAI	BA PAI	Vol PAI	Vol MAI
	Yrs	Ft	No	. 1	n Ft²	Ft³	No.	In	Ft²	Ft³	Ft³		No.	In	Ft²	Ft ³	Ft³	ft³	In	Ft ³	Ft³	Ft³
Treat	ment	2, in	crea	sing:																		
1963 1966 1969 1973 1977 1981 1985 1990	15 18 21 25 29 33 37 42	30 40 48 60 70 80 89 102	405 183 125 92 80 73 73 73	3.9 5.9 7.9 10.8 13.2 15.2 17.0 19.0	3.6 4.2 42.6 58.3 76.0 92.4 114.5 144.2	384 522 778 1331 1984 2732 3713 5291	0 220 58 33 10 7 1 0 0	.0 5.2 7.0 9.2 2.2 4.1 .0 .0	.0 32.0 15.4 15.5 8.1 7.3 .0	0 472 273 344 213 198 0 0	.0 2.1 4.7 10.4 21.5 32.3 .0 .0	.00 .94 .91 .89 .92 .96 .00 .00	0 2 0 2 0 0 0	.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .3 .0 .0 1.8 .0 .0	0 4 0 46 0 0 0	384 994 1523 2420 3286 4232 5212 6791	384 998 1527 2425 3337 4283 5263 6841	.00 .53 .59 .63 .57 .48 .43 .41	.0 10.9 7.9 7.8 6.4 5.9 5.5 5.9	0 203 176 224 216 237 245 316	26 55 73 97 113 128 141 162
Treat	ment	4, in	crea	sing:																		
1963 1966 1969 1973 1977 1981 1985 1990	15 18 21 25 29 33 37 42	30 38 47 58 69 77 86 98	405 242 218 187 163 150 150 150	3.8 5.4 7.1 9.3 11.3 12.9 14.3 15.7	31.3 38.1 60.3 88.4 112.9 135.2 166.1 201.7	354 560 1083 1948 2929 3971 5415 7347	0 163 23 30 22 1 13 1 0 0	.0 5.3 6.6 9.0 0.9 2.2 .0 .0	.0 25.2 5.6 13.1 14.0 10.8 .0 .0	0 369 98 287 362 317 0 0	.0 2.3 4.2 9.6 16.8 23.7 .0 .0	.00 .99 .94 .96 .97 .94 .00 .00	0 0 2 2 0 0	.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .0 .4 .5 .0 .0	0 0 7 11 0 0 0	354 929 1549 2702 4045 5404 6847 8780	354 929 1549 2709 4063 5422 6865 8798	.00 .53 .56 .54 .48 .39 .35 .29	.0 10.7 9.2 10.3 9.6 8.3 7.7 7.1	0 191 207 288 336 340 361 386	24 52 74 108 139 164 185 209
Treat	ment	6, de	crea	sing:																		
1963 1966 1969 1973 1977 1981 1985 1990	15 18 21 25 29 33 37 42	28 37 45 56 64 74 85 100	405 333 268 197 145 103 103 103	3.6 5.3 6.9 9.1 11.1 13.2 14.9 16.7	29.2 50.4 68.9 86.0 94.1 96.0 121.4 154.2	328 727 1216 1882 2380 2737 3827 5548	0 70 63 72 52 1 42 1 0 0	.0 5.2 6.6 8.4 0.1 1.3 .0	.0 10.2 14.9 27.7 28.5 29.0 .0	0 147 261 604 727 851 0 0	.0 1.4 4.2 8.7 14.3 21.4 .0 .0	.00 .62 .96 .95 .94 .90 .00	0 2 0 0 0 0 0	.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .1 .5 .0 .0 .0 .0	0 1 8 0 0 0 0 0 0	328 873 1623 2894 4119 5326 6417 8137	.00 874 1633 2903 4128 5336 6426 8146	.00 .54 .52 .49 .44 .42 .41 .37	.0 10.4 11.1 11.2 9.2 7.7 6.3 6.6	0 182 250 318 306 302 272 344	22 49 77 116 142 161 173 194
Treat	ment	8, de	crea	sing:																		
1963 1966 1969 1973 1977 1981 1985 1990	15 18 21 25 29 33 37 42	28 38 47 56 67 77 85 96	405 405 387 330 285 243 240 240	3.4 4.9 6.3 7.9 9.2 10.4 11.4 12.6	25.7 53.9 84.4 112.7 132.1 142.4 170.4 206.4	287 766 1490 2470 3385 4228 5539 7425	0 0 12 52 43 42 0 0	.0 6.1 7.6 8.9 0.0 .0	.0 .0 2.4 16.4 18.9 22.6 .0 .0	0 42 358 483 674 0 0	.0 .0 1.2 7.1 11.3 16.2 .0 .0	.00 .00 .31 .98 .98 .97 .00 .00	0 7 5 2 0 3 0	.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .9 1.4 .1 .5 .0	0 0 14 30 1 0 14 0	287 766 1532 2870 4267 5784 7095 8981	287 766 1546 2913 4312 5829 7154 9040	.00 .51 .46 .39 .32 .27 .26 .23	.0 9.4 11.0 11.2 9.6 8.2 7.0 7.2	0 160 255 334 349 379 328 377	19 43 73 115 147 175 192 214

Table 24--Stand development by treatment, per-acre basis (continued)

		А	fter	thin	ning			Removed in thinning				Mort	ality		Yi	eld⁴	Ne	et gr	owth			
Year	Stand age	H40ª	Tree left	s Avo dbh	g Basal area	Total vol	Trees cut ^b	s Avg dbh	Basal area	Total vol	Avg vol	Avg d/D°	Trees dead	Avg dbh	Basal area	Tota vol	l Net vol	Gross vol	DBI PAI	H BÀ PAI	Vol PAI	Vol MAI
	Yrs	Ft	No.	. I	n Ft²	Ft³	No.	In	Ft²	Ft³	Ft³		No.	In	Ft²	Ft³	Ft³	Ft ³	In	Ft²	Ft³	Ft³
Treat	ment 9,	, unt	hinne	d cor	ntrol																	
1963	15	29	888	3.3	51.5	560	0	. 0	. 0	0	. 0	.00	0	. 0	. 0	0	560	560	.00	. 0	0	37
1966	18	39 1	075	4.0	96.1	1363	0	.0	. 0	0	. 0	.00	8	.0	. 8	12	1363	1375	.26	14.8	268	76
1969	21	47 1	127	4.8	138.9	2439	0	. 0	. 0	0	. 0	.00	15	. 0	. 8	12	2439	2463	.24	14.3	359	116
1973	25	58 1	107	5 6	189 9	4053	0	. 0	. 0	0	. 0	.00	42	. 0	2.0	34	4053	4112	.22	12.7	403	162
1977	29	69 1	048	6 4	228.2	5729	0	.0	. 0	0	. 0	.00	68	. 0	3.7	73	5729	5861	.18	9.6	419	198
1981	33	77 1	015	7 0	260.3	7217	õ	. 0	. 0	0	. 0	.00	37	. 0	2.0	38	7217	7387	.14	8.0	372	219
1985	37	85	857	78	278 4	8584	0	. 0	. 0	0	. 0	.00	158	. 0	12.0	265	8584	9019	.22	4.5	342	232
1990	42	97	738	8.8	304.3	10718	0	. 0	. 0	0	. 0	.00	118	. 0	12.0	316 1	0718	11469	.20	5.2	427	255
Late	thinni	ng, I	,1																			
1973	25	56	162	7.0	43.5	948	995°	4.9	132.2	2882	2.9	.91	0	. 0	. 0	0	948	948	.00	. 0	0	38
1977	29	65	90	9.9	48.0	1232	72	6.6	17.2	399	5.6	.77	0	. 0	. 0	0	1630	1630	.40	5.4	171	56
1981	33	74	62 1	2.4	51.9	1490	28 1	0.8	18.1	517	18.3	.91	0	. 0	. 0	0	2406	2406	.51	5.5	194	73
1985	37	82	62 1	.4.3	68.9	2128	0	. 0	. 0	0	. 0	.00	0	. 0	.0	0	3044	3044	.47	4.2	160	82
1990	42	93	62 1	6.6	93.0	3196	0	.0	. 0	0	. 0	.00	0	. 0	. 0	0	4112	4112	.46	4.8	214	98
Late	thinni	ng, I	,3																			
1973	25	55	273	7.0	73.4	1586	1084°	4.5	117.8	2546	2.3	.88	0	. 0	. 0	0 1	1586	1586	.00	. 0	0	63
1977	29	65	217	8.5	85.8	2140	55	7.9	18.6	454	8.2	.94	2	. 0	. 3	7 2	2593	2600	.34	7.7	252	89
1981	33	75	163 3	10.4	96.3	2763	52	9.2	23.8	665	12.9	.91	2	.0	.1	3 3	3882	3892	.40	8.6	322	118
1985	37	82	163	1.8	124.4	3892	0	. 0	. 0	0	. 0	.00	0	. 0	. 0	0 5	5011	5021	.36	7.0	282	135
1990	42	93	163	13.5	161.2	5564	0	. 0	. 0	0	.0	.00	0	.0	.0	0 6	5683	6693	.33	7.4	334	159
Late	thinni	ng, I	,5																			
1072	25	EQ	277	7 0	100 3	2254	5630	5 2	83.0	1862	2 2	87	0	0	0	0 3	2254	2254	0.0	0	0	90
1973	25	29	220	7.0	100.5	2204	202	76	11 7	300	9.0	.07	2	.0	.0	3 3	2491	3494	.00	8 3	309	120
1977	29	70	330	0.1	121.9	1050	13	0.5	16 9	191	11 2	. 22	0	. 0	. 2	0 4	1842	4845	26	8 2	338	147
1981	33	19	293	9.3	137.0	4058	4.5	0.5	10.9	404	11.2	. 52	10	.0	13	30 4	5042	6079	.20	6.8	301	163
1985	37	86	203 .	11 7	201 7	7167	0	.0	.0	0	.0	.00	10	.0	1 3	30 0	7954	8016	.20	7 4	381	189
1990	42	90	212 .	,	201.7	/10/	0	. 0	.0	0	.0	.00	10	. 0	1.5	50	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0010	.20	/.1	501	105
Late	thinni	ng, I	J7																			
1973	25	55	525	6.7	128.4	2634	635°	3.7	47.0	970	1.5	.68	0	. 0	. 0	0 2	2634	2634	.00	. 0	0	105
1977	29	65	485	7.8	159.3	3952	37	7.1	10.0	246	6.3	.89	3	. 0	1.0	26 4	4198	4224	.26	10.2	391	145
1981	33	73	442	8.7	182.2	5139	42	8.0	14.6	409	10.2	. 94	3	. 0	.5	12 9	5794	5832	.22	9.4	399	176
1985	37	80	433	9.5	213.1	6470	0	.0	. 0	0	. 0	.00	10	. 0	1.7	46 7	7125	7210	.20	7.7	333	193
1990	42	92	423	10.5	250.9	8517	0	.0	. 0	0	. 0	.00	10	. 0	2.5	76 9	9173	9333	.19	7.6	409	218

* Ht-40: Average height of the 40 largest trees per acre (estimated from d.b.h. and ht-d.b.h. curves).

^b Volume: All volumes are total stem, inside bark.

° d/D: Average d.b.h. cut/average d.b.h. before thinning.

^d Total yield: Net = standing + thinning Gross = standing + thinning + mortality. Yield does not include any volume removed in a calibration cut.

* Trees and volume cut in initial late thinning, in 1973, ae not included in yields.

HEIGHT, 100 LARGEST TREES PER HECTARE

Table 25--Mean height of 100 largest trees per hectare by treatment, total age and year at beginning of period

	Height											
Treatment	1963 (15)ª	1966 (18)	1969 (21)	1973 (25)	1977 (29)	1981 (33)	1985 (37)	1990 (42)				
				Meters	5							
Fixed: 1 3 5 7	8.5 8.6 9.0 8.2	11.0 11.6 12.1 11.4	13.5 13.9 14.6 13.9	16.9 17.1 18.2 17.0	19.9 20.4 21.5 20.1	22.9 23.0 24.5 23.0	25.6 25.9 27.1 26.2	29.5 30.0 30.9 29.4				
Increasing: 2 4	9.1 9.1	$\begin{array}{c} 12.1 \\ 11.4 \end{array}$	14.6 14.4	18.4 17.8	21.4 21.1	24.3 23.6	27.0 26.3	31.1 29.7				
Decreasing: 6 8	8.4 8.4	11.3 11.5	13.7 14.2	17.1 17.1	19.7 20.5	22.7 23.3	25.9 25.8	30.5 29.4				
Unthinned: Control	8.9	11.8	14.5	17.8	20.9	23.4	26.0	29.7				
Late thinning: Late 1 Late 3 Late 5 Late 7		 		17.0 16.8 18.0 16.7	20.0 19.8 21.4 19.7	22.6 22.8 24.2 22.4	24.9 25.1 26.2 24.4	28.3 28.5 29.9 28.0				
WHC ^b					19.2	21.9	24.4	27.4				

-- = missing data.

^a Stand age in parenthesis.

^b WHC = western hemlock.

Table 26--Mean diameter of 100 largest trees per hectare by treatment, total age and year at beginning of period

				Diamet	ter			
Treatment	1963 (15)ª	1966 (18)	1969 (21)	1973 (25)	1977 (29)	1981 (33)	1985 (37)	1990 (42)
				<u>Centir</u>	<u>neters</u>			
Fixed: 1 3 5 7	12.4 13.5 13.6 12.7	17.3 18.5 18.0 17.5	21.3 22.6 22.3 21.8	27.4 28.9 28.4 27.2	33.8 34.5 33.3 31.7	38.6 38.6 37.3 35.0	43.4 42.4 40.9 38.6	50.0 47.5 45.5 42.2
Increasing: 2 4	14.2 13.2	19.3 18.0	23.6 22.6	30.2 28.9	36.1 34.0	41.4 38.3	38.6 42.4	51.3 47.0
Decreasing: 6 8	12.9 12.2	18.0 17.0	22.6 21.3	28.4 26.4	33.3 30.5	37.8 34.3	45.7 38.1	48.3 41.9
Unthinned: Control	13.5	17.8	21.6	25.9	29.2	32.2	34.5	37.8
Late thinned: Late 1 Late 3 Late 5 Late 7				23.1 23.9 25.4 25.1	28.2 28.2 29.2 29.2	33.8 32.2 33.0 33.0	38.3 36.3 36.6 36.3	44.7 41.4 41.1 40.1

-- = missing data.

^a Stand age in parenthesis.

Table 27--Number of live trees per hectare by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age

							Live	tree	s						
		Calib	ration	Per	iod 1	Per	iod 2	Per	iod 3	Per	iod 4	Per	iod 5	Per	iod 6
Treatment	Plot	After cut 1963 (15)*	Before cut 1966 (18)	After cut 1966 (18)	Before cut 1969 (21)	After cut 1969 (21)	Before cut 1973 (25)	After cut 1973 (25)	Before cut 1977 (29)	After cut 1977 (29)	Before cut 1981 (33)	After cut 1981 (33)	Before cut 1985 (37)	After cut 1985 (37)	Before cut 1990 (42)
						I	'rees pe	er hect	are_						
Fixed:															
1	6	1000	976	4.57	4.57	309	309	185	185	124	124	99	99	99	99
•	20	1000	988	580	580	346	333	198	198	136	136	99	99	99	99
	27	1000	999	716	704	132	132	259	250	105	105	136	136	136	136
D.v.a	21	1000	900	505	500	360	432	235	233	140	140	111	111	111	111
Avg.		1000	304	262	580	362	308	214	214	140	140	111	111	111	111
2	0	1000	1000	670	670	5.00	5.00	1.20	100	25.0	250	2.0.0	200	200	200
3	9	1000	1000	679	679	568	568	432	420	358	358	309	309	309	309
	10	1000	1000	605	605	556	556	383	383	284	284	222	222	222	222
	22	1000	1000	519	519	432	432	309	309	235	235	185	185	185	185
Avg.		1000	1000	601	601	519	519	375	371	292	292	239	239	239	239
5	8	1000	988	827	815	704	704	580	556	506	506	469	469	469	457
	16	1000	1000	815	815	704	692	568	556	506	506	445	445	445	432
	18	1000	1000	889	889	753	753	618	593	543	543	457	457	457	457
Ava.		1000	996	844	840	720	716	589	568	519	519	457	457	457	449
2															
7	1	1000	1000	963	963	877	865	790	790	716	716	667	655	655	642
	21	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	914	902	827	027	753	753	753	729
	22	1000	1000	1000	1000	0000	1000	0.05	0.02	700	700	7.05	7.55	733	720
	23	1000	1000	1000	1000	963	963	865	865	790	790	741	741	741	729
Avg.		1000	1000	988	988	947	943	826	852	118	//8	120	/16	/16	/00
. .															
increasing	•														
2	3	1000	1000	469	469	321	321	247	235	222	222	198	198	198	198
	5	1000	988	457	457	321	321	235	235	198	198	185	185	185	185
	11	1000	1000	432	432	284	284	198	198	173	173	161	161	161	161
Avg.		1000	996	453	453	309	309	226	222	198	198	181	181	181	181
4	12	1000	1000	618	618	568	568	482	469	432	432	395	395	395	395
	14	1000	1000	630	630	556	543	494	494	420	420	395	395	395	395
	15	1000	1000	543	54.3	494	494	408	408	358	358	321	321	321	321
Ava.		1000	1000	597	597	539	535	461	4.57	403	403	371	371	371	371
													0.1		
Decreasing	:														
6	2	1000	1000	667	667	531	531	383	383	284	284	210	210	210	210
Ū.	13	1000	1000	Q15	003	630	630	169	169	201	201	225	225	235	235
	26	1000	000	000	000	0.00	030	405	405	160	100	200	200	200	200
D	20	1000	300	200	988	627	627	100	605	469	469	321	321	321	321
Avg.		1000	996	823	819	663	663	486	486	358	358	255	255	255	255
0	7	1000	1000	1000	076	000	0.65	770				5.0.0	500	500	500
8		1000	1000	1000	976	889	865	//8	//8	679	679	593	580	580	580
	24	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	988	852	852	716	716	593	580	580	580
	25	1000	1000	1000	976	976	976	815	803	716	716	618	618	618	618
Avg.		1000	1000	1000	984	955	943	815	811	704	704	601	593	593	593
Unthinned:															
Control	4	2742	3322	3322	3495	3495	3483	3483	3347	3347	3347	3347	2865	2865	2433
	17	1692	2025	2025	2149	2149	2100	2100	2025	2025	2038	2038	1655	1655	1457
	19	2149	2618	2618	2705	2705	2618	2618	2396	2396	2137	2137	1828	1828	1581
Ava		2194	2655	2655	2793	2703	2722	2733	2500	2500	2507	2507	2116	2116	1824
~yy.		2194	2000	2000	2103	2103	2133	2133	2009	2000	2507	2307	2110	2110	1024

Table 27--Number of live trees per hectare by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age (continued)

							Live	tree	S						
		Calib	ration	Per	iod 1	Per	iod 2	Per	iod 3	Per	iod 4	Per	iod 5	Per	iod 6
Treatment	Plot	After cut 1963 (15)°	Before cut 1966 (18)	After cut 1966 (18)	Before cut 1969 (21)	After cut 1969 (21)	Before cut 1973 (25)	After cut 1973 (25)	Before cut 1977 (29)	After cut 1977 (29)	Before cut 1981 (33)	After cut 1981 (33)	Before cut 1985 (37)	After cut 1985 (37)	Before cut 1990 (42)
						<u>1</u>	'rees pe	r hect	are						
Late thinr	ed:														
Late 1	32							395	395	222	222	148	148	148	148
	34							395	395	210	210	148	148	148	148
	37							408	408	235	235	161	161	161	161
Avg.								399	399	222	222	152	152	152	152
Late 3	30							679	679	543	543	420	420	420	420
	31							667	667	531	531	408	408	408	408
	33							679	667	531	519	383	383	383	383
Avg.								675	671	535	531	403	403	403	403
Late 5	35							939	939	815	815	679	667	667	655
	36							926	926	827	827	741	729	729	729
	38							926	914	865	852	753	704	704	642
Avg.								930	926	836	832	725	700	700	675
Late 7	28							1284	1272	1149	1149	1062	1037	1037	1037
	29							1457	1457	1334	1334	1198	1186	1186	1173
	39							1149	1136	1112	1099	1013	988	988	926
Avg.								1297	1289	1198	1194	1091	1070	1070	1046

-- = missing data.

* Stand age in parenthesis.

					Ç	uadra	atic m	ean d	liamete	er					
		Calibr	ation	Per	iod 1	Per	iod 2	Per	iod 3	Per	iod 4	Per	iod 5	Per	iod 6
- Treatment	Plot	After cut 1963 (15)*	Before cut 1966 (18)	After cut 1966 (18)	Before cut 1969 (21)	After cut 1969 (21)	Before cut 1973 (25)	After cut 1973 (25)	Before cut 1977 (29)	After cut 1977 (29)	Before cut 1981 (33)	After cut 1981 (33)	Before cut 1985 (37)	After cut 1985 (37)	Before cut 1990 (42)
							<u>Centi</u>	meters							
Fixed:															
1 Avg.	6 20 27	10.3 8.7 8.2 9.0	14.3 12.7 11.9 13.0	14.5 13.0 11.8 13.1	19.0 17.4 15.6 17.3	19.1 18.0 15.9 17.7	25.6 24.2 21.4 23.7	26.6 25.3 22.4 24.8	33.3 31.9 28.2 31.1	33.2 32.2 27.6 31.0	39.4 38.4 33.0 36.9	39.2 39.4 33.4 37.3	44.7 45.3 38.4 42.8	44.7 45.3 38.4 42.8	51.7 52.4 44.5 49.5
3	9 10 22	9.0 8.9 9.9	12.9 12.8 14.3	12.8 13.0 14.7	16.7 17.0 19.1	16.9 17.2 19.4	22.1 22.7 25.2	22.1 23.4 26.1	26.5 28.7 31.4	26.2 29.6 32.7	29.8 34.3 37.1	30.0 35.3 38.3	33.2 40.1 42.8	33.2 40.1 42.8	36.8 45.1 48.0
5 Avg.	8 16 18	9.6 9.9 9.1	13.3 13.6 13.7 13.0	13.5 13.5 13.7 13.1	17.3 17.3 16.8	17.5 17.4 16.9	22.1 22.3 21.8	22.4 22.6 21.8	26.2 26.4 25.9	29.5 26.5 26.7 25.8	29.4 30.2 29.4	29.4 30.4 29.6	32.0 33.2 32.8	32.0 33.2 32.8	43.3 35.7 36.6 36.2
Avg.		9.5	13.4	13.5	17.1	17.3	22.1	22.3	26.2	26.3	29.7	29.8	32.7	32.7	36.2
7 Avg.	1 21 23	9.4 8.3 9.1 8.9	13.5 12.3 13.2 13.0	13.6 12.3 13.2 13.0	17.4 16.0 16.6 16.7	17.4 16.0 16.7 16.7	21.8 20.3 20.8 20.9	21.8 20.3 20.9 21.0	25.4 23.8 24.2 24.5	25.4 23.7 24.2 24.5	28.2 26.6 26.7 27.2	28.2 26.6 26.7 27.2	31.0 29.3 28.9 29.7	31.0 29.3 28.9 29.7	34.0 32.5 31.7 32.7
Terreter															
Avg.	: 5 11	9.9 9.8 10.0 9.9	14.0 13.8 14.1 13.9	14.7 14.7 15.3 14.9	19.0 19.3 19.9 19.4	19.7 19.8 20.9 20.1	26.0 25.9 27.5 26.5	26.3 27.0 29.3 27.5	31.6 32.7 35.8 33.4	31.7 33.6 35.7 33.7	36.2 38.2 41.3 38.6	36.8 38.2 41.3 38.8	40.7 42.3 46.5 43.2	40.7 42.3 46.5 43.2	45.7 47.5 52.0 48.4
4 Ava.	12 14 15	9.3 9.5 9.9 9.6	13.3 13.5 14.0 13.6	13.4 13.3 14.3 13.7	17.6 17.6 18.8 18.0	17.6 17.8 18.9 18.1	22.8 23.2 24.8 23.6	23.0 23.3 25.0 23.7	27.5 27.9 30.3 28.6	27.6 28.1 30.5 28.7	31.3 31.7 34.9 32.7	31.7 31.8 34.9 32.8	35.2 35.3 38.6 36.4	35.2 35.3 38.6 36.4	38.9 39.1 42.2 40.0
2															
Decreasing 6	: 13 26	10.2 9.5 7.9	14.5 13.5 11.9	15.0 13.7 11.9	19.3 17.6 15.6	19.5 17.8 15.7	25.0 23.0 20.0	25.6 23.4 20.3	30.3 28.1 24.2	31.2 29.1 24.4	35.5 33.7 28.3	36.8 34.7 29.3	41.1 38.8 33.4	41.1 38.8 33.4	46.0 43.6 38.0
Avg.		9.2	13.3	13.5	17.5	17.7	22.7	23.1	27.5	28.2	32.5	33.6	37.8	37.8	42.5
8 Avg.	7 24 25	9.4 8.2 8.4 8.7	13.3 12.0 12.4 12.5	13.3 12.0 12.4 12.5	16.7 15.5 16.0 16.1	16.8 15.5 16.0 16.1	20.7 19.6 19.9 20.1	20.7 19.7 20.0 20.1	23.7 23.0 23.4 23.4	23.8 23.1 23.4 23.4	26.4 26.2 26.0 26.2	26.4 26.4 26.3 26.3	29.0 29.4 28.7 29.0	29.0 29.4 28.7 29.0	31.9 32.5 31.4 31.9
Inthings															
Control	4 17 19	8.3 8.1 8.4	10.1 10.1 10.6	10.1 10.1 10.6	11.7 12.1 12.6	11.7 12.1 12.6	13.5 14.7 15.0	13.5 14.7 15.0	14.9 16.7 17.1	14.9 16.7 17.1 16.2	15.8 18.0 19.2	15.8 18.0 19.2	17.7 20.8 21.4	17.7 20.8 21.4	20.0 23.2 24.1

Table 28--Quadratic mean diameter (centimeters) for all live trees, by treatment, plot, treatment period, year and stand age

Table 28--Quadratic mean diameter (centimeters) for all live trees, by treatment, plot, treatment period, year and stand age (continued)

					Ç	uadra	atic m	ean d	iamete	er					
		Calibr	ation	Per	iod 1	Per	iod 2	Per	iod 3	Per	iod 4	Peri	iod 5	Per	iod 6
Treatment	Plot	After cut 1963 (15)°	Before cut 1966 (18)	After cut 1966 (18)	Before cut 1969 (21)	After cut 1969 (21)	Before cut 1973 (25)	After cut 1973 (25)	Before cut 1977 (29)	After cut 1977 (29)	Before cut 1981 (33)	After cut 1981 (33)	Before cut 1985 (37)	After cut 1985 (37)	Before cut 1990 (42)
							<u>Centi</u>	meters							
Late thinn	ed.														
Late 1	32							17.8	22.1	25.2	31.0	32.3	37.6	37.6	43 9
Ducc 1	34							18 0	22.2	25.8	30.8	31 9	36 7	36 7	42 5
	37							17.7	21.3	24.5	29.3	30.6	34.9	34.9	40.5
Avg.	0.							17.9	21.9	25.2	30.4	31.6	36.4	36.4	42.3
Late 3	30							17.7	21.1	21.4	25.3	25.8	29.1	29.1	33.2
	31							17.8	21.5	21.9	26.0	26.6	30.5	30.5	34.7
	33							18.1	21.4	21.7	25.8	26.9	30.6	30.6	34.8
Avg.								17.8	21.3	21.7	25.7	26.5	30.1	30.1	34.2
Late 5	35							17.7	20.7	20.9	23.9	24.3	27.2	27.2	30.5
	36							17.8	20.6	20.7	23.3	23.4	25.6	25.6	28.4
	38							17.7	20.3	20.3	22.9	23.2	26.0	26.0	29.9
Avg.								17.8	20.5	20.7	23.3	23.6	26.3	26.3	29.6
Late 7	28							17.2	20.0	20.1	22.3	22.3	24.4	24.4	26.9
	29							16.0	18.5	18.6	20.8	21.0	22.9	22.9	25.0
	39							18.0	20.5	20.6	22.9	23.1	25.3	25.3	27.9
Avg.								17.1	19.7	19.8	22.0	22.2	24.2	24.2	26.6

,

-- = missing data.

* Stand age in parenthesis.

							Basa.	L are	a						
		Calibr	ation	Per	iod 1	Per	iod 2	Per	iod 3	Per	iod 4	Per	iod 5	Per	iod 6
Treatment	Plot	After cut 1963 (15)•	Before cut 1966 (18)	After cut 1966 (18)	Before cut 1969 (21)	After cut 1969 (21)	Before cut 1973 (25)	After cut 1973 (25)	Before cut 1977 (29)	After cut 1977 (29)	Before cut 1981 (33)	After cut 1981 (33)	Before cut 1985 (37)	After cut 1985 (37)	Before cut 1990 (42)
						Squar	re meter	s per	hectare	2					
Fixed:															
1	6 20 27	8.3 5.9 5.3	15.7 12.4 11 1	7.5 7.7 7.8	13.0 13.8 13.4	8.8 8.8 8.5	15.8 15.3 15.6	10.3 10.0 10.2	$16.1 \\ 15.8 \\ 16.2$	10.7 11.0 11.1	15.0 15.7 15.8	11.9 12.1	15.5 15.9 15.8	15.5 15.9 15.8	20.7 21.3 21.1
Avg.	2 '	6.5	13.1	7.7	13.4	8.7	15.6	10.2	16.0	11.0	15.5	12.0	15.7	15.7	21.0
3	9 10 22	6.4 6.3 7.7	13.1 12.9 16.1	8.7 8.0 8.8	14.9 13.8 14.9	12.8 12.9 12.8	21.8 22.5 21.6	16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5	23.2 24.8 23.9	19.3 19.5 19.8	25.0 26.2 25.4	21.8 21.8 21.4	26.7 28.0 26.6	26.7 28.0 26.6	32.9 35.5 33.5
5	8 16 18	7.2 7.7	14.3 14.8 13.3	11.9 12.1	19.1 19.3	12.8 16.9 16.8	27.1 27.0 28.2	22.9 22.9	30.0 30.5 31 1	27.9 28.4	34.4 36.2	31.8 32.2 31 5	37.8 38.5 38.5	37.8 38.5	45.7 45.6 47.1
Avg.	10	7.1	14.1	12.0	19.4	16.9	27.4	22.9	30.5	28.3	35.8	31.8	38.3	38.3	46.2
7 Avg.	1 21 23	7.0 5.4 6.5 6.3	14.4 11.9 13.6 13.3	14.0 11.9 13.6 13.2	23.0 20.2 21.7 21.6	20.9 20.2 21.0 20.7	32.3 32.2 32.7 32.4	29.5 29.5 29.6 29.5	40.2 40.1 39.7 40.0	36.4 36.4 36.4 36.4	44.8 45.9 44.3 45.0	41.6 41.8 41.5 41.7	49.4 50.7 48.7 49.6	49.4 50.7 48.7 49.6	58.1 60.4 57.6 58.7
Increasing															
2 Avg.	3 5 11	7.7 7.5 7.9 7.7	15.4 14.8 15.5 15.2	7.9 7.7 7.9 7.9	13.3 13.4 13.4 13.3	9.8 9.8 9.8 9.8	17.1 16.9 16.9 17.0	13.5 13.4 13.3 13.4	18.4 19.7 19.9 19.3	17.6 17.5 17.3 17.5	22.9 22.6 23.2 22.9	21.0 21.2 21.5 21.3	25.8 26.0 27.2 26.3	25.8 26.0 27.2 26.3	32.5 32.9 34.1 33.2
4 Avg.	12 14 15	6.8 7.1 7.7 7.2	13.9 14.3 15.5 14.6	8.7 8.8 8.8 8.8	$15.0 \\ 15.4 \\ 15.1 \\ 15.1 \\ 15.1$	13.9 13.9 13.9 13.9	23.2 23.0 23.9 23.3	20.1 21.0 19.9 20.3	27.9 30.3 29.4 29.2	25.8 26.0 26.1 26.0	33.2 33.2 34.3 33.6	31.1 31.4 30.8 31.1	38.4 38.6 37.7 38.2	38.4 38.6 37.7 38.2	46.9 47.4 44.9 46.4
Decreasing															
6 Avg.	2 13 26	8.2 7.1 4.9 6.7	16.5 14.4 10.9 13.9	11.8 12.0 10.9 11.6	19.5 19.5 18.8 19.3	15.9 15.7 15.9 15.9	26.1 26.2 26.1 26.2	19.7 20.1 19.5 19.8	27.7 29.1 27.8 28.2	21.7 21.4 21.9 21.6	28.1 28.6 29.5 28.7	22.4 22.2 21.6 22.1	27.9 27.8 28.1 27.9	27.9 27.8 28.1 27.9	34.9 35.1 36.4 35.5
8 Avg.	7 24 25	6.9 5.3 5.5 5.9	13.9 11.3 12.1 12.4	13.9 11.3 12.1 12.4	21.3 18.9 19.7 20.0	19.7 18.9 19.7 19.4	29.0 29.7 30.4 29.7	26.1 26.0 25.7 25.9	34.4 35.4 34.4 34.7	30.3 30.1 30.7 30.4	37.1 38.7 38.0 37.9	32.4 32.4 33.5 32.7	38.4 39.4 39.8 39.2	38.4 39.4 39.8 39.2	46.3 48.2 47.9 47.5
linthinn)			/1		2000		22.1	20.9	0	20.1	0.00	02.1	02.2		
Control	4 17 19	14.9 8.7 12.0	26.8 16.4 23.1	26.8 16.4 23.1	37.5 24.8 33.6	37.5 24.8 33.6	49.5 35.5 46.0	49.5 35.5 46.0	58.0 44.3 55.2	58.0 44.3 55.2	66.0 52.0 61.7	66.0 52.0 61.7	70.2 56.0 65.9	70.2 56.0 65.9	76.2 61.7 72.0
Avg.		11.9	22.1	22.1	32.0	32.0	43.7	43.7	52.5	52.5	59.9	59.9	64.0	64.0	70.0

Table 29--Basal area per hectare for all live trees, by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age

Table 29--Basal area per hectare for all live trees, by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age (continued)

							Basal	area	à						
		Calibr	ation	Per	iod 1	Per	iod 2	Per	iod 3	Per	iod 4	Per	iod 5	Peri	od 6
Treatment	Plot	After cut 1963 (15)*	Before cut 1966 (18)	After cut 1966 (18)	Before cut 1969 (21)	After cut 1969 (21)	Before cut 1973 (25)	After cut 1973 (25)	Before cut 1977 (29)	After cut 1977 (29)	Before cut 1981 (33)	After cut 1981 (33)	Before cut 1985 (37)	After cut 1985 (37)	Before cut 1990 (42)
						Squar	e meter	s per	hectare						
Late thinn	ed:														
Late 1	32							9.9	15.2	11.1	16.8	12.2	16.5	16.5	22.4
	34							10.0	15.3	11.0	15.7	11.9	15.7	15.7	21.0
	37							10.1	14.6	11.1	15.9	11.8	15.4	15.4	20.7
Avg.								10.0	15.0	11.0	16.1	11.9	15.9	15.9	21.4
Late 3	30							16.6	23.8	19.5	27.4	22.0	27.9	27.9	36.3
	31							16.6	24.1	19.9	28.3	22.6	29.8	29.8	38.5
	33							17.5	24.1	19.7	27.2	21.8	28.1	28.1	36.4
Avg.								16.9	24.0	19.7	27.6	22.1	28.6	28.6	37.1
Late 5	35							23.2	31.6	28.1	36.5	31.5	38.8	38.8	47.9
	36							23.1	30.9	28.0	35.1	31.8	37.5	37.5	46.2
	38							22.9	29.6	28.0	35.2	31.8	37.5	37.5	45.2
Avg.								23.1	30.7	28.0	35.6	31.7	37.9	37.9	46.4
Late 7	28							29.8	39.8	36.4	45.1	41.6	48.6	48.6	58.9
	29							29.4	39.4	36.4	45.3	41.6	48.8	48.8	57.5
	39							29.3	37.6	37.1	45.4	42.5	49.6	49.6	56.7
Avg.								29.5	38.9	36.6	45.3	41.9	49.0	49.0	57.7

-- = missing data.

• Stand age in parenthesis.

								Vol	ume						
		Calibr	ation	Per	iod 1	Per	iod 2	Per	iod 3	Per	iod 4	Per	iod 5	Per	iod 6
Treatment	Plot	After cut 1963 (15)*	Before cut 1966 (18)	After cut 1966 (18)	Before cut 1969 (21)	After cut 1969 (21)	Before cut 1973 (25)	After cut 1973 (25)	Before cut 1977 (29)	After cut 1977 (29)	Before cut 1981 (33)	After cut 1981 (33)	Before cut 1985 (37)	After cut 1985 (37)	Before cut 1990 (42)
						<u>Cubi</u>	c meter:	s per l	hectare						
Finade															
rixed:	6	29	70	34	71	10	107	71	129	05	134	106	151	151	220
1	20	19	52	34	71	49	107	63	129	79	127	100	144	144	220
	20	15	15	32	69	40	90	63	113	92	135	101	144	144	210
Ava	27	21	45	32	70	44	94	66	120	82	132	102	147	147	223
Avg.		21	50	55	10	40))	00	120	02	152	102	147	14/	221
3	9	20	56	30	76	66	1/1	107	174	145	216	100	253	253	351
3	10	20	56	30	70	66	141	107	107	140	210	109	200	203	390
	22	20	72	40	70	60	14.5	100	10/	140	222	109	261	201	360
7	22	20	12	40	79	68	141	108	184	105	221	187	209	209	369
Avg.		22	61	37	75	67	142	107	182	149	220	186	258	258	367
c .	0	0.5	C 2	E 2	105	0.2	101	154	020	000	210	207	275	275	511
5	10	20	63	53	105	93	101	104	239	223	310	287	375	375	517
	10	27	67	54	107	94	182	100	240	229	332	296	390	390	500
2	18	22	58	23	107	92	186	151	244	223	328	280	380	380	526
Avg.		25	63	53	106	93	183	153	243	225	323	288	382	382	518
7	1	22	61	60	122	112	211	102	212	202	205	267	107	107	645
/	21	23	47	47	102	102	211	193	312	203	390	307	407	40/	643
	21	21	4 /	4 /	103	1103	205	100	304	276	397	362	480	480	643
Ava	23	21	57	57	113	100	212	192	307	201	309	364	4/3	4/3	627
Avg.		20	55	50	113	108	209	191	308	280	394	364	481	481	639
I															
ncreasing	•	07	20	27	70	F 4	117	0.0	145	120	205	100	0.5.7	0.5.7	267
2	5	27	70	37	72	54	117	92	145	138	205	109	257	207	307
	5	26	68	37	75	56	120	97	163	145	212	199	267	267	3//
	11	27	70	36	13	53	115	91	153	133	199	185	256	256	367
Avg.		27	/0	31	/4	54	11/	93	154	139	205	191	260	260	370
	1.0	0.0	C1	2.0	~~~		1.5.0	100	017	000		075	276	276	515
4	12	23	61	38	80	/4	152	132	217	200	294	275	376	376	515
	14	25	65	40	85	//	157	144	244	210	304	288	394	394	535
	15	27	69	39	83	76	161	134	231	205	303	271	368	368	492
Avg.		25	65	39	83	/6	156	136	230	205	300	278	379	379	514
Desusation															
Decreasing	•	2.0	7.4	E 4	107	0.0	122	124	014	1.00	0.5.0	100	270	270	400
6	12	28	74	54	107	88	1//	134	214	169	250	199	279	2/9	402
	13	24	63	52	103	83	171	131	220	159	240	184	256	236	368
	26	16	46	46	100	85	1/4	130	219	172	264	192	269	269	395
Avg.		23	61	51	103	85	174	132	218	167	251	192	268	268	388
0	-		~ ~ ~	~ ~	110	100	1.05	1.5.0		0.0.0	222	0.00	200	200	5.00
8	~	24	62	62	117	108	195	176	271	239	339	296	382	382	508
	24	18	47	4.7	99	99	196	171	273	232	347	289	385	385	522
	25	19	52	52	106	106	203	172	268	239	344	303	397	397	529
Avg.		20	54	54	107	104	198	173	271	237	343	296	388	388	520
Unthinned:															
Control	4	50	116	116	199	199	320	320	440	440	548	548	648	648	803
	17	28	70	70	132	132	229	229	337	337	437	437	526	526	662
	19	40	100	100	181	181	302	302	426	426	530	530	628	628	785
Avg.		39	95	95	171	171	284	284	401	401	505	505	601	601	750

Table 30--Total stem volume per hectare for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age

Table 30--Total stem volume per hectare for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age (continued)

					· · ·			Vol	ume						
		Calibr	ation	Per	iod 1	Per	iod 2	Per	iod 3	Per	iod 4	Per	iod 5	Per	iod 6
Treatment	Plot	After cut 1963 (15)*	Before cut 1966 (18)	After cut 1966 (18)	Before cut 1969 (21)	After cut 1969 (21)	Before cut 1973 (25)	After cut 1973 (25)	Before cut 1977 (29)	After cut 1977 (29)	Before cut 1981 (33)	After cut 1981 (33)	Before cut 1985 (37)	After cut 1985 (37)	Before cut 1990 (42)
						Cubi	c meter:	s per l	hectare						
Late thinn	ed:														
Late 1	32							66	115	86	146	104	152	152	230
	34							68	118	88	141	108	155	155	230
	37				<u> </u>			66	109	85	135	100	140	140	211
Avg.								66	114	86	140	104	149	149	224
Late 3	30							106	176	144	232	187	259	259	371
	31							110	184	153	247	198	286	286	406
	33							117	185	152	241	196	273	273	391
Avg.								111	182	150	240	193	272	272	390
Late 5	35							158	251	223	326	282	377	377	519
	36							157	244	222	311	282	359	359	489
	38							158	238	225	317	288	369	369	498
Avg.								158	244	223	318	284	368	368	502
Late 7	28							186	301	275	388	358	450	450	608
	29							180	293	271	385	354	444	444	583
	39							187	287	283	393	368	464	464	597
Avg.								184	294	277	388	360	453	453	596

-- = missing data.

* Stand age in parenthesis.

				Yield	lª			
- Treatment	1963 (15)⁵	1966 (18)	1969 (21)	1973 (25)	1977 (29)	1981 (33)	1985 (37)	1990 (42)
			Cubic	<u>meters p</u>	oer hecta	re		
				Net yi	eld			
Fixed:								
1	21	56	93	146	201	251	295	369
3	22	61	99	175	250	320	392	501
5	25	63	115	206	205	2020	100	624
J 7	23	63	110	200	295	393	400	710
_ / .	20	55	113	215	332	445	562	/19
Increasing:								
2	27	70	107	169	230	296	365	475
4	25	65	108	189	283	378	479	615
Decreasing:								
6	23	61	114	203	288	373	449	570
8	20	54	107	201	299	405	497	629
Unthinned.		0.	201	201	200			
Control	20	0.5	171	201	401	505	601	750
CONCLOT	39	90	1/1	204	401	202	601	750
Late thinning:								
Late 1				66	114	168	213	288
Late 3				111	182	272	251	468
				150	244	272	422	557
Late J				194	244	339	423	517
Late /				104	294	406	499	642
				Gross y	ield			
Fixed:				-				
1	21	56	94	148	202	252	297	371
2	22	61	99	175	250	202	202	502
5 F	22	61	110	207	200	321	392	502
5	25	63	110	207	304	402	496	030
	20	55	113	215	332	445	564	126
Increasing:								
2	27	70	107	170	234	300	368	479
4	25	65	108	190	284	380	481	616
Decreasing:								
6	23	61	114	203	289	373	450	570
8	20	54	108	204	302	408	501	633
Unthinned:	20	51	100	201	502	100	501	000
Control	20	06	170	200	410	F 17	621	000
CONCLOT	39	90	172	200	410	517	031	803
Late thinning:								
Late 1				66	114	168	213	288
Late 3				111	182	272	351	468
Late 5				159	245	330	126	561
Lato 7				104	275	100	720	6501
Late /				104	290	400	505	653

Table 31--Total yield in cubic meters by treatment, year, and stand age

-- = missing data.

^a Wood cut in initial late thinning, in 1973, is not included in cumulative yield.

^b Stand age in parenthesis.

GROWTH, INTERNATIONAL UNITS, ALL TREES

		·		Diameter	growth			
Treatment	Calib. (1963-66) (15-18)*	Period 1 (1966-69) (18-21)	Period 2 (1969-73) (21-25)	Period 3 (1973-77) (25-29)	Period 4 (1977-81) (29-33)	Period 5 (1981-85) (33-37)	Period 6 (1985-90) (37-42)	Total (1963-90) (15-42)
			Cer	ntimeters p Net gro	<u>per year</u> owth			Total
Fixed:	1 01	1 40	1 50	1 50		1 07	1	
1	1.31	1.40	1.52	1.59	1.47	1.37	1.34	38.60
3	1.35	1.38	1.37	1.25	1.05	1.04	. 92	31.66
5	1.30	1.22	1.20	.97	.83	. 12	.71	25.98
_ / .	1.35	1.23	1.06	.87	. 68	.64	.60	23.74
Increasing:	1 05		1 50		4		4 95	
2	1.35	1.50	1.59	1.45	1.23	1.10	1.05	35.29
4	1.35	1.43	1.37	1.21	.99	.89	. 74	29.86
Decreasing:		4					A -	
6	1.36	1.32	1.26	1.12	1.07	1.04	.95	30.75
8	1.29	1.18	.99	.81	.69	. 67	.58	22.93
Unthinned:	_							
Control	.67	.61	.56	.47	.36	.57	.50	14.15
Late thinni	ng							
Late 1				1.00	1.30	1.20	1.18	19.94
Late 3				.87	1.02	.90	.83	15.34
Late 5				.69	.67	.67	.67	11.45
Late 7				.65	.56	.51	.48	9.28
				Survivor	${\tt growth}^{ extsf{b}}$			
Fixed:								
1	1.31	1.40	1.53	1.59	1.47	1.37	1.34	38,66
3	1.35	1.38	1.37	1.24	1.05	1.04	. 92	31.63
5	1.30	1.22	1.20	. 99	.83	. 72	. 68	25.93
7	1.35	1.23	1.05	.87	. 68	. 63	.56	23.46
Increasing:	1100	1.00	1000					20110
2	1.35	1.50	1.59	1.50	1.23	1.10	1.05	35.50
4	1.35	1.43	1.37	1.20	.99	.89	.74	29.82
Decreasing:	1.00	1115	1.57	1.20		.05	• / •	20102
6	1.36	1.33	1.26	1.12	1.07	1.04	. 95	30.77
8	1.29	1.17	.99	.79	. 69	. 64	.58	22.76
Unthinned:								
Control	.69	.60	.52	.39	.30	.28	.29	11.26
Late thinni	ng:							
Late 1				1.00	1.30	1.20	1.18	19.94
Late 3				87	1.00	90	.83	15.25
Late 5				69	66	59	59	10 71
Late 7				.05	56	49	44	9 00
Jace /				.05	.50	. 10	. 11	2.00

Table 32--Periodic annual quadratic mean diameter growth in centimeters by treatment, treatment period, year, and stand age

-- = missing data.

^a Stand age in parenthesis.

^b Includes only trees alive at the end of each period.

GROWTH, INTERNATIONAL UNITS, ALL TREES

Table 33--Periodic annual basal area growth per hectare by treatment, treatment period, year, and stand age

			В	asal area	growth			
 Treatment	Calib. (1963-66) (15-18)*	Period 1 (1966-69) (18-21)	Period 2 (1969-73) (21-25)	Period 3 (1973-77) (25-29)	Period 4 (1977-81) (29-33)	Period 5 (1981-85) (33-37)	Period 6 (1985-90) (37-42)	Total (1963-90) (15-42)
			<u>Square m</u>	eters per Net gro	hectare p	er year		Total m²/ha
Fixed:								
1	2.19	1.89	1.71	1.47	1.14	.94	1.06	38.61
3	2.41	2.01	2.28	1.85	1.50	1.37	1.37	48.11
5	2.33	2.45	2.64	1.90	1.89	1.61	1.57	54.36
7	2.33	2.82	2.92	2.62	2.15	1.98	1.82	63.23
Increasing:								
2	2.50	1.82	1.79	1.48	1.36	1.27	1.36	43,43
4	2.46	2.13	2.37	2.22	1.91	1.77	1.64	55.01
Decreasing:								
6	2.40	2.56	2.58	2.11	1.77	1.46	1.51	54.11
8	2.16	2.53	2.57	2.20	1.89	1.62	1.65	55.42
Unthinned:								
Control	3.41	3.29	2.93	2.21	1.85	1.04	1.19	58.13
Late thinni	ng:							
Late 1				1.25	1.26	.98	1.11	19.52
Late 3				1.78	1.97	1.62	1.69	29.93
Late 5				1.91	1.89	1.56	1.70	29.92
Late 7				2.35	2.16	1.78	1.74	33.85
				Gross gi	rowth			
Fixed:								
1	2.24	1.91	1.75	1.47	1.14	.94	1.06	38.94
3	2.41	2.01	2.28	1.88	1.50	1.37	1.37	48.22
5	2.34	2.46	2.66	2.14	1.89	1.61	1.65	55.88
7	2.33	2.82	2.93	2.63	2.15	2.01	1.93	63.99
Increasing:								
2	2.53	1.82	1.79	1.58	1.36	1.27	1.36	43.90
4	2.46	2.13	2.39	2.24	1.91	1.77	1.64	55.21
Decreasing:								
6	2.41	2.60	2.58	2.11	1.77	1.46	1.51	54.23
8	2.16	2.59	2.65	2.20	1.89	1.64	1.65	56.07
Unthinned:								
Control	3.48	3.35	3.04	2.42	1.96	1.73	1.75	65.82
Late thinni	.ng:							
Late 1				1.25	1.26	. 98	1.11	19.52
Late 3				1.80	1.98	1.62	1.69	30.04
Late 5				1.92	1.89	1.63	1.76	30.57
Late 7				2.41	2.19	1.88	1.85	35.16

-- = missing data.

^a Stand age in parenthesis.

Table 34--Periodic annual cubic-meter volume growth by treatment, treatment period, year, and stand age

	Total volume growth													
Treatment	Calib. (1963-66) (15-18)*	Period 1 (1966-69) (18-21)	Period 2 (1969-73) (21-25)	Period 3 (1973-77) (25-29)	Period 4 (1977-81) (29-33)	Period 5 (1981-85) (33-37)	Period 6 (1985-90) (37-42)	Total (1963-90) (15-42)						
			Cubic me	ters per	hectare pe	r year		Total						
This and a				Net gr	owth			<u>m³/ha</u>						
rixed	11	12	12	14	12	11	15	240						
1	13	13	19	19	19	18	10	179						
5	13	19	23	22	25	24	22	599						
7	12	10	25	29	29	29	31	699						
Ingrossing	. 12	19	25	25	20	25	51	000						
2	• 14	12	16	15	17	17	22	448						
2	13	14	20	23	24	25	27	590						
Decreasing	. 15	14	20	23	27	20	21	350						
6	. 13	18	22	21	21	19	24	547						
Ř	11	18	23	24	27	23	26	609						
Unthinned:		10	20	2.	2,	20	20	000						
Control	19	25	28	29	26	24	30	711						
Late thinn	ing:													
Late 1				12	14	11	15	221						
Late 3				18	23	20	23	357						
Late 5				22	24	21	27	399						
Late 7				27	28	23	29	458						
				Gross g	rowth									
Fixed:														
1	12	13	13	14	13	11	15	350						
3	13	13	19	19	18	18	22	480						
5	13	18	23	24	25	24	28	611						
- ' .	12	19	25	29	28	30	32	706						
Increasing	:	10	1.6	1.0	1.7	17	2.2	45.0						
2	14	12	16	16	17	1/	22	452						
4	. 13	14	20	24	24	25	27	591						
Decreasing	:	10		0.1	0.1	10	24	5 4 7						
6	13	18	22	21	21	19	24	547						
ð Tu thi i nu si i	11	18	24	24	21	23	26	613						
Control	19	25	29	31	27	29	34	764						
Late thinn	ing:													
Late 1				12	14	11	15	221						
Late 3				18	23	20	23	357						
Late 5		·		22	24	22	27	403						
Late 7				28	28	24	30	469						

-- = missing data.

^a Stand age in parenthesis.

`	Live trees cut													
Treatment	1966 (18)ª	1969 (21)	1973 (25)	1977 (29)	1981 (33)	1985 (37)	Total							
			<u>Trees</u>	per hecta	ire		Total trees/ba							
Fixed:							<u>02000/114</u>							
1	399.3	218.2	144.1	65.9	37.0	.0	864.5							
3	399.3	82.3	144.1	78.2	53.5	.0	757.5							
5 7	152.3 12.3	41.2	86.5	49.4 74.1	61.8 57.6	.0	510.5 271.7							
Increasing:														
2	543.4	144.1	82.3	24.7	16.5	.0	811.0							
4	403.4	57.6	74.1	53.5	32.9	. 0	621.6							
Decreasing:														
6	172.9	156.4	177.0	127.6	102.9	.0	736.9							
8	.0	28.8	127.6	107.0	102.9	.0	366.4							
Unthinned:														
Control	.0	.0	.0	.0	. 0	.0	.0							
Late thinned:														
Late 1				177.0	70.0	.0	247.0							
Late 3				135.9	127.6	.0	263.5							
Late 7				90.6	107.0	.0	197.8							
		Quadratio	<u>c mean di</u>	ameterc	centimeters	5								
Fixed:														
1	13.1	16.5	21.9	31.3	35.0	.0								
3	13.3	16.2	21.9	26.8	30.5	.0								
5	13.3	16.3	21.2	24.3	28.6	.0								
7	12.2	16.6	20.6	24.7	27.2	.0								
Increasing:														
2	13.1	17.7	23.5	30.9	36.0	.0								
4	13.5	16.8	22.8	27.7	31.0	.0								
Decreasing:														
6	13.2	16.7	21.4	25.6	28.7	. 0								
8	.0	15.6	19.4	22.8	25.4	.0								
Unthinned:														
Control	.0	.0	.0	.0	. 0	.0								
Late thinned:														
Late 1				16.9	27.5	.0								
Late 3				20.0	23.4	.0								
Late 5				19.4	21.5	.0								
Late /				10.0	20.4	. 0								

Table 35--Live trees cut per hectare by treatment age and year at start of period

	Live trees cut													
Treatment	1966 (18)ª	1969 (21)	1973 (25)	1977 (29)	1981 (33)	1985 (37)	Total							
		<u>Basal a</u>	reasquar	e meters	per hect	are	Total <u>M²/ha</u>							
Fixed: 1 3 5 7	5.4 5.5 2.1 .1	4.6 1.7 2.5 .9	5.4 5.4 4.5 2.9	5.1 4.4 2.3 3.6	3.6 3.9 4.0 3.3	. 0 . 0 . 0 . 0	24.1 21.0 15.3 10.8							
Increasing: 2 4	7.4 5.8	3.5 1.3	3.6 3.0	1.9 3.2	1.7 2.5	. 0 . 0	18.0 15.8							
Decreasing: 6 8	2.3	3.4 .5	6.4 3.8	6.6 4.4	6.7 5.2	. 0 . 0	25.4 13.9							
Unthinned: Control	. 0	. 0	. 0	. 0	. 0	. 0	.0							
Late thinned: Late 1 Late 3 Late 5 Late 7		 	 	4.0 4.3 2.7 2.3	4.2 5.5 3.9 3.4	. 0 . 0 . 0 . 0	8.1 9.7 6.6 5.7							
		Volum	ecubic m	<u>eters per</u>	<u>hectare</u>		Total M³/ba							
Fixed: 1 3 5 7	23 24 9 1	24 9 13 5	33 35 30 19	38 33 18 27	30 33 36 29	0 0 0 0	148 134 106 80							
Increasing: 2 4	33 26	19 7	24 20	15 25	14 22	0 0	105 100							
Decreasing: 6 8	10 0	18 3	42 25	51 34	60 47	0 0	181 109							
Unthinned: Control	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	0							
Late thinned: Late 1 Late 3 Late 5 Late 7		 	 	28 32 21 17	36 47 34 29	0 0 0 0	64 78 55 46							

Table 35--Live trees cut per hectare by treatment age and year at start of period (continued)

-- = missing data.

* Stand age in parenthesis.

Annual mortality, end of period													
Treatment	1966 (18)ª	1969 (21)	1973 (25)	1977 (29)	1981 (33)	1985 (37)	1990 (42)	Total					
			Trees	per hect	<u>are</u>			Total					
Fixed: 1 3 5 7	16 0 4 0	4 0 4 0	4 0 4 4	0 4 21 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 4	0 . 0 . 8 16	24 4 41 28					
Increasing: 2 4	4 0	0 0	0 4	4 4	0 0	0 0	0 0	8 8					
Decreasing: 6 8	4 0	4 16	0 12	0 4	0 0	0 8	0 0	8 40					
Thinned: Control	21	37	103	109	91	391	292	1104					
Late thinned Late 1 Late 3 Late 5 Late 7	d: 	 	 	0 4 4 8	0 4 0 8	0 0 25 25	0 0 25 25	0 8 54 66					
		Quad	<u>ratic mean</u>	diameter	centime	<u>ters</u>							
Fixed: 1 3 5 7	10.18 .00 11.37 .00	12.59 .00 12.40 .00	21.65 .00 17.04 13.97	.00 18.81 24.31 11.59	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 18.81	.00 .00 24.23 20.84						
Increasing: 2 4	14.49 .00	.00 .00	.00 16.53	35.86 19.32	.00	.00 .00	.00						
Decreasing: 6 8	7.81 .00	18.28 12.64	.00 18.28	.00 6.99	.00 .00	.00 13.57	.00 .00						
Unthinned: Control	10.82	8.01	7.53	7.99	8.12	9.50	10.99						
Late thinned Late 1 Late 3 Late 5 Late 7	d: 	 	 	.00 15.23 10.82 19.54	.00 10.36 .00 13.35	.00 .00 12.51 14.22	.00 .00 12.50 16.94						

Table 36--Periodic annual mortality per hectare, all trees, by treatment, period, year, and stand age

MORTALITY, INTERNATIONAL UNITS, ALL TREES

Annual mortality, end of period												
Treatment	1966 (18)ª	196619691973197719811985(18)*(21)(25)(29)(33)(37)					1990 (42)	Total				
		<u>Basal</u>	areasqu	are meter	s per he	<u>ctare</u>		Total				
Fixed:								<u>m / ma</u>				
1	.13	.05	.15	.00	.00	.00	.00	.33				
3	.00	.00	.00	.11	.00	.00	.00	.11				
5	.04	.05	.09	.97	.00	.00	.37	1.52				
7	.00	.00	.06	.04	.00	.11	.55	.76				
Increasing:												
2	.07	.00	.00	.40	.00	.00	.00	.47				
4	.00	.00	.09	.12	.00	.00	.00	.20				
Decreasing												
6	. 02	.11	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.12				
8	.00	.20	.32	.02	.00	.12	.00	.65				
Unthinned												
Control	.19	.19	.46	.85	.47	2.77	2.77	7.70				
Late thinned:												
Late 1				.00	.00	.00	.00	.00				
Late 3				.07	.03	.00	.00	.11				
Late 5				.04	.00	.31	.31	.65				
Late 7				.24	.11	.40	.56	1.31				
		Vol	umecubi	c meters	per hect	are		Total				
					-			<u>m³/ha</u>				
Fixed:	50	24	90	00	0.0	00	0.0	1 63				
1 3	. 50	.24	. 90	.00	.00	.00	.00	83				
5	.16	.00	.54	7.50	.00	.00	3.68	12.11				
7	.00	.00	.36	.27	.00	.95	5.23	6.81				
Increasing:	20	0.0	00	2 24	0.0	0.0	0.0	3 51				
Δ	.30	.00	.00	3.24	.00	.00	.00	1.27				
1	.00	.00		• / /				1.1.1				
Decreasing:								<i>с</i> ,				
6	.07	.57	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.64				
8	.00	. 98	2.07	.06	.00	.99	.00	4.11				
Unthinned:												
Control	.85	.85	2.39	5.14	2.66	18.54	22.13	52.56				
Late thinned:												
Late 1				.00	.00	.00	.00	.00				
Late 3				.47	.19	.00	.00	.65				
Late 5				.21	.00	2.09	2.07	4.36				
Late 7				1.81	.87	3.25	5.29	11.22				

Table 36--Periodic annual mortality per hectare, all trees, by treatment, period, year, and stand age (continued)

-- = missing data.

^a stand age in parenthesis.

STAND DEVELOPMENT TABLE, INTERNATIONAL UNITS

Table 37--Stand development table by treatment, per hectare basis

		After thinning						Removed in thinning					Mortality				Yi	eld⁴	Net growth			
Year	Stand age	H100•	Trees left	Avg dbh	Basal area	Total vol ^b	Trees cut	s Avg dbh	Basal area	Total vol	Avg vol	Avg d/D°	Trees dead	: Avg dbh	Basal area	Total vol	Net vol	Gross vol	dbh PAI	Ba PAI	Vol PAI	Vol MAI
	Yrs	т	No	Cm	m²	m³	No	Cm	m²	т³	m³		No	Cm	m²	m³	m³	m ³	Cm	m²	m³	m³
Trea	tment	1																				
1963 1966 1969 1973 1977 1981 1985	15 18 21 25 29 33 37 42	8 11 13 17 20 23 26 30	1000 585 362 214 148 111 111	9.0 13.1 17.7 24.8 31.0 37.3 42.8	6.5 7.7 8.7 10.2 11.0 12.0 15.7 21.0	21 33 46 66 82 102 147 221	0 399 218 144 66 37 0	.0 13.1 16.5 21.9 31.3 35.0 .0	.0 5.4 4.6 5.4 5.1 3.6 .0	0 23 24 33 38 30 0	.0 .1 .1 .2 .6 .8 .0	.00 .99 .97 .93 1.01 .97 .00		.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .1 .0 .1 .0 .0 .0	0 0 1 0 0 0	21 56 93 146 201 251 295 369	21 56 94 148 202 252 297 371	.00 1.31 1.40 1.52 1.59 1.47 1.37	.0 2.2 1.9 1.7 1.5 1.1 .9	0 11 12 13 14 13 11	1 3 4 6 7 8 8
Trea	tment	30	111	49.5	21.0	221	0	.0	.0	0	.0	.00	, ,	.0	.0	0	309	571	1.34	1.1	15	9
1963 1966 1969 1973 1977 1981 1985 1990 Trea	15 18 21 25 29 33 37 42 tment	9 12 14 17 20 23 26 30 5	1000 601 519 375 292 239 239 239	9.3 13.5 17.9 23.9 29.5 34.5 38.7 43.3	6.8 8.5 12.8 16.5 19.5 21.6 27.1 34.0	22 37 67 107 149 186 258 367	0 399 82 144 78 54 0 0	.0 13.3 16.2 21.9 26.8 30.5 .0 .0	.0 5.5 1.7 5.4 4.4 3.9 .0	0 24 9 35 33 33 0 0	.0 .1 .1 .2 .4 .6 .0 .0	.00 .99 .91 .95 .94 .91 .00) 0) 0 5 0 5 0 4 4 0 0 0 0 0 0	.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .0 .0 .0 .1 .0 .0	0 0 0 1 0 0 0	22 61 99 175 250 320 392 501	22 61 99 175 250 321 392 502	.00 1.35 1.38 1.37 1.25 1.05 1.04 .92	.0 2.4 2.0 2.3 1.9 1.5 1.4 1.4	0 13 19 19 19 18 18 22	1 3 5 7 9 10 11 12
1963 1966 1969 1973 1977 1981 1985 1990	15 18 21 25 29 33 37 42	9 12 15 18 22 24 27 31	1000 844 720 589 519 457 457 449	9.5 13.5 17.3 22.3 26.3 29.8 32.7 36.2	7.1 12.0 16.9 22.9 28.3 31.8 38.3 46.2	25 53 93 153 225 288 382 518	0 152 119 128 49 62 0 0	.0 13.3 16.3 21.2 24.3 28.6 .0 .0	.0 2.1 2.5 4.5 2.3 4.0 .0	0 9 13 30 18 36 0 0	.0 .1 .2 .4 .6 .0	.00 .97 .95 .96 .93 .97 .00	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 \\ 7 & 4 \\ 5 & 4 \\ 6 & 4 \\ 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 \\ \end{array}$.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .0 .1 1.0 .0 .0 .0	0 0 1 8 0 0 4	25 63 115 206 295 393 488 624	25 63 116 207 304 402 496 636	.00 1.30 1.22 1.20 .97 .83 .72 .71	.0 2.3 2.4 2.6 1.9 1.9 1.6 1.6	0 13 18 23 22 25 24 27	2 3 5 8 10 12 13 15
Treat	tment	7																				
1963 1966 1969 1973 1977 1981 1985 1990	15 18 21 25 29 33 37 42	8 11 14 17 20 23 26 29	1000 988 947 856 778 720 716 700	8.9 13.0 16.7 21.0 24.5 27.2 29.7 32.7	6.3 13.2 20.7 29.5 36.4 41.7 49.6 58.7	20 55 108 191 280 364 481 639	0 12 41 86 74 58 0 0	.0 12.2 16.6 20.6 24.7 27.2 .0 .0	.0 .1 .9 2.9 3.6 3.3 .0 .0	0 1 5 19 27 29 0 0	.0 .0 .1 .2 .4 .5 .0	.00 .30 .63 .99 1.01 1.00 .00		.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .0 .0 .1 .0 .0 .1 .5	0 0 0 0 0 1 5	20 55 113 215 332 445 562 719	20 55 113 215 332 445 564 726	.00 1.35 1.23 1.06 .87 .68 .64 .60	.0 2.3 2.8 2.9 2.6 2.1 2.0 1.8	0 12 19 25 29 28 29 31	1 3 9 11 13 15 17

STAND DEVELOPMENT TABLE, INTERNATIONAL UNITS

Table 37--Stand development table by treatment, per hectare basis (continued)

	After thinning						Removed in thinning							Mortality				Yield ^d		Net growth		
Year	Stand age	H100ª	Trees left	Avg dbh	Basal area	Total vol ^b	Trees cut	s Avg dbh	Basal area	Total vol	Avg vol	Avg d/D°	Trees dead	Avg dbh	Basal area	Total vol	Net vol	Gross vol	dbh PAI	Ba PAI	Vol PAI	Vol MAI
	Yrs	т	No	Cm	m²	m³	No	Cm	m²	m³	m³		No	Cm	m²	m³	m	m³	Cm	m²	m³	m³
Treat	tment :	2																				
1963 1966 1969 1973 1977 1981 1985 1990	15 18 21 25 29 33 37 42	9 12 15 18 21 24 27 31	1000 453 309 226 198 181 181 181	9.9 14.9 20.1 27.5 33.7 38.8 43.2 48.4	7.7 7.9 9.8 13.4 17.5 21.3 26.3 33.2	27 37 54 93 139 191 260 370	0 543 144 82 25 16 0 0	.0 13.1 17.7 23.5 30.9 36.0 .0 .0	.0 7.4 3.5 3.6 1.9 1.7 .0	0 33 19 24 15 14 0 0	.0 .1 .3 .6 .9 .0	.00 .94 .91 .89 .92 .96 .00	0 0 4 4 0 0 2 4 5 0 0 0 0 0	.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .1 .0 .0 .4 .0 .0	0 0 0 3 0 0 0	27 70 107 230 296 365 475	27 70 107 170 234 300 368 479	.00 1.35 1.50 1.59 1.45 1.23 1.10 1.05	.0 2.5 1.8 1.8 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.4	0 14 12 16 15 17 17 22	2 4 5 7 8 9 10 11
Treat	tment	4																				
1963 1966 1969 1973 1977 1981 1985 1990	15 18 21 25 29 33 37 42	9 11 14 18 21 24 26 30	1000 597 539 461 403 371 371 371	9.6 13.7 18.1 23.7 28.7 32.8 36.4 40.0	7.2 8.8 13.9 20.3 26.0 31.1 38.2 46.4	25 39 76 136 205 278 379 514	0 403 58 74 54 33 0 0	.0 13.5 16.8 22.8 27.7 31.0 .0	.0 5.8 1.3 3.0 3.2 2.5 .0 .0	0 26 7 20 25 22 0 0	.0 .1 .3 .5 .7 .0	.00 .99 .94 .96 .97 .94 .00	0 0 0 0 5 4 4 0 0 0 0 0	.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .0 .1 .1 .0 .0	0 0 1 1 0 0 0	25 65 108 189 283 378 479 615	25 65 108 190 284 380 481 616	.00 1.35 1.43 1.37 1.21 .99 .89 .74	.0 2.5 2.1 2.4 2.2 1.9 1.8 1.6	0 13 14 20 23 24 25 27	2 4 5 8 10 11 13 15
Trea	tment	6																				
1963 1966 1969 1973 1977 1981 1985 1990	15 18 21 25 29 33 37 42	8 11 14 17 20 23 26 31	1000 823 663 486 358 255 255 255	9.2 13.5 17.7 23.1 28.2 33.6 37.8 42.5	6.7 11.6 15.9 19.8 21.6 22.1 27.9 35.5	23 51 85 132 167 192 268 388	0 173 156 177 128 103 0 0	.0 13.2 16.7 21.4 25.6 28.7 .0 .0	.0 2.3 3.4 6.4 6.6 6.7 .0	0 10 18 42 51 60 0	.0 .0 .1 .2 .4 .6 .0	.00 .62 .96 .95 .94 .90 .00	0 0 2 4 5 4 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .0 .1 .0 .0 .0 .0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0	23 61 114 203 288 373 449 570	23 61 114 203 289 373 450 570	.00 1.36 1.32 1.26 1.12 1.07 1.04 .95	.0 2.4 2.6 2.6 2.1 1.8 1.5 1.5	0 13 18 22 21 21 19 24	2 3 5 8 10 11 12 14
Trea	tment	8																				
1963 1966 1969 1973 1977 1981 1985 1990	15 18 21 25 29 33 37 42	8 12 14 17 20 23 26 29	1000 1000 955 815 704 601 593 593	8.7 12.5 16.1 20.1 23.4 26.3 29.0 31.9	5.9 12.4 19.4 25.9 30.4 32.7 39.2 47.5	20 54 104 173 237 296 388 520	0 29 128 107 103 0 0	.0 .0 15.6 19.4 22.8 25.4 .0 .0	.0 .0 .5 3.8 4.4 5.2 .0 .0	0 0 3 25 34 47 0 0	.0 .0 .2 .3 .5 .0 .0	.000 .000 .31 .98 .98 .98 .97 .000	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 16 \\ 3 & 12 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \end{array}$.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .0 .2 .3 .0 .0 .1	0 0 1 2 0 0 1 0	20 54 107 201 299 405 497 629	20 54 108 204 302 408 501 633	.00 1.29 1.18 .99 .81 .69 .67 .58	.0 2.2 2.5 2.6 2.2 1.9 1.6 1.7	0 11 18 23 24 27 23 26	1 3 5 8 10 12 13 15

STAND DEVELOPMENT TABLE, INTERNATIONAL UNITS

Table 37--Stand development table by treatment, per hectare basis (continued)

	After thinning						Removed in thinning							Mortality				eld	Net growth			
Year	Stand age	H100*	Trees left	Avg dbh	Basal area	Total vol ^b	Trees cut	Avg dbh	Basal area	Total vol	Avg vol	Avg d/D°	Trees dead	Avg dbh	Basal area	Total vol	Net vol	Gross vol	dbh PAI	Ba PAI	Vol PAI	Vol MAI
	Yrs	m	No	Cm	m²	m³	No	Cm	m²	m³	m³		No	Cm	m²	m³	m³	m³	Cm	m²	m³	m³
Treat	ment	9, Unt	hinned	i con	trol																	
1963 1966 1969 1973 1977 1981 1985	15 18 21 25 29 33 37	9 12 14 18 21 23 26	2194 2655 2783 2733 2589 2507 2116	8.3 10.3 12.1 14.4 16.2 17.7 19.9	11.9 22.1 32.0 43.7 52.5 59.9 64.0	39 95 171 284 401 505 601	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	. 00 . 00 . 00 . 00 . 00 . 00) 0) 21) 37) 103) 169) 91) 391	.0 .0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .2 .5 .8 .5 2.8	0 1 2 5 3 19	39 95 171 284 401 505 601	39 96 172 288 410 517 631	.00 .67 .56 .47 .36 .57	.0 3.4 3.3 2.9 2.2 1.8 1.0	0 19 25 28 29 26 24	3 5 8 11 14 15 16
Lato	42	ing I	1024	22.4	70.0	730	0	.0	.0	0	.0	.00	292	.0	2.0	22	750	803	. 50	1.2	30	10
1973 1977 1981 1985 1990	25 29 33 37 42	17 20 23 25 28	399 222 152 152 152 152	17.9 25.2 31.6 36.4 42.3	10.0 11.0 11.9 15.9 21.4	66 86 104 149 224	2451 177 70 0 0	16.9 27.5 .0 .0	30.4 4.0 4.2 .0 .0	201 28 36 0	.2 .5 .0 .0	.91 .77 .91 .00	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .0 .0 .0	0 0 0 0	66 114 168 213 288	66 114 168 213 288	.00 1.00 1.30 1.20 1.18	.0 1.3 1.3 1.0 1.1	0 12 14 11 15	3 4 5 6 7
Late	thinn	ing, I	J3																			
1973 1977 1981 1985 1990	25 29 33 37 42	17 20 23 25 28	675 535 403 403 403	17.8 21.7 26.5 30.1 34.2	16.9 19.7 22.1 28.6 37.1	111 150 193 272 390	2670 136 128 0 0	20.0 23.4 .0 .0	27.1 4.3 5.5 .0 .0	177 32 47 0 0 0 0	- 2 - 4 - 0 - 0	. 88 . 94 . 91 . 00	8 0 4 4 0 0 0 0	.0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .1 .0 .0	0 0 0 0	111 182 272 351 468	111 182 272 351 468	.00 .87 1.02 .90 .83	.0 1.8 2.0 1.6 1.7	0 18 23 20 23	4 6 8 9 11
Late	thinn	ing, I	.5																			
1973 1977 1981 1985 1990	25 29 33 37 42	18 21 24 26 30	930 836 725 700 671	17.8 20.7 23.6 26.3 29.7	23.1 28.0 31.7 37.9 46.4	158 223 284 368 502	1387 91 107 0 0	19.4 21.5 .0 .0	19.1 2.7 3.9 .0	129 21 34 0 0 0 0	.2 .3 .0 .0	.87 .95 .92 .00	7 0 5 4 2 0 2 25 3 25	.0 .0 .0 .0	.0 .0 .3 .3	0 0 2 2	158 244 339 423 557	158 245 339 426 561	.00 .69 .67 .67 .67	.0 1.9 1.9 1.6 1.7	0 22 24 21 27	6 8 10 11 13
Late	thinn	ing, I	.7																			
1973 1977 1981 1985 1990	25 29 33 37 42	17 20 22 24 28	1297 1198 1091 1070 1046	17.1 19.8 22.2 24.2 26.6	29.5 36.6 41.9 49.0 57.7	184 277 360 453 596	1564 91 103 0 0	 18.6 20.4 .0 .0	10.8 2.3 3.4 .0	67 17 29 0 0 0 0	.2 .3 .0	. 68 . 89 . 94 . 00	3 0 9 8 4 8 0 25 0 25	.0 .0 .0 .0	. C . 2 . 1 . 4 . 6	0 2 1 3 5	184 294 406 499 642	184 296 408 505 653	.00 .65 .56 .51 .48	.0 2.4 2.2 1.8 1.7	0 27 28 23 29	7 10 12 13 15

* Ht100: Average height of the 100 largest trees per hectare (estimated from d.b.h. and ht-d.b.h. curves).

^b Volume: All volumes are total stem, inside bark.

° d/D: Average d.b.h. cut/average d.b.h. before thinning.

^d Total yield: Net = standing + thinning Gross = standing + thinning + mortality. Yield does not include any volume removed in a calibration cut.

* Trees and volume cut in initial late thinnings, in 1973, are not included in yields.



 Hoyer, Gerald E.; Andersen, Norman A.; Marshall, David. 1996. Levels-of-growingstock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 13—the Francis study: 1963-90. Res. Pap. PNW-RP-488. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 91 p.

Results of the Francis installation of the levels-of-growing-stock study in Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco), begun at stand age 15, are summarized together with results from additional first-thinning treatments started at age 25. To age 42 (5 years beyond the last planned thinning), total cubic-foot volume growth on this mid-site II Douglas-fir plantation has been strongly related to level of growing stock. Growth of lower levels of growing stock exceeded that of the control for only a brief period at age 30. Selection of a "best" treatment would depend on the unit of measure used: yield in total cubic-foot volume, merchantable cubic-foot volume, board-foot volume or dollar value. Close dollar values among several alternatives suggest that diverse stand structure objectives can be attained at age 42 with little difference in wood product-value per acre. General silvicultural prescriptions could be written to achieve the results of any of the treatments on similar sites.

Keywords: Thinning, growing stock, growth and yield, stand density, Douglas-fir, *Pseudotsuga menziesii*, series—Douglas-fir LOGS.

The **Forest Service** of the U.S. Department of Agriculture is dedicated to the principle of multiple use management of the Nation's forest resources for sustained yields of wood, water, forage, wildlife, and recreation. Through forestry research, cooperation with the States and private forest owners, and management of the National Forests and National Grasslands, it strives—as directed by Congress—to provide increasingly greater service to a growing Nation.

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in its programs on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, and marital or familial status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact the USDA Office of Communications at (202) 720-2791.

To file a complaint, write the Secretary of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC 20250, or call (202) 720-7327 (voice), or (202) 720-1127 (TDD). USDA is an equal employment opportunity employer.

Pacific Northwest Research Station 333 S.W. First Avenue P.O. Box 3890 Portland, Oregon 97208-3890

U.S. Department of Agriculture Pacific Northwest Research Station 333 S.W. First Avenue P.O. Box 3890 Portland, Oregon 97208-3890

Official Business Penalty for Private Use, \$300

do NOT detach label