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Levels-of-growing-stock study treatment schedule, showing percent of gross basal area increment of control plot retained in growing stock. All trees were retained on the western hemlock (WH) supplemental control plots.

|  | Basic LOGS treatments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Supplemental treatment (late thinning) |  |  |  | WH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | C | L1 | L3 | L5 | 17 | WHC |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First | 10 | 30 | 50 | 70 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 70 | 100 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Second | 10 | 30 | 50 | 70 | 20 | 40 | 40 | 60 | 100 | - | - | - |  | - |
| Third | 10 | 30 | 50 | 70 | 30 | 50 | 30 | 50 | 100 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 70 | All |
| Fourth | 10 | 30 | 50 | 70 | 40 | 60 | 20 | 40 | 100 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 70 | All |
| Fifth | 10 | 30 | 50 | 70 | 50 | 70 | 10 | 30 | 100 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 70 | All |

## Background

Public and private agencies are cooperating in a study of eight thinning regimes in young Douglas-fir stands. Regimes differ in the amount of basal area allowed to accrue in growing stock at each successive thinning. All regimes start with a common level of growing stock established by an initial calibration thinning
Thinning interval is controlled by height growth of crop trees, and a single type of thinning is prescribed.
Nine study areas, each involving three completely random replications of each thinning regime and an unthinned control, have been established in western Oregon and Washington, U.S.A., and Vancouver Island, British Columbia, Canada. Site quality of these areas varies from I through IV.
This is a progress report on this cooperative study.
Document identified as Washington State Department of Natural Resources, Contribution Number 351.
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#### Abstract

Summary

Hoyer, Gerald E.; Andersen, Norman A.; Marshall, David. 1996. Levels-of-growingstock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 13-the Francis study: 1963-90. Res. Pap. PNW-RP-488. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 91 p.

Results of the Francis installation of the levels-of-growing-stock study in Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii (Mirb.) Franco), begun at stand age 15, are summarized together with results from additional first-thinning treatments started at age 25. To age 42 ( 5 years beyond the last planned thinning), total cubic-foot volume growth on this mid-site II Douglas-fir plantation has been strongly related to level of growing stock. Growth of lower levels of growing stock exceeded that of the control for only a brief period at age 30 . Selection of a "best" treatment would depend on the unit of measure used: yield in total cubic-foot volume, merchantable cubic-foot volume, board-foot volume or dollar value. Close dollar values among several alternatives suggest that diverse stand structure objectives can be attained at age 42 with little difference in wood product-value per acre. General silvicultural prescriptions could be written to achieve the results of any of the treatments on similar sites.

Keywords: Thinning, growing stock, growth and yield, stand density, Douglas-fir, Pseudotsuga menziesii, series-Douglas-fir LOGS. The levels-of-growing-stock studies in Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii (Mirb.) Franco), were designed to test the influence of treatment regimes by using a wide range of retained growing stock on the development of forest growth, yield, and stand structure. Results of the Francis installation located in the headwaters of the Willapa River in Pacific County, Washington, are summarized from calibration at age 15 through age 42 (completion of 60 feet of height growth from calibration, and the planned course of the experimental thinnings plus 5 years). In addition to the eight basic treatments and control common to the other eight study installations in the region, five additional treatments were added at Francis; four late first thinnings (at age 25), which matched the level of growing stock of four standard fixed treatments, and an unthinned western hemlock (Tsuga heterophylla (Raf.) Sarg.). Estimated Douglas-fir site index ( 50 -year base) of this plantation is 124, a mid-site II. Contrary to expectations when the study was started, cubic-foot volume growth was strongly related to level of growing stock for both the early and late thinning treatments. Basal area growth of thinned treatments was directly related to level of growing stock; however, basal area growth of the control was often less than that of treatments. Basal area growth culminated before age 25. Different growing stock levels produced marked differences in tree size distributions and crown dimensions. Early relatively heavy thinning followed by successive thinnings at increasing levels of growing stock produced the most trees 16 inches in diameter and larger. It is possible to write general silvicultural prescriptions that would grow the relative diameter class distributions of any of the study treatments.

Periodic annual growth in cubic feet at age 42 is up to two times mean annual increment; the stand is far from culmination of volume increment. Results of the basic treatments generally are comparable to those reported from other installations in the study that are on comparable sites.


There is a substantial tradeoff among increased individual tree size, value, and total cubic-foot volume production. The cumulative net cubic-foot yield of the unthinned control at age 42 was greater than the total yield of any of the thinning treatments. The live volume of the unthinned western hemlock at age 42 exceeded the cumulative net yield of the Douglas-fir control by 11 percent.
Cumulative net yield in terms of board feet, a common merchandising unit of measure, was higher for several treatments than for the unthinned control. Increased board-foot volume and relatively higher value for larger log sizes translate directly into greater dollar value at age 42 for some thinned treatments. A middle level of stocking for late first-thinning treatments had the highest dollar value because they retained enough growing stock for reasonable volume growth while producing increased diameters and a substantial early dollar return.

Growth of late first-thinning treatments was about 10 percent less in cubic-foot and 17 percent less in board-foot volume than growth of matched early thinnings growing at the same level of basal area growing stock.
Early thinning treatments produced accelerated growth compared to control between ages 29 and 33 years. At 60 to 70 percent of basal area growing stock of control, basal area growth increased to 116 percent of control growth: cubic-foot volume growth increased to 109 percent of control.
The 12 treatments and 2 controls in the Francis study portray a wide range of stand development alternatives. Some of the treatments have stem distributions and understory attributes desirable for wildlife needs. The closely similar estimated dollar values across many of the alternatives suggest that carefully applied silvicultural prescriptions might meet some wildlife needs at little or no loss of wood product value per acre to the forest owner.
The final answer about ultimate worth of the study treatment alternatives in terms of stand structure, wood products, or dollar value is not yet clear. Growth is still high. There has not yet been a major wave of mortality in the controls. Trees on treatments with lower levels of growing stock are now beginning to grow into log sizes that produce major increases in product value. There is still much to be learned from continuing this study into older stand ages.
Williamson, Richard L.; Staebler, George R. 1965. A cooperative level-of-growingstock study in Douglas-fir. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 12 p.

Describes purpose and scope of a cooperative study investigating the relative merits of eight different thinning regimes. Main features of six study areas installed since 1961 in young stands also are summarized.
Williamson, Richard L.; Staebler, George R. 1971. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study on Douglas-fir: report no. 1-description of study and existing study areas. Res. Pap. PNW-111. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 12 p.

Thinning regimes in young Douglas-fir stands are described. Some characteristics of individual study areas established by cooperating public and private agencies are discussed.

Bell, John F.; Berg, Alan B. 1972. Levels-of-growing stock cooperative study on Douglas-fir: report no. 2-the Hoskins study, 1963-70. Res. Pap. PNW-130. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 19 p.

A calibration thinning and the first treatment thinning in a 20 -year-old Douglas-fir stand at Hoskins, Oregon, are described. Growth for the first 7 years after thinning was greater than expected.

Diggle, P.K. 1972. The levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir in British Columbia (report no. 3, cooperative L.O.G.S. study series). Inf. Rep. BC-X-66. Victoria, BC: Canadian Forestry Service, Pacific Forest Research Centre. 46 p .

Describes the establishment and installation of the two LOGS studies established on Vancouver Island at Shawnigan Lake and Sayward Forest.
Williamson, Richard L. 1976. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study in Douglasfir: report no. 4—Rocky Brook, Stampede Creek, and Iron Creek. Res. Pap. PNW-210. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 39 p.

The USDA Forest Service maintains three of nine installations in a regional, cooperative study of influences of levels of growing stock (LOGS) on stand growth. The effects of calibration thinnings are described for the three areas. Results of first treatment thinning are described for one area.
Berg, Alan B.; Bell, John F. 1979. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study on Douglas-fir: report no. 5—the Hoskins study, 1963-75. Res. Pap. PNW-257. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 29 p.

Growth data are presented for the first 12 years of management of young Douglas-fir growing at eight levels of growing stock. The second and third treatment periods are described.
Young Douglas-fir stands transfer growth from many to few trees. Some of the treatments have the potential to equal the gross cubic-foot volume of the controls during the next treatment periods.
Arnott, J.T.; Beddows, D. 1981. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 6-Sayward Forest, Shawnigan Lake, Inf. Rep. BC-X-223. Victoria, BC: Canadian Forestry Service, Pacific Forest Research Centre. 54 p.

Data are preserted for the first 8 and 6 years at Sayward Forest and Shawnigan Lake, respectively. The effects of the calibration thinnings are described for these two installations on Vancouver Island, British Columbia. Results of the first treatment thinning at Sayward Forest for a 4 -year response period also are included.

Williamson, Richard L.; Curtis, Robert O. 1984. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 7-Preliminary results; Stampede Creek, and some comparisons with Iron Creek and Hoskins. Res. Pap. PNW-323. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 42 p.

Results of the Stampede Creek LOGS study in southwest Oregon are summarized through the first treatment period, and results are compared with two more advanced LOGS studies and are generally similar.
Curtis, Robert O.; Marshall, David D. 1986. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 8-the LOGS study: twenty-year results, Res. Pap. PNW-356. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 113 p.

Reviews history and status of LOGS study and provides new analyses of data, primarily from the site II installations. Growth is strongly related to growing stock. Thinning treatments have produced marked differences in volume distribution by tree size. At the fourth treatment period, current annual increment is still about double mean annual increment. Differences among treatments are increasing rapidly. There are considerable differences in productivity among installations, beyond those accounted for by site differences. The LOGS study design is evaluated.
Curtis, Robert O. 1987. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 9-some comparisons of DFSIM estimates with growth in the levels-of-growing-stock study. Res. Pap. PNW-RP-376. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 34 p.

Initial stand statistics for the Logs study installations were projected by the DFSIM simulation program over the available periods of observation. Estimates were compared with observed volume and basal area growth, diameter change, and mortality. Overall agreement was reasonably good, although results indicate some biases and a need for revisions in the DFSIM program.
Marshall, David D.; Bell, John F.; Tappeiner, John C. 1992. Levels-of-growingstock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 10—the Hoskins study, 1963-83. Res. Pap. PNW-RP-448. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 65 p.

Results of the Hoskins study are summarized through the fifth and final planned treatment period. To age 40, thinnings in this low site I stand resulted in large increases in diameter growth with reductions in basal area and cubic volume growth and yield. Growth was strongly related to level of growing stock. All treatments are still far from culmination of mean annual increment in cubic feet.

Curtis, Robert O. 1992. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir:
report no. 11-Stampede Creek: a 20 -year progress report. Res. Pap.
PNW-RP-442. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 47 p.

Results of the first 20 years of the Stampede Creek study in southwest Oregon are summarized. To age 53, growth in this site III Douglas-fir stand has been strongly related to level of growing stock. Marked differences in volume distribution by tree sizes are developing as a result of thinning. Periodic annual increment is about twice mean annual increment in all treatments, indicating that the stand is still far from culmination.

Curtis, Robert O. 1994. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 12-the Iron Creek study: 1966-89. Res. Pap. PNW-475. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 67 p .

Results of the Iron Creek study in the Gifford Pinchot National Forest, southern Washington, are summarized through age 42 (completion of the 60 feet of height growth comprising the planned course of the experiment). Volume growth of this mid-site II plantation has been strongly related to growing stock; basal area growth much less so. Different growing-stock levels have produced marked differences in the size distribution and in crown dimension. Periodic annual volume increment at age 42 is two to three times mean annual increment in all treatments.
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## Introduction

The Francis levels-of-growing-stock (LOGS) installation is one of nine installations in a regional thinning study established in young even-aged Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii (Mirb.) Franco) stands according to a common work plan (Williamson and Staebler 1971). Figure 1 shows the location of the nine installations. The LOGS study is a cooperative effort involving Canadian Forest Service, Natural Resources Canada, Oregon State University, USDA Forest Service, Washington State Department of Natural Resources, and Weyerhaueser Company. The overall objective of the studies is to compare the growth to growing-stock relation, cumulative wood production, and tree-size development under eight different thinning regimes begun before the onset of severe competition.
Detailed progress reports on individual installations are contained in the series of LOGS publications listed at the beginning of this report. Curtis and Marshall (1986) summarize results for the first 20 years. Since then, several of the higher site installations, of which Francis is one, have completed the full course of the experiment as originally planned.
The LOGS cooperative studies evolved from work in the late 1950s by George Staebler. Staebler $(1959,1960)$ argued that by thinning to reduce the amount of growing stock, increment could be transferred to the remaining faster growing trees while largely eliminating mortality losses. He also recognized that the implied assumption of near-constant gross increment over a wide range of stocking had not been tested for young Douglas-fir. The objectives of the LOGS studies, as stated in the 1962 plan, were "to determine how the amount of growing stock, including fixed,


Figure 1a-Location of levels-of-growing-stock study installations.


Figure 10 -Vicinity maps of the Francis Study.

## Methods Description of Study Area

increasing, and decreasing levels, retained in repeatedly thinned stands of Douglas-fir affects cumulative wood production, tree size, and growth-growing stock ratios." Treatments were designed to include a wide range of growing stock including fixed, increasing, and decreasing levels so that the results would show "how to produce any combination of factors deemed optimum from a management standpoint." The study was not designed as a test of specific operational thinning regimes but was intended to quantify growth and growing stock relations for a closely controlled initial stand condition and kind of thinning.

First control of growing stock began as "early" thinnings at about the time of initial crown closure. Supplemental treatments unique to the Francis installation add to the original study initial "late" thinnings at age 25 (after stand competition was well underway). The growing stock levels of four late-thinning treatments were the same as the four fixed-level "early" thinning treatments.
The purposes of this report are to (1) provide complete background details of the Francis installation, (2) document the quantitative results obtained through the fifth treatment thinning at total stand age of 33 years and the subsequent growth period to age 42 , and (3) present and discuss the implications of results.
The Francis study was installed in fall 1963. The study area is on the westerly slope of the Willapa Hills at an elevation of about 1,300 feet in the western hemlock (Tsuga heterophylla (Raf.) Sarg.) coastal forest zone. The plots are on a north through westerly aspect. Slope ranges from level to 20 percent. A systematic examination of the understory vegetation on the study plots in 1992 defined the plant association as Tshe/Pomu/Oxor as characterized by Henderson and others (1989). The study plots averaged site index 124 (King 1966) as of 1981 and averaged about 900 stems per acre before calibration treatment.

The soil on the study area is a deep, well-drained silt loam (Boistfort series) found on nearly level to moderately steep terraces of the elevated uplands of the coastal range of western Washington. It has formed on basalt and developed in a mild, wet coastal climate. A representative profile of the soil has 2.0 inches organic layer of litter and duff. The soil surface layer is 0 to 12 inches, dark reddish brown silt loam; weak medium granular structure; friable when moist, slightly sticky and plastic when wet; and very strongly acid. The subsoil, 12 to 44 inches, is a dark-brown silt loam with moderately fine subangular blocky structure; friable when moist, sticky and plastic when wet; and strongly acid.
The forest was planted to about 600 trees per acre in fall 1947 with 2-0 planting stock from a known local seed source. The larger trees used as site index samples averaged 8 years at breast height when the study was installed after the 1963 growing season. Based on the planting date, the stand was 18 years total age at study installation. Applying the conventional conversion value of 7 years to grow to breast height on site II, however, gives a total age of 15 years, comparable to the age estimates used in other installations. The latter method of counting age was used in the data summaries in this report. Adjacent natural western hemlock stands are 1 year younger than the plantation Douglas-fir.

Experimental Design

Stand Treatments

The experiment is a completely randomized design having three replications of eight thinning treatments, plus control. The 27 plots are one-fifth acre in area. Plot shape varies from a square to a 66 - by 132 -foot rectangle. Two are trapezoids. We used the various plot shapes to fit the 27 plots into a limited area of uniform initial stocking. There was no buffer on interior plots, but there were 30 -foot isolation strips around the outer margins of the experimental area. Ground arrangement of the plots is shown in figure 2.
Treatments were rigidly controlled to provide compatibility among installations on different sites.

Crop trees were selected at the rate of 16 per plot ( 80 per acre), distributed to provide 4 well-spaced crop trees in each quarter of a plot. Crop trees were identified with bands of white paint.
An initial calibration thinning was made on the 24 plots assigned to thinning treatments, designed to reduce all to as nearly comparable a condition as possible. All trees less than one-half the initial stand quadratic mean diameter (QMD) were cut. Additional noncrop trees were cut as needed to meet the study plan specifications, which called for the stand to be thinned to 405 trees per acre. Control plots ranged from 685 to 1,110 trees per acre, averaging 888 . Of the total, 610 were planted Douglas-fir: 278 were natural western hemlock fill-in to the plantation.
The target number of trees for thinned plots was derived from the equation,

$$
S=0.6167^{*} \text { QMD }+8 \text {, }
$$

where
$S=$ average spacing in feet, and
QMD = quadratic mean diameter of the leave trees.
Number of trees per acre was calculated by assuming average square spacing. Trial plots with number of trees per acre deviating more than 15 percent from the average of all (untreated) plots were not used. After the calibration thinning, the number of trees on the treatment plots was identical. Level of basal area varied somewhat between 21 and 36 square feet on the treated plots and between 38 and 65 square feet on controls. This range of basal area was somewhat wider than that specified on some of the other installations in the LOGS study where trees were larger at establishment. In those installations, controlling criteria were specified in terms of similar levels of basal area by plot, and number of trees was allowed to vary. The impact of this variation in beginning basal area at Francis was evident through the first treatment period where five plots in treatments seven and eight remained unthinned because their basal area levels were less than the specified target levels. This also affected the ratio of tree sizes cut during first thinnings on some plots.
All leave trees on thinned plots were identified with permanent numbered tags. Trees 1.6 inches in diameter at breast height (d.b.h.) and larger were tagged on the control plots. All trees were pruned to about 6 feet aboveground (or in the case of small trees, no higher than one-half of live crown) to facilitate the measurement process.
After the calibration thinning in 1963, treatment thinnings were made in 1966, 1969, 1973, 1977, and 1981 (ages 18, 21, 25, 29, and 33, respectively), which corresponded to approximate 10 -foot increments in crop tree heights.


Figure 2-Arrangement of plots in the Francis levels-of-growing-stock installation.

Study Supplement, Late First Thinning, and Western Hemlock

Thinning treatments were defined in terms of the percentage of periodic basal area growth retained as growing stock relative to the average gross periodic basal area growth on the unthinned control plots. See inside front cover; also appendix 1. Three general patterns were included: fixed levels of growing stock retained over time, increasing levels, and decreasing levels. (Treatment 1 at fixed level 10 retained 10 percent of the gross periodic basal area growth; treatment 3 at level 30 retained 30 percent, and so forth).
Kind of thinning was further specified by the requirements that:

1. No crop trees were to be cut until all noncrop trees had been removed.
2. Average quadratic mean diameter of trees removed in thinning should approximate the average diameter of trees available for thinning (that is, noncrop trees) until all noncrop trees have been removed.
3. Trees removed in thinning were to be distributed across the range of diameters of the trees available for thinning.

In conventional practice, mean diameter of trees removed in thinning (d) are compared with the mean diameter of all trees before thinning (D) by using the ratio d/D. The d/D ratios were calculated for each treatment at the five periodic thinnings. The $d / D$ ratios ranged from 0.91 to 1.01 in 18 of 20 thinnings applied to the four fixedtreatment levels. The two earliest thinnings in treatment 1 were at d/D of 0.31 and 0.63 , both a direct result of the need to allow the basal area of the plots to reach the threshold level defined by control. The pattern was similar in the increasing and decreasing treatments with 2 thinnings out of 20 at d/D 0.31 and 0.62 , and for the same reason.

Jorgensen (1957) interprets the meaning of the d/D ratio as follows, based on a background of European experience:
$\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{D}=0.65$ and under: Improvement cutting or "cleaning."
$=0.65-0.75$ : Low thinning.
$=0.75-0.90$ : Severe low thinning to light crown thinning.
$=0.90-1.00$ : Severe crown thinning.
$=$ over 1.00: Selection thinning.
The basic LOGS study examined stand development after thinning at a relatively early age, 15 years total age in 1963. A common alternative practice begins thinning at a later age. We supplemented the basic study in 1973 by installing 12 one-fifth acre plots in the adjacent untreated plantation when the stand was 25 years old. We refer to this as "late" first thinnings. Except for one item noted below, all criteria used to treat the late thinning were identical with the ongoing treatments applied to the treatments in the basic study. The 12 plots had basal area per acre within the range of the average basal area that the three control plots of the basic study had attained by age 25. We randomly assigned three plots per each of four treatments and thinned to the four levels of basal area equal to the averages of treatments $1,3,5$, and 7 of the basic study as of age 25 . Supplemental (late) treatment L1 retained the same amount of basal area as treatment 1, L3 as treatment 3, L5 as treatment 5, and L7 as treatment 7. At each subsequent treatment of the basic study, the amount of basal area retained on each late thinning was the same, within specific tolerance limits, as its matched fixed treatment thinning in the basic study.

## Data Collection and Summarization

Special limitations defined trees to be left after the first late thinning. To keep tree size consistent between plots, we specified that the average plot d.b.h. after thinning would be 7.0 inches, the same as the average crop tree diameter on the three basic control plots. With basal area held the same between matched treatments of the two studies and with d.b.h. specified, the number of stems per acre varied. These requirements ensured consistency for the subsequent comparison but are contrary to usual thinning selection. Routine thinning would retain fewer trees with larger diameters. Selected crop trees on the newly established plots averaged 8.4 inches in diameter. The d/D ratio for the late thinnings ranged between 0.77 and 0.95 .

In 1973 we also installed six one-tenth acre plots in the western hemlock stand located outside the clearly defined edge of the original Douglas-fir plantation. The western hemlock plots provide a comparison of the productivity of naturally regenerated western hemlock with the planted Douglas-fir. The unthinned western hemlock control plots (WHC) are compared to the study plots.
The presence of unthinned western hemlock control plots or treatments (WHC) together with the original Douglas-fir control plots (treatment 9) might lead to some descriptive confusion in the text. To avoid this possibility, the expression "Douglas-fir control" is used. This full expression is abbreviated in figures as T-9C (treatment 9, control) and should be understood to apply to the Douglas-fir control treatment.
Immediately after the calibration thinning, and at all subsequent measurement dates, diameters of all tagged trees were measured to the nearest 0.1 inch. Ingrowth was tagged and measured on the control plots only. Heights were measured on a sample of the subject trees; sample size varied but was not less than eight Douglas-fir trees per plot distributed across the range of diameters. Of this sample, four to six of the largest diameter Douglas-fir trees had breast-height age determined. These trees provided a period-by-period field estimate of site index (King 1966) and height of the 40 largest trees per acre.
There was a component of western hemlock trees on the Francis study plots. Six (6) to twenty-five (25) percent of the basal area of the plots was hemlock at the start of the study. When other criteria permitted, we removed hemlock in thinning. Height and age were measured on a token number of hemlock trees on plots where hemlock was common. Site index for western hemlock uses Wiley (1978).
Constrained height-diameter curves were fitted to each measurement on each plot using an adaptation ${ }^{1}$ of the procedure of Hyink and others (1988). Smoothed heights assigned from the curves were the basis for defining the missing tree heights and also for an alternative estimate of height-40 by plot and treatment. Tree volumes in total cubic feet inside bark (CVTS) were calculated for Douglas-fir by the Bruce and DeMars (1974) equation, using actual measured heights when available and heights predicted by the Clendenen equations for trees not having measured heights.
A parallel procedure using an equation by Wiley and others (1978) produced volumes for the unthinned western hemlock plots.

[^0]Relative Density

Tree and Log Diameter

## Dollar Value Estimates of Treatment Results

With CVTS estimated for each tree, merchantable cubic-foot and board-foot volumes were estimated, using equations given by Brackett (1973). The board-foot unit is used in the Pacific Northwest for marketing logs and is a convenient basis for estimating tree value. The Scribner log rule formula version is used to determine board feet in terms of nominal 16 -foot log lengths to a 6 -inch top diameter, (Brackett 1973) and scale-book version is used for board feet in terms of 32 -foot-long logs to a 4 -inch top diameter (Northwest Log Rules Advisory Group 1982).
Relative density measures are useful in describing thinning regimes, as guides for density control, as values interpretable as measures of competition, and as predictors of growth.
The measure of relative density (RD) used here is defined as follows (Curtis 1982),

$$
R D=(\text { basal area) / square root (quadratic mean diameter), }
$$

which is very nearly proportional to Reineke's (1933) stand density index.
All ingrowth trees that began life after thinning in the main stand canopy were removed from the thinned plots. There are therefore no understory tree components to add numbers of small trees and influence mean diameter and RD. Some ingrowth trees were added on control plots when existing small trees finally exceeded the minimum diameter threshold.
Usefulness of trees for commodity and other purposes and the relative values assigned depend on tree d.b.h. Diameter is the basis for an estimate of tree value. The following are tree diameter classifications appropriate to standard local log product sizes, a basis for later evaluations:
Trees less than 5.6 inches ( $2-5$-inch classes)-seedlings and saplings, often with no wood value; frequently of value as understory for wildlife.
Trees 5.6 to 9.5 inches ( $6-9$-inch classes)—often referred to as "pulp grade," even though sawn products are often produced.
Trees 9.6 to 15.5 inches ( $10-15$-inch classes)-small saw logs.
Trees 15.6 to 21.5 inches (16-21-inch classes)—large saw logs.
Trees 21.6 inches and larger (22-inch and larger classes)—peelable sizes.
Trees 20 inches and larger meet the minimum requirements for wildlife needs as a source of a continuing supply of dead snags and large woody debris.
The dollar value of treatment results (final net value of all costs and returns, with interest, plus value of current stands at age 42) was estimated to provide a basis for interpreting the practical worth of treatments. Differences in final net value between treatments were expected to be great enough that graphic comparisons would aid evaluation of treatment results to date.
A first step for estimating value was to subdivide total tree volume into volumes by logs. This requires knowing the form or taper of each tree bole. Log diameters of the upper tree stems were estimated from the known tree d.b.h. and CVTS of each tree. An iterative process using a form factor equation assigned upper stem diameters at nominal log lengths so that the sum of the cubic-foot volume of the logs with assigned diameters was equal to the known total tree cubic-foot volume (Turnbull 1970). With log volumes and diameters known, standard log grades were assigned (Northwest Log Rules Advisory Group 1982). Standard log grades consider number of rings per inch, knot size, and log diameter. Log diameter was the most meaningful characteristic in this application.

Tree dollar value was assessed at two steps of commodity production: as logs before removal (stumpage) and the same logs as cut, removed, and delivered to market. (Both stumpage and delivered value-on a per acre basis-were examined as final net values to determine if the assumed costs that were assigned had any effect on the value of different treatments.) Stumpage prices for each log grade differ from delivered prices according to assumed logging costs. Some logging costs such as hauling distance were estimated per thousand board feet. Others were assessed per thousand board feet and on a log-size basis. More board feet per log gave a value advantage to larger logs. The assigned costs were part of routine thinning cost assessment procedures used for timber sales preparation (Chambers and Smego 1983).

Dollar value for each grade was assigned based on long-term moving average log selling prices for western Washington collected and used by State Department of Natural Resources economists (Chambers and Smego 1983, and updated through 1990).

Net dollar value of thinnings both as stumpage and delivered logs was carried at compound interest from age of thinning and added to the value at age 42, and the sum of values for treatments were compared. The effect of a range of interest rates from 4 to 7 percent was examined.
Costs of planting and planting stock also were included in the analysis. The thinning cost and wood value from the calibration thinning were not included in analysis for reasons discussed later. All costs and values used were current as of 1992. Using 1992 dollars for all years removes the considerable influence of inflating dollars as a factor when interpreting the relative worth of treatments carried out over an extended number of years.

## Crown Measurements

## Analysis

At plot establishment, all trees were pruned to breast height to facilitate tagging and measurement. By age 25, tree crowns had lifted above breast height, and height to the base of the live crown and crown width were measured on the 9 or 10 trees of selected diameter representing the volume distribution of the trees on the late-thinned treatments. Similar measurements were made on trees in the fixed treatments at age 27. The height to the base of live crown was defined as the height aboveground where at least two live limbs occurred on a whorl at right angles to each other. Crown width was the average of two measurements taken at right angles on the ground beneath the vertically projected edge of crown. In 1992 (stand age 44), we measured crowns on the four largest diameter trees in each plot of the main LOGS treatments and determined base to live crown and crown width.
The original study plan specified analysis of variance as the method of analysis. Many aspects of the experiment, however, are more meaningfully presented and interpreted through simple graphic comparisons of means. Analysis of variance follows the procedure used in previous LOGS reports (Curtis and Marshal 1986, Marshall and others 1992) and applies only to plots in the original ("early thinning") portion of the Francis study. This is a repeated-measures experiment computationally similar to a split-plot design (Snedecor and Cochran 1967), in which the periodic remeasurements correspond to subplots. Computations were done with the GLM procedure of SAS (SAS Institute, Inc. 1985) by using as successive response variables periodic annual gross increment in cubic volume and corresponding growth percentage; periodic annual gross increment in basal area and corresponding growth percentage; and periodic annual net increment in quadratic mean diameter.

Results

Analysis of Variance

Species Mix

Tree Crown Measurements

Site Index

The Francis plots and others in the cooperative study provide a unique pool of treated stand-growth data for Douglas-fir in the Pacific Northwest. Since the beginning of the study, the plots have contributed along with other studies and permanent sample plots to estimates of Douglas-fir growth and yield (Curtis and others 1981, Hoyer 1975).

The analysis of variance is presented in tables 1 and 2 and applies only to plots in the original study design. Differences among treatments were significant, as expected. The seven degrees of freedom were broken into seven orthogonal contrasts, which test differences among overall means through the fifth treatment period. The linear relation to the four equally spaced treatments was significant, as was the difference between increasing and decreasing treatments for all variables. Those amongincreasing and among-decreasing treatments were significant or nearly so.
The first test in the periods portion of the analysis of variance was for differences among periods and was expected to be significant. The other contrasts tested for differences among individual period responses within the overall average response tested in the main-plot portion of the analysis. The interactions period $\times$ (linear) and period $\times$ (increasing treatments vs. decreasing treatments) were significant in most cases, others were not. These significant interactions disallow a simple interpretation of differences among treatments. Graphic modeling of treatment responses allows us to see responses over time.
By age 33, after the final treatment thinning, up to 21 percent of the basal area in some treatments remained in western hemlock. Table 3 shows the changes in percentage of western hemlock basal area as hemlock was removed by cutting.
Average height to base of live crown, crown width, and crown-to-bole ratio were calculated at age 25 for fixed treatments, control, and late thinnings (table 4). Height to base of live crown at 10 feet was about double the pruning height on treatment 1. Crown base was progressively higher, to 22 feet, on the control as the level of growing stock increased. Height to base of live crown on the late thinning plot supplement was about 5 feet lower than the control plot average. By age 44, height to crown base ranged from 31 feet on treatment 1 to 62 feet on control.
Crown width (of largest diameter trees) on the fixed treatments increased as levels of growing stock were reduced. Crown width of the largest diameter trees on the latethinned supplement was about the same as crown width of the largest trees on the control plot trees.
Average site index estimated from field samples is given in table 5 and average height-40 is given in table 6 (international units are given in table 25). The field procedure for site-index estimation used the height and breast-height age of each of four to six sample trees per plot. The trees were from the current diameter range of the height- 40 trees but were not the 8 per plot that precisely defines the 40 largest per acre. (Height-40 trees were close to, but not identical with, the " 10 largest diameters from a group of 50 contiguous trees" the criteria used by King [1966]. Field samples of trees from both definitions provided nearly identical estimates of site index.). Average value of site index from the nine treatments was 131 at age 15,126 at age 25 , and 124 at age 33 . Average value of site index on the late thinning treatment was 133 at age 25 and 128 at age 33.
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## Table 1-Analysis of variance

| Source of variation | Degree of freedom (5 treatment periods) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Treatments: | (7) |
| A. Fixed vs. variable percentage treatments | 1 |
| B. Among levels of fixed percentage treatments- |  |
| Linear effects | 1 |
| Quadratic effects | 1 |
| Cubic effects | 1 |
| C. Increasing percentage treatments | 1 |
| D. Between levels of increasing percentage treatments | 1 |
| E. Between levels of decreasing percentage treatments | 1 |
| Error a for testing treatments | 15 |
| P periods | 4 |
| Treatments $\times$ period interactions: |  |
| P $\times$ A | 4 |
| $P \times B$ linear effects | 4 |
| $P \times B$ quadratic effects | 4 |
| $P \times B$ cubic effects | 4 |
| $P \times C$ | 4 |
| $P \times D$ | 4 |
| PXE | 4 |
| Error b for testing treatments | 4 |
|  | 60 |
| Total | 115 |

Table 2-Analysis of variance results for periodic annual gross growth and gross growth percentage in volume (CVTS) and basal area, and net periodic annual growth in quadratic mean diameter ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Source of variation | $P$-value ${ }^{\text {b }}$ and mean square errors |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume |  | Basal area |  | Diameter |
|  | PAI | Growth percent | PAI | Growth percent | PAI |
| Treatments: | .00** | .0* | .00** | .00* | 0 |
| A. Fixed vs. var | . 13 | . 26 | . 77 | . 32 |  |
| B. Fixed (linear) | .00** | .00** | .00** | .00** |  |
| B. Fixed (quad) | . 40 | . 03 | . 90 | . 03 |  |
| B. Fixed (cubic) | . 20 | . 73 | . 90 | . 48 |  |
| C. Increasing vs decreasing | .00** | .04* | .00** | . 00 ** |  |
| D. Among increasing | .00** | . 80 | .00** | . 53 |  |
| E. Among decreasing | . 00 ** | . 01 | . 23 | .00** |  |
| Error a mean square | 484.80 | 1.05 | . 79 | . 77 | . 00574 |
| P periods: | . 00 ** | . 00 ** | . 00 ** | . 00 ** | . $00{ }^{* *}$ |
| $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{A}$ | . 11 | . 16 | . 15 | . 15 | . 08 |
| $P \times B$ (linear) | .00** | . 00 ** | . 21 | .00** | .00** |
| $P \times B$ (quad) | . 07 | . 00 ** | .02* | . 21 | .00** |
| $P \times B$ (cubic) | .03* | . 22 | . 09 | .01* | . 19 |
| $P \times C$ | .00** | . 00 ** | .00** | .00** | .00** |
| $P \times D$ | .00** | . 00 ** | .02* | .01** | .03* |
| PxE | .00** | . 16 | . 52 | . 23 | .00** |
| Error b mean square | 171.21 | . 19 | . 16 | . 11 | . 00044 |

[^1]Table 3-Western hemlock basal area as a percentage of total basal area on Francis LOGS plots, by treatment and period

| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1963 | 1969 |  | 1973 |  | 1977 |  | 1981 |  | 1985 |  |
| Treatment | $A^{\text {a }}$ | $B^{\text {b }}$ | A | B | A | B | A | B | A | B | A |

Fixed:

| 1 | 21 | 22 | 17 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| 7 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 |

Increasing:

| 2 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 12 |

Decreasing:

| 6 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 17 | 18 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 17 | 18 |

Unthinned
Control:

|  | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 19 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 9 | 9 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{~A}=$ after cut.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{B}=$ before cut.

Table 4 - Tree crown relations by treatment at ages $25^{a}$ and $44^{b}$

| Treatment | Crown width |  | Height to base |  | Crown bole ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age 25 | Age 44 | Age 25 | Age 44 | Age 25 | Age 44 |
|  | --------- Feet ---------- |  |  |  | -Percent- |  |
| 1 | 16.1 | 34.3 | 10.3 | 31 | 82 | 70 |
| 3 | 13.6 | 29.5 | 12.6 | 50 | 79 | 54 |
| 5 | 11.7 | 23.5 | 14.8 | 60 | 75 | 48 |
| 7 | 11.4 | 22.4 | 19.0 | 64 | 68 | 43 |
| 9 (C) | 9.0 | 15.8 | 21.6 | 62 | 63 | 33 |
| L1 | 10.0 | - | 13.9 | - | 74 | - |
| L3 | 8.7 | - | 15.7 | - | 71 | - |
| L5 | 9.5 | - | 16.0 | - | 71 | - |
| L7 | 8.9 | - | 15.5 | - | 70 | - |
| WHC ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - | 15.4 | - | 58 | - | 40 |

$-=$ missing data .
${ }^{a}$ From measurements of $8-9$ volume-sample trees on late thinned plots in 1973 and early thinned plots in 1975.
${ }^{\circ}$ Special remeasurement in 1992, at age 44, of 4 selected trees per plot that represented the diameter range of the 40 largest trees per acre.
${ }^{c}$ WHC $=$ western hemlock control plots.

Table 5 - Trends of mean site index ${ }^{\text {a }}$, in feet, at breast-height age 50 by treatment and year

|  | Year and age |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1963 1973 1981 1990 <br> (15) $(25)$ $(33)$ $(42)$ |  |  |  |

Feet

Fixed:

| 1 | 134 | 126 | 122 | 125 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 127 | 123 | 118 | - |
| 5 | 129 | 127 | 135 | 130 |
| 7 | 119 | 122 | 120 | - |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 134 | 129 | 128 | - |
| 4 | 138 | 130 | 129 | - |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 135 | 125 | 120 | - |
| 8 | 136 | 127 | 124 | - |
| Control | 127 | 120 | 124 | 126 |
| Average | 131 | 126 | 124 | - |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |
| L1 | - | 131 | 124 | 122 |
| L3 | - | 134 | 130 | 125 |
| L5 | - | 134 | 132 | 126 |
| L7 | - | 133 | 128 | 123 |
| Average |  | 133 | 128 | 124 |
| WHC |  |  |  | 117 |

[^2]Live Stand and Accumulated Yield, All Trees

The alternative procedure of determining site index by using the average height of the height-40 trees based on smoothed heights from height-diameter curves and stand breast-height age produced higher site index estimates in the early years than did the direct field sampling process; site index averaged 9 feet higher at age 15 and 3 feet higher at age 25 .
The relative productivity of the site, as reflected by the site index of western hemlock (115) to Douglas-fir (124), conformed closely to the relations defined as the "wet western hemlock sub zone" by Handley (1976) and for the Boistfort silt loam (Pringle 1986).

Height-40 is the average height of the eight largest diameter trees on each plot. Heights of height-40 trees reported here were averages from four to six field samples that represented the diameter range of the 40 largest trees. At age 42, the height- 40 of the early thinned fixed treatments ( 98.3 feet) was 4.3 feet taller than height-40 of the four equivalent late-thinning treatments ( 94.0 feet). Each early thinned treatment was consistently taller than its respective late-thinned treatment (table 6). At age 25 , however, the height-40 for the same two groups was nearly the same. Table 7 gives mean diameter of the height-40 trees.

The trends of growing stock, expressed as relative density, are given for treatments by age in figure 3. The unthinned western hemlock plots are included. By age 40, the hemlock stand was at about 115 units of relative density, the Douglas-fir control (T-9C) was at 100 units, and the range of basic treatments span relative densities from 20 to 70 units. Relative density, an expression of the level of growing stock, is the product of the applied treatment. Figure 4 shows that expressing growing stock level in terms of relative density is not the same as expressing in terms of basal area, the criteria used to control study treatments. Each of the late-thinning treatments was reduced to the identical basal area levels of its matched treatment, but in terms of relative density, the late thinning had a fairly consistent 9-percent-greater level of growing stock than the presumed matching treatments.
Standing yield of live trees in terms of numbers, mean diameter, basal area, cubicfoot volume and board-foot volume in 16 -foot logs is given by plot and treatment in tables 8 through 12. Similar information in international units is given in tables 27 through 36 .
The 405 trees per acre at age 15 on treated plots were reduced to as few as 40 by the time of the final treatment thinning at age 33 (figs. 5 and 6 ). Average diameter at age 15 was 3.6 inches. By age 33, average diameter ranged from 10 to over 15 inches on the thinned plots and was 6.9 on the control. By age 42, QMD ranged from 12.6 to 19.5 inches on the thinning treatments and was 8.8 on the control (T-9C) (figs. 7 and 8).

Initial basal area after cutting at age 15 ranged from nearly 26 square feet to over 33 on the treatments and was nearly 52 square feet on the control plots. By age 33, before the last cut, treatments ranged from 67 to 146 square feet and averaged 260 on the control. By age 42, control (T-9C) basal area reached 304 square feet per acre (figs. 9 and 10).
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Figure 3-Growing-stock trends expressed as relative density and age for basic study treatment, control, and unthinned western hemlock.


Figure 4-Relative density trends by age for fixed early $(T)$ and late ( $L$ ) thinning treatments.


Figure 5-Number of trees by age for fixed $(T)$ and late $(\mathrm{L})$ thinning treatments.


Figure 6-Number of trees by age for increasing and decreasing treatments.


Figure 7-Quadratic mean diameter by age for fixed early $(\mathrm{T})$ and late $(\mathrm{L})$ treatments.


Figure 8-Quadratic mean diameter by age for increasing and decreasing treatments.


Figure 9—Live basal area by age for fixed early $(T)$ and late $(\mathrm{L})$ thinning treatments.


Figure 10-Live basal area by age for increasing and decreasing treatments.

Initial volume after cutting at age 15 ranged from 286 to 384 cubic feet on the treatments and averaged 560 on the control. At age 33, after the final treatment cut, volume ranged between 1,446 and 5,339 cubic feet on treatments and was 7,217 on control. By age 42, control plots (T-9C) reached 10,718 cubic feet per acre (figs. 11 and 12).
Live standing board-foot volume (Scribner, expressed in 16 -foot logs to a 6 -inch top diameţer) first exceeded 5,000 feet per acre at about age 25 for well-stocked treatments (figs. 13 and 14). By age 42, treatment 7 reached 40,000 board feet per acre; control (T-9C) and treatment 5 were not far behind. Volumes of treatments 1 and 3 were closely followed, respectively, by the volumes of late 1 and 3 . Treatments 5 and 7 were 5,000 to 7,000 board feet greater than their respective late treatments. Treatment 4 produced nearly the same board-foot volume as the control but did so on only two-thirds of the basal area (fig. 10).
The relative amount of cumulative yield to date (live trees at age 42 plus trees cut in thinnings) by treatment is similar when expressed in terms of either basal area (not shown) or CVTS results for all trees. The relative cumulative yield by treatment is also similar considering either total accumulated 14-year production (at age 29) or production of only live material after 27 years (at age 42). (See cumulative yield, including thinnings in tables 13 and 14-table 31 in international units.) The pattern of these similar relative treatment results is given in figures 15 and 16 for cubic feet and board feet where initial treatment volume is included. The general pattern shows increasing production as level of growing stock increases, with the control (T-9C) having the most basal area and cubic-foot volume. That pattern changed when volume was expressed in board feet (Scribner 16 -foot logs to a 6 -inch top): control fell behind treatments 4,5 , and 7 . Total cubic-foot yield at age 42 of Douglas-fir control is exceeded by the live volume of hemlock control (WHC) by 11 percent.
The effect of treatments on the volume developed by age 42 for important tree diameter classes is given in figure 17. Units of measure are in cubic and board feet. Clear patterns of increased larger diameter classes were developed by lower levels of growing stock. The patterns were similar in either cubic or board-foot units of measure.
Treatments developed widely different ranges of tree size. The number of trees per acre by 1 -inch diameters is given for treatments at ages 15 and 42 in table 15.
The cumulative board-foot yield used as the basis for dollar value is shown in figure 18. Volume in units of Scribner board feet in 32-foot logs to a 4-inch top (inside bark) differs from the same unit of measure to other log lengths and top diameters and is the most common market place unit of measure. Tables showing cumulative yield in 32 -foot logs are not shown. Standing live volume of the unthinned hemlock stand (WHC) at age 42 exceeded cumulative yield of Douglas-fir control (T-9C) by 38 percent. Cumulative yield of treatment 7 exceeded the cumulative yield of control (T-9C) by 7 percent. All other treatments produced less cumulative yield than the control.
The volume attained by each late thinning was within 10 percent of the volume of its matched early thinning (figs. 9, 11, and 13). Treatment L5 and L7 each developed less live net cubic-foot volume than their matched early treatments and averaged 95 and 91 percent, respectively, for all the growth periods since first late thinning. Late treatment L1 developed the same ( 99 percent) volume as treatment 1 for the periods.
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Figure 11-Cubic-foot volume by age for fixed early $(T)$ and late (L) thinning treatments.


Figure 12-Cubic-foot volume by age for fixed, increasing, and decreasing treatments.


Figure 13-Board-foot volume by age for fixed early $(\mathrm{T}$ ) and late ( L ) treatment thinnings.


Figure 14-Board-foot volume by age for fixed, increasing, and decreasing treatments.


Figure 15-Gross yield in cubic feet by treatment including trees cut in the calibration thinning.


Figure 16-Gross yield in board feet by treatment including trees cut in the calibration thinning.



Figure 17-Standing volume at age 42 by tree diameter classes in inches for (A) cubic feet per acre and (B) board feet per acre (Scribner, 16-foot logs).

Final Net Value

## Increment, Cut Trees, and Mortality

Late treatment L3 developed more volume than treatment 3, an average of 109 percent, for the growth periods since first late thinning. Basal area increment for L3 also was greater than treatment 3 (116 percent). Close examination of matched basal area immediately after thinning revealed a small systematic bias in treatment L3. Retained basal area was consistently higher than treatment 3 . This accumulated to a sum of 11 percent for the four cuts in the period. The sum of comparable measurements for the other treatments was zero or 1 percent.
In terms of board feet, L5 and L7 grew considerably less over the period; 88 and 79 percent, respectively, of their early thinning counterparts.
All late treatments developed less board-foot volume than their respective early treatments.
The accumulated dollar value as stumpage for treatments-including all costs and returns at 6 -percent interest to age 42-is given in figure 18. There was a pattern to the values at 6 -percent interest. Treatments $4,5,6,7, L 3, L 5$, and WHC had stumpage values greater than DF control (T-9C). Treatment 6 and L1 and L7 were close to control and the rest were less. This pattern also held for interest rates to 4 percent.
At 7 percent, L1 and L7 slightly exceeded value of control. (Data not shown for 4 and 7 percent.) Clearly, thinning of young plantations produced greater value than planting to 600 trees without later thinning.
The main effect of delivered values (not shown) as opposed to stumpage (fig. 18) was to increase the overall value level. Also, the value for treatment 8 , which was slightly below the level of control (T-9C) as stumpage, equaled or exceeded control when expressed as delivered values, depending on interest rate.
The value for unthinned natural western hemlock (WHC) was 11 percent higher than the stumpage value of the planted Douglas-fir control (T-9C, fig. 18B).
The initial thinning in the late thinning sequence contributed significantly to accumulated stumpage value at this age. By age 42, value attributable to treatments L3 and L5 was higher than the values resulting from thinning the stands early at growing stock levels 5 and 7 . On the other hand, if the first late thinning was considered noncommercial, none of the late treatments would exceed the value of control (T-9C).
Periodic annual growth (increment) data for all live trees is given in tables 16 through 19 (tables 32 through 34 for international units). Increment generally is expressed herein as either net, the periodic change in units of measure of live trees minus the quantity of trees that died during the period, or gross, the periodic change in units of measure including trees that died during the period. Survivor growth-the change in units of measure of trees that were alive at both the start and end of a period-is given in one place in table 16. Net increment and survivor growth were nearly identical on all treatments except for control which, in the last two periods, had survivor growth in diameter at about half of control net diameter growth. Annual diameter increment ranged from 0.1 to 0.6 inch per year and was consistently least on the control. Net annual basal area increment ranged from 4 to 15 square feet per acre per year during the 27 -year period. Net annual volume increment ranged from 164 to 449 cubic feet per acre per year. Period-by-period increment of cubic-foot volume usually was greater on the control than on all treatments, except treatment 7.
Mean annual volume increment and periodic annual basal area increment by treatment and age are given in figures 19 and 20. Periodic and mean annual volume increment are given together by treatment in figure 21.

Basal area increment was greater at younger ages and at higher levels of growing stock, except for control (T-9C), which, in spite of greater growing stock, sometimes had less basal area increment than the thinning treatments. Basal area increment reached a maximum below age 25. Maximum mean annual volume increment has not been reached.
The relative amount and limited extent of short-term accelerated growth as the result of thinning is visible in the growth-to-growing-stock relation. Figure 22 illustrates periodic annual gross cubic-foot volume growth per acre, expressed as a percentage of unthinned Douglas-fir control volume growth, plotted over live basal area per acre (after thinning), expressed as a percentage of control basal area for the fixed-level treatments by age (except for age 29 for which all treatments are plotted). At age 29, volume growth of treatment 7 at 69 percent of control basal area growing stock, exceeded the volume growth of control by 9 percent. Treatment 7 also exceeded volume growth of control by 3 percent during the period beginning at age 33. Late thinning treatment L-7, not shown on figure 22, exceeded volume growth of control by 2 percent during the period beginning at age 29. Growth of all late-thinning treatments was slightly but consistently less than that of comparable early thinning treatments at similar levels of basal area growing stock.
Thinning also accelerated basal area growth (not shown). The pattern was similar to that for volume, but the percentage of increase was higher. Gross basal area growth reached 116 percent of control for treatment 7 at age 33 and exceeded growth of control at ages 25, 29, and 37. Late treatment L7 basal area growth also exceeded that of control for ages 29, 33, and 37. Growth percent of L7 averaged 3 percentage points lower than growth percent of treatment 7. Net basal area growth percentages, not shown in the figure, were higher than those given for gross basal area growth.
The record of trees cut by treatment and period is given in table 20 (table 35 in international units). Mortality is given in table 21 (table 36 in international units). Except for control, the amount of mortality was minor.
Crop Trees

## Stand Development Tables

Average height and diameter of crop trees, the 80 per acre selected at the start of the study on each plot, was summarized by treatment in tables 22 and 23 . The crop trees were favored by treatment cuttings and by age 42 were larger in diameter than average quadratic mean diameter (table 9 ) on all treatments except 1,2 , and L 1 . The three exceptions were thinned heavily and only crop trees remained by age 42. The average diameter of the crop trees was smaller than the 80 largest diameter trees per acre (not given).
Because initial tree selection at calibration was by number of trees, there was greater basal area variation between treatments than in some other LOGS studies where tree selection achieved given levels of basal area. Treatment 2 had the highest level of basal area of the treatments at age 15 and also had the largest average tree diameter. The impact of this is apparent in table 23; diameter of treatment 2 crop trees began higher than all other treatments and remained the highest until age 42, when it was surpassed by treatment 1 . This illustrates the continuing advantage of a better greater starting diameter for thinned stands.
The stand development table 24 (table 37 in international units) presents thinning, yield, mortality, and growth of height, volume, and basal area by age in a "yield table" format. This is the most concise summary of the material presented in the report.
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Figure 18 -Accumulated results at age 42 by treatment: $(A)$ board feet and $(B)$ dollar value per acre including planting cost and cost and value of thinnings, all carried at 6 percent compound interest.


Figure 19-Mean annual increment (MAI) in volume by stand age for western hemlock (WHC), fixed early treatments ( $\mathrm{T}-$ ), and late treatments (L- ).


Figure 20 -Net periodic annual increment (PAI) in basal area by age for ( A ) fixed treatments and control, ( B ) increasing and decreasing treatments, and (C) late-thinning treatments.


Figure 21-Comparison of curves of mean annual increment (MAI) in volume and of periodic annual increment (PAI) by stand age for (A) fixed, (B) increasing and decreasing, and (C) late thinning treatments.


Figure 22-Volume growth to basal area growing stock relations of treatment averages expressed as percentages of unthinned control by age of stand.

## Discussion

Species

## Site Index and Height-40

As much as 20 percent of basal area of some plots was western hemlock. The growth and yield results from this study generally parallel results from other high site LOGS studies free of a hemlock component. (Curtis and Marshall 1986). We therefore expected no adverse influence by the western hemlock component on results of this study. The results from the standard LOGS analysis presented here did not compute hemlock volume and growth from a western hemlock tree volume equation. Instead, we assigned volumes from the Douglas-fir volume equation to western hemlock. We made a separate analysis (data not shown) by using an appropriate equation for the western hemlock component and found no important difference from volume and growth figures reported here.
The tendency of Kings' (1966) site index values for young Douglas-fir to decline with increasing age is a common observation in Northwest plantations. One of several plausible explanations is that Kings' (1966) site index curves, which use total tree height and age at breast height ( 4.5 feet) were based on natural stock which usually crosses the breast-height threshold from a highly competitive early establishment period. Plantations, on the other hand, often cross the threshold from a more vigorous nursery-based start, and they continue an elevated height growth rate for several years until they settle down to more usual height growth trends. The effect of this behavior is that in the earliest years above breast height, sample trees from plantations overestimate site index as defined by King's curves.
Site index computed from selective site trees sampled period by period, in the field, was more reasonable than site index based on height estimates from smoothed trends of height and diameter. Both procedures were applied to the same standard site index curves.
Height-40 estimated from height-diameter trends was an average of 1.1 feet taller than the average of the height-40 sample trees that were selected as field samples each remeasurement period. At breast-height age 8, 1.1 feet of height represents about six points of site index. (Individual tree ages of the height-40 trees average 0.6 year older than the nominal breast-height age (8.0) of the study. If nominal age had been used instead of known individual tree ages, the overestimate of height at age 8 would have been 1.8 feet and about 10 points of site index.)

Growth and Yield

Growth and yield of the basic study treatments generally behaved as expected from results of other LOGS installations. Differences in growth were confirmed by the analysis of variance. Higher levels of growing stock gave greater increment and greater volume yields. The assumption that there is a nearly constant gross volume increment over a wide range of growing stock was not supported by the results of this-or other-LOGS studies. The late thinning treatments also confirmed the point; if the initial cut (to waste) wood-volume was included as part of gross cumulative yield each late thinning treatment produced more total yield per acre than its comparable early treatment. The reason; each acre carried more growing stock for a longer period of time. However, with the first thinning removal considered as waste and excluded from total yield (as shown in figs. 15 and 16), the late thinning treatments each produced less total yield (including ongoing thinning) than did each respective early treatment.

Volume by Tree Sizes

## Dollar Value: Final Net Value at Age 42

The benefits of thinning were twofold. The reduced levels of growing stock redistributed growth to different diameter classes than on unthinned stands. Important proportionate shifts of yield to larger log-grade diameter classes began 10 years after first thinning. The thinning treatments favored development of large-diameter trees (see board-foot units, fig. 12). The second benefit was increased, total board-foot yield from the harvest of early thinnings. This is most evident in figure 16.
Treatment 2, an early heavy thinning followed by successive lighter thinnings, produced the greatest number of 16 -inch and larger diameter trees. Treatment 2 was a balance between two opposing factors; low production from severe understocking (treatments 1 and L-1) and increased individual tree growth from a reduced level of growing stock. The lowest level of growing stock produced 22 -inch and larger tree diameter classes most rapidly. It should be possible to write a general silvicultural prescription that would produce the relative diameter class distributions comparable to any of the study treatments.

Because no two local log markets have identical conditions, absolute local dollar values are of limited interest. Relative value, on the other hand, helps interpret the usefulness of the results of treatments. Dollar value was estimated by holding logging costs and log values of variables not affected by log size as constants and by assigning local varying costs and values to variables influenced by log size. Then all costs and values, on a per-acre basis, were carried at interest until age 42, constituting a final net value analysis.

The cost and value, if any, of wood removed in the calibration thinning was not included in the value analysis because the major function served by that thinning was to balance starting conditions for the experiment. In practice, the equivalent of that thinning would be accomplished as part of the first thinning. We therefore believe that our interpretation of practical worth of treatments should not be influenced by assigning either cost or value to the calibration thinning. We do not think that growth effects induced by the calibration thinning would alter the interpretation of value results.
As of age 42, early dollar returns from the commercial value of the first late thinning exceeded the value of size differences created by early thinning treatments. Age 42 is, however, not yet the final answer to questions about treatment worth. Trees in the lower stocked wider spacings are approaching a size where significant log grade and value increases will occur.
Another major change is likely in both the Douglas-fir control and the unthinned western hemlock. There has not yet been substantial mortality in either. With the expected onset of mortality, the wood volume and relative value of both will be reduced whereas that of other treatments will continue to increase. Those volume and value increases will probably continue for several decades.

Thinning young plantations to produce larger trees is worthwhile. The thinning process (with accumulated values and altered stand structures) produced greater final net stumpage value at age 42 than the alternative of planting and leaving 600 stems per acre without further tending.

Crop Trees

## Increment, Late Versus

 Early Thinning
## Acceleration of Growth by Thinning

Differences between dollar value of treatments help interpret noncommodity applications. Wildlife specialists who have visited the Francis plots believe that some of the stem distributions (fig. 17 and table 15) and other attributes created by treatments are desirable for some forms of wildlife. ${ }^{2}$ If a specific treatment was prescribed to meet wildlife needs, value differences from alternative treatments (fig. 18) would directly estimate the "cost"; that is, relative loss of value in meeting that need. The similar values across the wide range of Douglas-fir plantation growing-stock regimes suggest that carefully applied silvicultural prescriptions might meet some wildlife needs at little or no loss of value to the landowner.

On nonthinned control plots, trees shifted their relative dominance; some original crop trees selected at age 15 were in subdominant diameter classes 14 years later, and average diameter of crop trees was 1.5 inches smaller than the diameter of the 80 largest trees per acre.
The average size of the 80 largest trees per acre was 0.5 to 0.8 inch larger at age 15 than the selected 80 crop trees per acre. This resulted from the defined spacing requirement specified by the study plan that four crop trees must appear in each quarter plot and that none be closer than 13.5 feet to another crop tree. The requirement sacrificed tree size for spacing. By age 29, the 80 largest trees per acre were as much as an inch larger than the 80 crop trees. Vigorous healthy-appearing trees selected as crop trees on spaced plots occasionally died from various causes.
There seems to be little use in selecting fixed crop trees at such early ages.
If treatment L3 is disregarded because of the systematic error in thinned growing stock level (explained in RESULTS), the increment of the other three late treatments averaged 5 -percent cubic-foot and 10-percent board-foot volume less for the period between age 25 and 42 than the increment of the early thinned treatments.
Because the late-thinning plots had a higher average site index (128) than the four matched basic plots (124), the reported increment for late thinning would be greater than if growing as site index 124. A four-point change in site index translates into increment differences of 5 percent of cubic-foot annual volume increment and 7 percent of annual board-foot volume increment, the estimated percentages that the late thinning increment should be reduced to correct for the higher site index. (This is based on the basal area stocking and age of the treatments and estimations from a local empirical yield table [Chambers 1980]). By using the corrections, one could generalize that increment for ages 25 to 42 following late first thinning was about 10 -percent less cubic-foot volume and 17-percent less board-foot volume than that of stands continuously thinned from early age, when both were thinned to the same levels of basal area growing stock and site index is identical at 124.
Both basal area and volume growth increased for short periods as a result of thinning, especially on treatment 7 . Percentage of volume increase from thinning was comparable to that reported for spruce by Assmann (1970, p. 230), and occurred at comparable levels of basal area growing stock ( 60 to 100 percent of control), and for a roughly similar type of thinning. The acceleration of growth was small and limited to a short period. This leads to three points of interpretation: (1) Results of this study support the idea that, for practical purposes, the gross growth in cubic feet of a fully

[^3]Future Use of the Study
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The experiment was designed to test several thinning regimes beginning in young stands made alike at the start through a "calibration" thinning. Thereafter, through the time required for 60 feet of height growth, growing stock was controlled by allowing a specified addition to the growing stock between successive thinnings. Any extra growth was cut and was one of the measured effects of the thinning regime.
A single experiment consists of eight thinning regimes plus unthinned plots whose growth is the basis for treatment in these regimes. There are three plots per treatment, arranged in a completely randomized design for a total of 27 one-fifth acre plots.
Interaction of site quality and treatment can be evaluated by replicating installations on each site quality class. Cooperative effort has made this replication possible.
Crop tree selection and details of the initial "calibration" thinning are given in the methods section.
The eight thinning regimes differ in the amount of basal area allowed to accumulate in the growing stock. The amount of growth retained at any thinning is a predetermined percentage of the gross increase found in the unthinned plots since the last thinning (see the table on the inside front cover). The average residual basal area for all thinned plots after the calibration thinning is the foundation on which all future growing stock accumulation is based. As used in the study, the three control plots may be thought of as providing a "local gross yield table" for the study area.
For example, the following procedure was used to determine the level of growing stock for each treatment for the beginning of the third treatment period. The average gross square-foot basal area increment per acre of the control plots equals net basal area increment plus mortality.

| Net basal area increment per acre | 50.8 | $(12.7$ | 4 years $)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Basal area of mortality per acre | 2.0 | $(0.5$ | 4 years $)$ |
| Gross basal area increment per acre | 52.8 |  |  |

the calculated basal area level in square feet per acre by treatment at the beginning of the third treatment period is:

| Treatment <br> no. | Basal area <br> to be <br> retained $^{1}$ | Basal areaat <br> beginning <br> second treatment <br> period $^{2}$ | Gross <br> basal <br> area <br> increment | Calculated basal <br> area at beginning <br> of third treatment |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | ------- Square feet per acre------- |  |  |
| 1 | 10 | 38.0 | 5.3 | $43.5^{3}$ |
| 2 | 30 | 42.6 | 15.9 | 58.5 |
| 3 | 30 | 55.8 | 1.9 | 7.7 |
| 5 | 50 | 60.3 | 26.5 | 86.8 |
| 6 | 50 | 73.3 | 26.5 | 99.8 |
| 7 | 30 | 68.9 | 15.9 | 84.8 |
| 8 | 70 | 90.1 | 37.1 | 127.2 |
|  | 50 | 84.4 | 26.5 | 110.9 |

${ }^{1}$ See the treatment schedule on the inside front cover.
${ }^{2}$ See table 10.
${ }^{3}$ Example calculation: 10 percent of $52.8=5.3 ; 38.2+5.3=43.5$.

Thinning Interval Control of Type of Thinning

After the calibration thinning, thinnings were made whenever average height growth of crop trees comes closest to each multiple of 10 feet.
As far as possible, type of thinning is eliminated as a variable in the treatment. The thinning specifications are described in detail in "Methods."

## HEIGHT, 40 LARGEST TREES PER ACRE

Table 6--Height-40; mean height of 40 largest trees per acre by treatment, total age, and year at beginning of period ${ }^{a}$

| Treatment | $\begin{aligned} & 1963 \\ & (15)^{b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1966 \\ (18) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1969 \\ (21) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1973 \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1977 \\ (29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1981 \\ (33) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1985 \\ (37) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1990 \\ & (42) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 26.3 | 35.0 | 43.5 | 54.9 | 65.2 | 75.1 | 83.9 | 96.8 |
| 3 | 27.4 | 35.2 | 43.2 | 55.3 | 66.8 | 75.3 | 84.9 | 98.5 |
| 5 | 29.2 | 36.6 | 45.1 | 56.6 | 70.5 | 80.3 | 89.0 | 101.5 |
| 7 | 26.1 | 34.5 | 43.5 | 54.9 | 65.8 | 75.5 | 85.9 | 96.5 |
| Average |  |  |  | 55.4 | 67.1 | 76.5 | 85.9 | 98.3 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 28.7 | 35.5 | 44.3 | 56.6 | 70.0 | 79.7 | 88.6 | 102.1 |
| 4 | 28.4 | 36.8 | 45.9 | 57.0 | 69.2 | 77.4 | 86.1 | 97.5 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 26.9 | 36.3 | 44.6 | 56.1 | 64.5 | 74.4 | 85.0 | 100.0 |
| 8 | 26.5 | 35.2 | 44.3 | 54.9 | 67.1 | 76.5 | 84.6 | 96.3 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 27.3 | 35.7 | 44.6 | 54.4 | 68.6 | 76.9 | 85.1 | 97.5 |
| Late thinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 55.8 | 65.4 | 74.0 | 81.6 | 92.8 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 55.1 | 65.0 | 74.6 | 82.2 | 93.4 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 58.9 | 70.1 | 79.4 | 86.0 | 97.9 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 54.7 | 64.5 | 73.3 | 80.0 | 91.9 |
| Average |  |  |  | 56.1 | 66.2 | 75.3 | 82.4 | 94.0 |
| WHC ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  | 63 | 72 | 80 | 90 |

```
-- = missing data.
```

a Respective average ages for WHC for 1977-90 are $26,30,34$, and 39 years. Height-40 is computed from a sample of 4 to 6 trees taken from the diameter range of the 40 largest per acre.
${ }^{b}$ Stand age in parenthesis.
c WHC - western hemlock.

Table 7--Mean diameter of 40 largest trees per acre by treatment, total age, and year at beginning of period

| Treatment | $\begin{aligned} & 1963 \\ & (15)^{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1966 \\ (18) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1969 \\ (21) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1973 \\ (25) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1977 \\ (29) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1981 \\ (33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1985 \\ (37) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1990 \\ (42) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4.9 | 6.8 | 8.4 | 10.8 | 13.3 | 15.2 | 17.1 | 19.7 |
| 3 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 8.9 | 11.4 | 13.6 | 15.2 | 16.7 | 18.7 |
| 5 | 5.2 | 7.1 | 8.8 | 11.2 | 13.1 | 14.7 | 16.1 | 17.9 |
| 7 | 5.0 | 6.9 | 8.6 | 10.7 | 12.5 | 13.8 | 15.2 | 16.6 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 5.6 | 7.6 | 9.3 | 11.9 | 14.2 | 16.3 | 18.0 | 20.2 |
| 4 | 5.2 | 7.1 | 8.9 | 11.4 | 13.4 | 15.1 | 16.7 | 18.5 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $6$ | 5.1 | 7.1 | 8.9 | 11.2 | 13.1 | 14.9 | 16.8 | 19.0 |
| 8 | 4.8 | 6.7 | 8.4 | 10.4 | 12.0 | 13.5 | 15.0 | 16.5 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 5.3 | 7.0 | 8.5 | 10.2 | 11.5 | 12.7 | 13.6 | 14.9 |
| Late thinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 9.1 | 11.1 | 13.3 | 15.1 | 17.6 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 9.4 | 11.1 | 12.7 | 14.3 | 16.3 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 10.0 | 11.5 | 13.0 | 14.4 | 16.2 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 9.9 | 11.5 | 13.0 | 14.3 | 15.8 |

-- = missing data.
a Stand age in parenthesis.

Table 8--Number of live trees per acre by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age

| Treatment | Plot | Live trees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calibration |  | Period 1 |  | Period 2 |  | Period 3 |  | Period 4 |  | Period 5 |  | Period 6 |  |
|  |  | After cut 1963 (15) * | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1966 \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1966 (18) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1969 \\ (21) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1969 (21) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1973 \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | After <br> cut <br> 1973 <br> (25) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1977 \\ (29) \end{gathered}$ | After <br> cut <br> 1977 <br> (29) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1981 \\ (33) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1981 (33) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1985 \\ (37) \end{gathered}$ | After <br> cut <br> 1985 <br> (37) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1990 \\ (42) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Trees | per | acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6 | 405 | 395 | 185 | 185 | 125 | 125 | 75 | 75 | 50 | 50 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
|  | 20 | 405 | 400 | 235 | 235 | 140 | 135 | 80 | 80 | 55 | 55 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
|  | 27 | 405 | 400 | 290 | 285 | 175 | 175 | 105 | 105 | 75 | 75 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 |
| Avg. |  | 405 | 398 | 237 | 235 | 147 | 145 | 87 | 87 | 60 | 60 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| 3 | 9 | 405 | 405 | 275 | 275 | 230 | 230 | 175 | 170 | 145 | 145 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 |
|  | 10 | 405 | 405 | 245 | 245 | 225 | 225 | 155 | 155 | 115 | 115 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
|  | 22 | 405 | 405 | 210 | 210 | 175 | 175 | 125 | 125 | 95 | 95 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Avg. |  | 405 | 405 | 243 | 243 | 210 | 210 | 152 | 150 | 118 | 118 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| 5 | 8 | 405 | 400 | 335 | 330 | 285 | 285 | 235 | 225 | 205 | 205 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 185 |
|  | 16 | 405 | 405 | 330 | 330 | 285 | 280 | 230 | 225 | 205 | 205 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 175 |
|  | 18 | 405 | 405 | 360 | 360 | 305 | 305 | 250 | 240 | 220 | 220 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 |
| Avg. |  | 405 | 403 | 342 | 340 | 292 | 290 | 238 | 230 | 210 | 210 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 182 |
| 7 | 1 | 405 | 405 | 390 | 390 | 355 | 350 | 320 | 320 | 290 | 290 | 270 | 265 | 265 | 260 |
|  | 21 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 370 | 365 | 335 | 335 | 305 | 305 | 305 | 295 |
|  | 23 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 390 | 390 | 350 | 350 | 320 | 320 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 295 |
| Avg. |  | 405 | 405 | 400 | 400 | 383 | 382 | 347 | 345 | 315 | 315 | 292 | 290 | 290 | 283 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3 | 405 | 405 | 190 | 190 | 130 | 130 | 100 | 95 | 90 | 90 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
|  | 5 | 405 | 400 | 185 | 185 | 130 | 130 | 95 | 95 | 80 | 80 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
|  | 11 | 405 | 405 | 175 | 175 | 115 | 115 | 80 | 80 | 70 | 70 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Avg. |  | 405 | 403 | 183 | 183 | 125 | 125 | 92 | 90 | 80 | 80 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 |
| 4 | 12 | 405 | 405 | 250 | 250 | 230 | 230 | 195 | 190 | 175 | 175 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 |
|  | 14 | 405 | 405 | 255 | 255 | 225 | 220 | 200 | 200 | 170 | 170 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 |
|  | 15 | 405 | 405 | 220 | 220 | 200 | 200 | 165 | 165 | 145 | 14.5 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 |
| Avg. |  | 405 | 405 | 242 | 242 | 218 | 217 | 187 | 185 | 163 | 163 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2 | 405 | 405 | 270 | 270 | 215 | 215 | 155 | 155 | 115 | 115 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
|  | 13 | 405 | 405 | 330 | 325 | 255 | 255 | 190 | 190 | 130 | 130 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
|  | 26 | 405 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 335 | 335 | 245 | 245 | 190 | 190 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 |
| Avg. |  | 405 | 403 | 333 | 332 | 268 | 268 | 197 | 197 | 145 | 145 | 103 | 103 | 103 | 103 |
| 8 | 7 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 395 | 360 | 350 | 315 | 315 | 275 | 275 | 240 | 235 | 235 | 235 |
|  | 24 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 400 | 345 | 345 | 290 | 290 | 240 | 235 | 235 | 235 |
|  | 25 | 405 | 405 | 405 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 330 | 325 | 290 | 290 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| Avg. |  | 405 | 405 | 405 | 398 | 387 | 382 | 330 | 328 | 285 | 285 | 243 | 240 | 240 | 240 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control |  | 1110 | 1345 | 1345 | 1415 | 1415 | 1410 | 1410 | 1355 | 1355 | 1355 | 1355 | 1160 | 1160 | 985 |
|  | 17 | 685 | 820 | 820 | 870 | 870 | 850 | 850 | 820 | 820 | 825 | 825 | 670 | 670 | 590 |
|  | 19 | 870 | 1060 | 1060 | 1095 | 1095 | 1060 | 1060 | 970 | 970 | 865 | 865 | 740 | 740 | 640 |
| Avg. |  | 888 | 1075 | 1075 | 1127 | 1127 | 1107 | 1107 | 1048 | 1048 | 1015 | 1015 | 857 | 857 | 738 |

Table 8--Number of live trees per acre by treatment, plot, treatment period, year and stand age (continued)


| Late Thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Late 1 | 32 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1540 | 160 | 160 | 90 | 90 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
|  | 34 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 990 | 160 | 160 | 85 | 85 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
|  | 37 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 940 | 165 | 165 | 95 | 95 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Avg. |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1157 | 162 | 162 | 90 | 90 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 |
| Late 3 | 30 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1410 | 275 | 275 | 220 | 220 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 |
|  | 31 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1510 | 270 | 270 | 215 | 215 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 |
|  | 33 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1150 | 275 | 270 | 215 | 210 | 155 | 155 | 155 | 155 |
| Avg. |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1357 | 273 | 272 | 217 | 215 | 163 | 163 | 163 | 163 |
| Late 5 | 35 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1045 | 380 | 380 | 330 | 330 | 275 | 270 | 270 | 265 |
|  | 36 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 905 | 375 | 375 | 335 | 335 | 300 | 295 | 295 | 295 |
|  | 38 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 870 | 375 | 370 | 350 | 345 | 305 | 285 | 285 | 260 |
| Avg. |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 940 | 377 | 375 | 338 | 337 | 293 | 283 | 283 | 273 |
| Late 7 | 28 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1350 | 520 | 515 | 465 | 465 | 430 | 420 | 420 | 420 |
|  | 29 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1250 | 590 | 590 | 540 | 540 | 485 | 480 | 480 | 475 |
|  | 39 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 880 | 465 | 460 | 450 | 445 | 410 | 400 | 400 | 375 |
| Avg. |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1160 | 525 | 522 | 485 | 483 | 442 | 433 | 433 | 423 |
| WHC Avg. ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2738 | 2738 | 1960 | 1960 | 1213 | 1213 | 1017 |

-- = missing data.

- Stand age in parenthesis.
b WHC $=$ western hemlock. Western hemlock is 1 year younger than the age given for Douglas-fir.

Table 9--Quadratic mean diameter for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age

|  |  | Quadatric Mean Diameter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calibration |  | Period 1 |  | Period 2 |  | Period 3 |  | Period 4 |  | Period 5 |  | Period 6 |  |
| Treatment | Plot | $\begin{gathered} \text { After } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1963 \\ (15)^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1966 \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { After } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1966 \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1969 \\ (21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { After } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1969 \\ (21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1973 \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { After } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1973 \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1977 \\ \text { (29) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { After } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1977 \\ 129) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1981 \\ (33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { After } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1981 \\ (33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1985 \\ (37) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { After } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1985 \\ 137) \end{gathered}$ | Before cut 1990 (42) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed: Ind |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6 | 4.0 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 15.5 | 15.4 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 20.3 |
|  | 20 | 3.4 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 12.5 | 12.7 | 15.1 | 15.5 | 17.8 | 17.8 | 20.6 |
|  | 27 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 13.0 | 13.1 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 17.5 |
| Avg. |  | 3.6 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 14.5 | 14.7 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 19.5 |
| 3 | 9 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 10.4 | 10.3 | 11.7 | 11.8 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 14.5 |
|  | 10 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 11.3 | 11.6 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 17.7 |
|  | 22 | 3.9 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 9.9 | 10.3 | 12.3 | 12.9 | 14.6 | 15.1 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 18.9 |
| Avg. |  | 3.7 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 11.4 | 11.6 | 13.3 | 13.6 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 17.0 |
| 5 | 8 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 11.6 | 11.5 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 14.0 |
|  | 16 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 14.4 |
|  | 18 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 12.9 | 12.9 | 14.3 |
| Avg. |  | 3.7 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 14.2 |
| 7 | 1 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 13.4 |
|  | 21 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 9.4 | 9.3 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 12.8 |
|  | 23 | 3.6 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 12.5 |
| Avg. |  | 3.5 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 12.9 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 12.4 | 12.5 | 14.3 | 14.5 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 18.0 |
|  | 5 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 10.2 | 10.6 | 12.9 | 13.2 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 18.7 |
|  | 11 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 7.8 | 8.2 | 10.8 | 11.5 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 16.3 | 16.2 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 20.5 |
| Avg. |  | 3.9 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 10.4 | 10.8 | 13.1 | 13.2 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 19.0 |
| 4 | 12 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 12.3 | 12.5 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 15.3 |
|  | 14 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 15.4 |
|  | 15 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 16.6 |
| Avg. |  | 3.8 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 12.8 | 12.9 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 15.7 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 9.8 | 10.1 | 11.9 | 12.3 | 14.0 | 14.5 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 18.1 |
|  | 13 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 11.1 | 11.5 | 13.3 | 13.7 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 17.2 |
|  | 26 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 9.5 | 9.6 | 11.1 | 11.5 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 14.9 |
| Avg. |  | 3.6 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 8.9 | 9.1 | 10.8 | 11.1 | 12.8 | 13.2 | 14.9 | 14.9 | 16.7 |
| 8 | 7 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 9.4 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 12.5 |
|  | 24 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 12.8 |
|  | 25 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 12.4 |
| Avg. |  | 3.4 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 12.6 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 4 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7.9 |
|  | 17 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 9.1 |
|  | 19 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 9.5 |
| Avg. |  | 3.3 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 8.8 |

Table 9--Quadratic mean diameter for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age (continued)

|  |  | Quadatric Mean Diameter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatment | Plot | Calibration |  | Period 1 |  | Period 2 |  | Period 3 |  | Period 4 |  | Period 5 |  | Period 6 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { After } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1963 \\ (15)^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | Before cut 1966 (18) | $\begin{gathered} \text { After } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1966 \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ | Before cut 1969 (21) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aifter } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1969 \\ (21) \end{gathered}$ | Before cut 1973 (25) | $\begin{gathered} \text { After } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1973 \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | Before cut 1977 (29) | After cut 1977 (29) | Before cut 1981 $(33)$ (33) | After cut 1981 (33) | Before cut 1985 (37) | After cut 1985 (37) | Before cut 1990 (42) |


| Inches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 132 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4.8 | 7.0 | 8.7 | 9.9 | 12.2 | 12.7 | 14.8 | 14.8 | 17.3 |
| 34 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 5.8 | 7.1 | 8.7 | 10.2 | 12.1 | 12.6 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 16.7 |
| 37 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 5.5 | 7.0 | 8.4 | 9.6 | 11.5 | 12.0 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 15.9 |
| Avg. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 5.4 | 7.0 | 8.6 | 9.9 | 12.0 | 12.4 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 16.6 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4.7 | 6.9 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 10.0 | 10.2 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 13.0 |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 5.1 | 7.0 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 13.6 |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 5.6 | 7.1 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 10.2 | 10.6 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 13.7 |
| Avg. ${ }^{33}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 5.1 | 7.0 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 13.5 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 5.7 | 7.0 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 9.4 | 9.6 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 12.0 |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 5.7 | 7.0 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 11.2 |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 6.5 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 11.8 |
| Avg. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 6.0 | 7.0 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 9.2 | 9.3 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 11.6 |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4.9 | 6.8 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 10.6 |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4.9 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.8 |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 6.3 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 11.0 |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 5.4 | 6.7 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 10.5 |
| Avg. WHC ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -- | - | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4.2 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 7.6 |

-- = missing data.

- Stand age in parenthesis.
b WHC $=$ western hemlock. Western hemlock is 1 year younger than the age given for Douglas-fir.

Table 10--Basal area per acre for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year and stand age

| Treatment | Plot | Basal areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calibration |  | Period 1 |  | Period 2 |  | Period 3 |  | Period 4 |  | Period 5 |  | Period 6 |  |
|  |  | After cut 1963 (15) ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1966 \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ | After <br> cut <br> 1966 <br> (18) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1969 \\ (21) \end{gathered}$ | ```After cut 1969 (21)``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1973 \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { After } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1973 \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Be fore } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1977 \\ (29) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1977 (29) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1981 \\ (33) \end{gathered}$ | After <br> cut <br> 1981 <br> (33) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1985 \\ (37) \end{gathered}$ | After <br> cut <br> 1985 <br> (37) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1990 \\ (42) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Squa | are feet | et per | acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 36.1 | 68.4 | 32.7 | 56.3 | 38.5 | 68.8 | 44.8 | 70.0 | 46.6 | 65.4 | 51.9 | 67.4 | 67.4 | 90.0 |
|  | 20 | 25.6 | 54.0 | 33.6 | 59.9 | 38.4 | 66.6 | 43.3 | 68.5 | 48.0 | 68.3 | 52.4 | 69.2 | 69.2 | 92.5 |
|  | 27 | 23.0 | 48.1 | 34.1 | 58.2 | 37.1 | 67.7 | 44.4 | 70.6 | 48.2 | 68.8 | 51.6 | 68.6 | 68.6 | 91.7 |
| Avg. |  | 28.2 | 56.8 | 33.5 | 58.2 | 38.0 | 67.7 | 44.1 | 69.7 | 47.6 | 67.5 | 52.0 | 68.4 | 68.4 | 91.4 |
| 3 | 9 | 27.6 | 56.9 | 38.0 | 64.8 | 55.7 | 94.8 | 71.9 | 100.7 | 84.1 | 108.7 | 94.6 | 116.2 | 116.2 | 143.1 |
|  | 10 | 27.4 | 56.1 | 34.8 | 59.8 | 56.0 | 97.7 | 71.9 | 107.8 | 84.9 | 114.0 | 94.7 | 121.8 | 121.8 | 154.3 |
|  | 22 | 33.7 | 69.9 | 38.1 | 64.9 | 55.7 | 94.0 | 71.8 | 103.9 | 85.9 | 110.3 | 92.8 | 115.7 | 115.7 | 145.5 |
| Avg. |  | 29.5 | 61.0 | 37.0 | 63.2 | 55.8 | 95.5 | 71.9 | 104.1 | 85.0 | 111.0 | 94.1 | 117.9 | 117.9 | 147.6 |
| 5 | 8 | 31.5 | 62.0 | 51.9 | 83.2 | 73.3 | 117.8 | 99.7 | 130.5 | 121.4 | 149.5 | 138.1 | 164.4 | 164.4 | 198.9 |
|  | 16 | 33.3 | 64.5 | 52.6 | 83.8 | 73.1 | 117.6 | 99.4 | 132.5 | 123.5 | 157.4 | 140.1 | 167.5 | 167.5 | 198.1 |
|  | 18 | 28.3 | 57.7 | 52.4 | 85.5 | 73.6 | 122.5 | 100.1 | 135.3 | 123.7 | 160.1 | 137.1 | 167.4 | 167.4 | 205.0 |
| Avg. |  | 31.1 | 61.4 | 52.3 | 84.2 | 73.3 | 119.3 | 99.7 | 132.8 | 122.8 | 155.7 | 138.4 | 166.4 | 166.4 | 200.7 |
| 7 | 1 | 30.4 | 62.6 | 60.8 | 99.8 | 91.1 | 140.3 | 128.3 | 174.7 | 158.4 | 194.8 | 181.1 | 214.8 | 214.8 | 252.8 |
|  | 21 | 23.6 | 51.5 | 51.5 | 88.0 | 88.0 | 140.2 | 128.2 | 174.2 | 158.4 | 199.6 | 181.9 | 220.3 | 220.3 | 262.6 |
|  | 23 | 28.2 | 59.3 | 59.3 | 94.2 | 91.3 | 142.1 | 128.6 | 172.8 | 158.4 | 192.7 | 180.6 | 211.8 | 211.8 | 250.4 |
| Avg. |  | 27.4 | 57.8 | 57.2 | 94.0 | 90.1 | 140.9 | 128.3 | 173.9 | 158.4 | 195.7 | 181.2 | 215.6 | 215.6 | 255.3 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | 33.5 | 66.9 | 34.6 | 57.7 | 42.5 | 74.4 | 58.5 | 79.9 | 76.5 | 99.7 | 91.4 | 112.0 | 112.0 | 141.1 |
|  | 5 | 32.8 | 64.3 | 33.6 | 58.1 | 42.8 | 73.5 | 58.4 | 85.6 | 76.0 | 98.4 | 92.4 | 113.3 | 113.3 | 143.0 |
|  | 11 | 34.4 | 67.4 | 34.5 | 58.2 | 42.5 | 73.5 | 57.9 | 86.6 | 75.4 | 100.9 | 93.4 | 118.3 | 118.3 | 148.4 |
| Avg. |  | 33.6 | 66.2 | 34.2 | 58.0 | 42.6 | 73.8 | 58.3 | 84.0 | 76.0 | 99.7 | 92.4 | 114.5 | 114.5 | 144.2 |
| 4 | 12 | 29.7 | 60.3 | 38.0 | 65.1 | 60.2 | 100.8 | 87.2 | 121.2 | 112.1 | 144.6 | 135.3 | 166.9 | 166.9 | 203.8 |
|  | 14 | 30.8 | 62.3 | 38.3 | 67.0 | 60.3 | 100.0 | 91.2 | 131.6 | 112.9 | 144.4 | 136.6 | 167.7 | 167.7 | 206.1 |
|  | 15 | 33.3 | 67.3 | 38.1 | 65.5 | 60.3 | 103.8 | 86.7 | 127.9 | 113.6 | 149.2 | 133.9 | 163.7 | 163.7 | 195.2 |
| Avg. |  | 31.3 | 63.3 | 38.1 | 65.9 | 60.3 | 101.5 | 88.4 | 126.9 | 112.9 | 146.0 | 135.2 | 166.1 | 166.1 | 201.7 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2 | 35.5 | 71.7 | 51.5 | 84.7 | 69.2 | 113.6 | 85.6 | 120.3 | 94.2 | 122.3 | 97.3 | 121.3 | 121.3 | 151.6 |
|  | 13 | 31.0 | 62.6 | 52.2 | 84.9 | 68.2 | 114.0 | 87.5 | 126.7 | 93.1 | 124.5 | 96.7 | 120.8 | 120.8 | 152.6 |
|  | 26 | 21.2 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 81.8 | 69.3 | 113.6 | 84.9 | 120.9 | 95.0 | 128.1 | 94.1 | 122.1 | 122.1 | 158.4 |
| Avg. |  | 29.2 | 60.6 | 50.4 | 83.8 | 68.9 | 113.7 | 86.0 | 122.6 | 94.1 | 125.0 | 96.0 | 121.4 | 121.4 | 154.2 |
| 8 |  | 30.2 | 60.2 | 60.2 | 92.7 | 85.6 | 126.1 | 113.3 | 149.4 | 131.6 | 161.2 | 140.8 | 167.1 | 167.1 | 201.2 |
|  | 24 | 23.0 | 48.9 | 48.9 | 82.1 | 82.1 | 129.1 | 113.0 | 153.8 | 131.1 | 168.4 | 140.7 | 171.1 | 171.1 | 209.5 |
|  | 25 | 24.1 | 52.4 | 52.4 | 85.6 | 85.6 | 132.2 | 111.9 | 149.8 | 133.5 | 165.4 | 145.5 | 173.2 | 173.2 | 208.3 |
| Avg. |  | 25.7 | 53.9 | 53.9 | 86.8 | 84.4 | 129.1 | 112.7 | 151.0 | 132.1 | 165.0 | 142.4 | 170.4 | 170.4 | 206.4 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control |  | 64.9 | 116.7 | 116.7 | 163.1 | 163.1 | 215.4 | 215.4 | 252.3 | 252.3 | 286.7 | 286.7 | 305.2 | 305.2 | 331.2 |
|  | 17 | 37.7 | 71.1 | 71.1 | 107.6 | 107.6 | 154.3 | 154.3 | 192.4 | 192.4 | 226.2 | 226.2 | 243.4 | 243.4 | 268.5 |
|  | 19 | 52.0 | 100.5 | 100.5 | 146.1 | 146.1 | 199.9 | 199.9 | 240.0 | 240.0 | 268.0 | 268.0 | 286.5 | 286.5 | 313.1 |
| Avg. |  | 51.5 | 96.1 | 96.1 | 138.9 | 138.9 | 189.9 | 189.9 | 228.2 | 228.2 | 260.3 | 260.3 | 278.4 | 278.4 | 304.3 |

Table 10--Basal area per acre for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year and stand age (continued)

-- = missing data.

- Stand age in parenthesis.
${ }^{b} W H C=$ western hemlock. Western hemlock is 1 year younger than the age given for Douglas-fir.

Table 11--Total stem cubic-foot volume per acre for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year and stand age


Table 11--Total stem cubic-foot volume per acre for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year and stand age (continued)

-- = missing data.

- Stand age in parenthesis.
${ }^{b} \mathrm{WHC}=$ western hemlock. Western hemlock is 1 year younger than the age given for Douglas-fir.

Table 12--Board-foot volume in 16-foot logs to a 6-inch top, Scribner scale, for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age


| Board feet per acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 333 | 162 | 1496 | 1101 | 4610 | 3229 | 7414 | 4842 | 8657 | 6849 | 10458 | 10458 | 16317 |
|  | 20 | 9 | 136 | 53 | 1000 | 720 | 3694 | 2575 | 6137 | 4316 | 7890 | 6297 | 9754 | 9754 | 16000 |
|  | 27 | 0 | 33 | 24 | 545 | 409 | 2847 | 2084 | 5784 | 3942 | 7865 | 5951 | 9505 | 9505 | 16095 |
| Avg. |  | 3 | 167 | 80 | 1014 | 743 | 3717 | 2629 | 6445 | 4367 | 8137 | 6366 | 9906 | 9906 | 16137 |
| 3 | 9 | 0 | 156 | 141 | 1094 | 1014 | 4667 | 3556 | 8106 | 6661 | 11713 | 10303 | 15314 | 15314 | 23345 |
|  | 10 | 11 | 205 | 65 | 915 | 898 | 4973 | 3917 | 9467 | 7692 | 13226 | 11142 | 17246 | 17246 | 27293 |
|  | 22 | 3 | 520 | 349 | 1843 | 1648 | 5829 | 4670 | 10073 | 8630 | 13819 | 11835 | 17733 | 17733 | 27176 |
| Avg. |  | 5 | 294 | 185 | 1284 | 1187 | 5156 | 4048 | 9216 | 7661 | 12919 | 11093 | 16764 | 16764 | 25938 |
| 5 | 8 | 0 | 202 | 197 | 1614 | 1449 | 6151 | 5416 | 11216 | 10580 | 17008 | 15683 | 22568 | 22568 | 33957 |
|  | 16 | 0 | 294 | 268 | 1822 | 1620 | 6510 | 5615 | 11672 | 11046 | 18656 | 16742 | 24193 | 24193 | 34919 |
|  | 18 | 0 | 128 | 117 | 1308 | 1199 | 6020 | 4881 | 11112 | 10119 | 17791 | 15331 | 23149 | 23149 | 35190 |
| Avg. |  | 0 | 208 | 194 | 1581 | 1422 | 6227 | 5304 | 11333 | 10582 | 17818 | 15919 | 23303 | 23303 | 34689 |
| 7 | 1 | 2 | 264 | 264 | 2010 | 1897 | 6868 | 6310 | 13919 | 12625 | 20549 | 19096 | 28643 | 28643 | 41709 |
|  | 21 | 0 | 54 | 54 | 1040 | 1040 | 5466 | 5016 | 12052 | 10849 | 19189 | 17464 | 26843 | 26843 | 39818 |
|  | 23 | 0 | 182 | 182 | 1472 | 1445 | 6113 | 5602 | 12630 | 11606 | 19026 | 17838 | 26085 | 26085 | 38587 |
| Avg. |  | 1 | 167 | 167 | 1507 | 1461 | 6149 | 5642 | 12867 | 11693 | 19588 | 18133 | 27190 | 27190 | 40038 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3 | 0 | 419 | 228 | 1524 | 1220 | 5130 | 4091 | 7997 | 7678 | 12772 | 11930 | 17424 | 17424 | 26846 |
|  | 5 | 0 | 263 | 216 | 1754 | 1410 | 5357 | 4532 | 9403 | 8524 | 13738 | 12937 | 18510 | 18510 | 28005 |
|  | 11 | 19 | 484 | 299 | 1782 | 1477 | 5345 | 4501 | 9048 | 7806 | - 12882 | 12008 | 17701 | 17701 | 27299 |
| Avg. |  | 6 | 389 | 248 | 1687 | 1369 | 5277 | 4375 | 8816 | 8003 | 13131 | 12292 | 17879 | 17879 | 27383 |
| 4 | 12 | 0 | 173 | 133 | 1251 | 1191 | 5412 | 4748 | 10590 | 9805 | 16691 | 15743 | 23692 | 23692 | 35265 |
|  | 14 | 0 | 243 | 109 | 1459 | 1379 | 5874 | 5399 | 12272 | 10651 | 17662 | 16766 | 25162 | 25162 | 37012 |
|  | 15 | 0 | 294 | 207 | 1733 | 1617 | 6601 | 5561 | 12392 | 11020 | 18453 | 16516 | 24207 | 24207 | 34751 |
| Avg. |  | 0 | 237 | 150 | 1481 | 1396 | 5962 | 5236 | 11751 | 10492 | 17602 | 16341 | 24354 | 24354 | 35676 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2 | 5 | 631 | 478 | 2468 | 2115 | 7340 | 5749 | 11344 | 9168 | 15347 | 12472 | 18984 | 18984 | 29716 |
|  | 13 | 0 | 204 | 169 | 1532 | 1272 | 5997 | 4687 | 10713 | 8034 | 14024 | 10862 | 16580 | 16580 | 25947 |
|  | 26 | 0 | 35 | 35 | 788 | 666 | 4494 | 3486 | 8883 | 7028 | 13640 | 10275 | 16369 | 16369 | 26781 |
| Avg. |  | 2 | 290 | 227 | 1596 | 1351 | 5944 | 4641 | 10313 | 8077 | 14337 | 11203 | 17311 | 17311 | 27481 |
| 8 | 7 | 0 | 214 | 214 | 1613 | 1556 | 5713 | 5129 | 11135 | 9865 | 16623 | 14569 | 21169 | 21169 | 31429 |
|  | 24 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 832 | 832 | 4930 | 4440 | 10649 | 9081 | 16810 | 14120 | 21459 | 21459 | 32494 |
|  | 25 | 0 | 104 | 104 | 1229 | 1229 | 5448 | 4713 | 10718 | 9530 | 16602 | 14764 | 21847 | 21847 | 32625 |
| Avg. |  | 0 | 117 | 117 | 1225 | 1206 | 5364 | 4761 | 10834 | 9492 | 16678 | 14484 | 21492 | 21492 | 32183 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 4 | 0 | 240 | 240 | 1638 | 1638 | 5852 | 5852 | 11225 | 11225 | 17378 | 17378 | 24494 | 24494 | 36740 |
|  | 17 | 0 | 54 | 54 | 862 | 862 | 3964 | 3964 | 9063 | 9063 | 15061 | 15061 | 22152 | 22152 | 33403 |
|  | 19 | 1 | 305 | 305 | 1834 | 1834 | 6434 | 6434 | 12766 | 12766 | 19690 | 19690 | 27418 | 27418 | 40208 |
| Avg. |  | 0 | 200 | 200 | 1445 | 1445 | 5417 | 5417 | 11018 | 11018 | 17376 | 17376 | 24688 | 24688 | 36783 |

Table 12--Board-foot volume in 16 -foot logs to a 6 -inch top, Scribner scale, for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age (continued)

|  |  | Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - |  | Calibration |  | Period 1 |  | Period 2 |  | Period 3 |  |  | Period 4 |  |  | Period 5 |  | Period 6 |  |
|  | Plot | After cut 1963 (15)* | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1966 \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1966 (18) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1969 \\ (21) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1969 (21) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1973 \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1973 (25) |  | fore <br> cut <br> 1977 <br> (29) | After <br> cut <br> 1977 <br> (29) |  | fore cut 981 (33) | After cut 1981 (33) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1985 \\ (37) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1985 (37) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1990 \\ (42) \end{gathered}$ |



## -- = missing data.

- Stand age in parenthesis.

D WHC $=$ western hemlock. Western hemlock is 1 year younger than the age given for Douglas-fir.

Table 13--Total yield in cubic feet by treatment, year, and stand age

| Yield |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatment | $\begin{aligned} & 1963 \\ & (15)^{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1966 \\ (18) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1969 \\ (21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1973 \\ (25) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1977 \\ (29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1981 \\ & (33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1985 \\ & (37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1990 \\ & (42) \end{aligned}$ |
| Cubic feet per acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Net $Y$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 304 | 794 | 1329 | 2087 | 2866 | 3582 | 4219 | 5278 |
| 3 | 314 | 875 | 1417 | 2498 | 3566 | 4578 | 5594 | 7154 |
| 5 | 355 | 894 | 1650 | 2939 | 4216 | 5619 | 6968 | 8908 |
| 7 | 286 | 788 | 1619 | 3067 | 4736 | 6354 | 8028 | 10271 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 384 | 994 | 1523 | 2420 | 3286 | 4232 | 5212 | 6791 |
| 4 | 354 | 929 | 1549 | 2702 | 4045 | 5404 | 6847 | 8780 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 328 | 873 | 1623 | 2894 | 4119 | 5326 | 6417 | 8137 |
| 8 | 287 | 766 | 1532 | 2870 | 4267 | 5784 | 7095 | 8981 |
| Unthinned: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 560 | 1363 | 2439 | 4053 | 5729 | 7217 | 8584 | 10718 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 948 | 1630 | 2406 | 3044 | 4112 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 1586 | 2593 | 3882 | 5011 | 6683 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 2254 | 3491 | 4842 | 6047 | 7954 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 2634 | 4198 | 5794 | 7125 | 9173 |
|  |  |  |  | ross | ld |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 304 | 802 | 1340 | 2111 | 2889 | 3606 | 4242 | 5301 |
| 3 | 314 | 875 | 1417 | 2498 | 3578 | 4590 | 5606 | 7166 |
| 5 | 355 | 897 | 1655 | 2952 | 4337 | 5739 | 7088 | 9081 |
| 7 | 286 | 788 | 1619 | 3072 | 4745 | 6363 | 8050 | 10369 |
| Increasing: 380 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 384 | 998 | 1527 | 2425 | 3337 | 4283 | 5263 | 6841 |
| 4 | 354 | 929 | 1549 | 2709 | 4063 | 5422 | 6865 | 8798 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $6$ | 328 | 874 | 1633 | 2903 | 4128 | 5336 | 6426 | 8146 |
| 8 | 287 | 766 | 1546 | 2913 | 4312 | 5829 | 7154 | 9040 |
| Unthinned: 5601375 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 560 | 1375 | 2463 | 4112 | 5861 | 7387 | 9019 | 11469 |
| Late thinning: ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 948 | 1630 | 2406 | 3044 | 4112 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 1586 | 2600 | 3892 | 5021 | 6693 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 2254 | 3494 | 4845 | 6079 | 8016 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 2634 | 4224 | 5832 | 7210 | 9333 |

-- = missing data.
a Stand age in parenthesis.
b Wood cut in initial late thinning, in 1973, not included in cumulative yield.

Table 14--Total yield in board feet by treatment, year, and stand age

| Treatment | Total Yield |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1963 \\ & (15)^{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1966 \\ & (18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1969 \\ (21) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1973 \\ (25) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1977 \\ (29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1981 \\ (33) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1985 \\ (37) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1990 \\ (42) \end{array}$ |
| Scribner board feet per acre, 16-foot logs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Net $Y$ | ld |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 3 | 167 | 1101 | 4075 | 7891 | 11662 | 15202 | 21433 |
| 3 | 5 | 294 | 1393 | 5362 | 10530 | 15788 | 21459 | 30633 |
| 5 | 0 | 208 | 1596 | 6400 | 12430 | 19666 | 27051 | 38436 |
| 7 | 1 | 167 | 1507 | 6195 | 13420 | 21315 | 30372 | 43220 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 6 | 389 | 1828 | 5736 | 10177 | 15305 | 20892 | 30397 |
| 4 | 0 | 237 | 1568 | 6135 | 12650 | 19760 | 27773 | 39095 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2 | 290 | 1659 | 6252 | 11924 | 18185 | 24292 | 34463 |
| 8 | 0 | 117 | 1225 | 5383 | 11456 | 18642 | 25649 | 36341 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 0 | 200 | 1445 | 5417 | 11018 | 17376 | 24688 | 36783 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 1494 | 4213 | 8225 | 11738 | 17862 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 2266 | 6221 | 12346 | 18314 | 27792 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 3569 | 8344 | 14708 | 21031 | 31802 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 3400 | 8466 | 15094 | 21822 | 32785 |
|  |  |  |  | Gross | eld |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 3 | 167 | 1101 | 4100 | 7917 | 11687 | 15227 | 21459 |
| 3 | 5 | 294 | 1393 | 5362 | 10545 | 15803 | 21474 | 30648 |
| 5 | 0 | 208 | 1596 | 6404 | 12746 | 19982 | 27367 | 38913 |
| 7 | 1 | 167 | 1507 | 6195 | 13420 | 21315 | 30389 | 43399 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 6 | 389 | 1828 | 5736 | 10370 | 15498 | 21085 | 30589 |
| 4 | 0 | 237 | 1568 | 6138 | 12667 | 19777 | 27790 | 39112 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2 | 290 | 1666 | 6259 | 11932 | 18192 | 24300 | 34470 |
| 8 | 0 | 117 | 1225 | 5415 | 11488 | 18674 | 25682 | 36373 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 0 | 200 | 1445 | 5432 | 11133 | 17491 | 24885 | 37150 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 1494 | 4213 | 8225 | 11738 | 17862 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 2266 | 6221 | 12346 | 18314 | 27792 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 3569 | 8344 | 14708 | 21031 | 31809 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 3400 | 8535 | 15165 | 21922 | 33094 |

-- = missing data.

[^4]Table 15--Number of live trees per acre, by diameter class, treatment at start of the calibration (age 15 in 1963) and at the end of the last measured treatment period (age 42 in 1990)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { D.b.h. } \\ & \text { class } \end{aligned}$ | Treatments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 8 |  | Control |  |
|  | Start | End | Start | End | Start | End | Start | End | Start | End | Start | End | Start | End | Start | End | Start | End |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Trees | per a | acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inches: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | 2 | - |
| 3 | 65 | -- | 48 | -- | 50 | - | 38 | -- | 35 | -- | 77 | -- | 80 | -- | 82 | -- | 312 | 57 |
| 4 | 162 | -- | 133 | -- | 180 | -- | 158 | - | 163 | -- | 143 | -- | 157 | -- | 177 | -- | 272 | 65 |
| 5 | 130 | -- | 137 | -- | 112 | - | 137 | - | 142 | -- | 122 | -- | 120 | -- | 115 | -- | 220 | 37 |
| 6 | 40 | -- | 68 | -- | 53 | -- | 65 | -- | 60 | - | 48 | -- | 40 | -- | 27 | 3 | 75 | 42 |
| 7 | 7 | -- | 17 | -- | 8 | -- | 7 | -- | 5 | -- | 15 | -- | 8 | -- | 5 | 7 | 8 | 80 |
| 8 | 2 | -- | 2 | -- | 2 | - | -- | -- | -- | 2 | -- | -- | -- | 8 | -- | 5 |  | 67 |
| 9 | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 13 | -- | 15 | -- | 87 |
| 10 | - | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 13 | -- | 2 | -- | 17 | - | 15 | -- | 50 |
| 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3 | -- | 10 | -- | 2 | -- | 40 | -- | 22 | -- | 52 |
| 12 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3 | -- | 2 | -- | 25 | -- | 2 | -- | 28 | -- | 23 | - | 70 |
| 13 | -- | 2 | -- | -- | -- | 0 | -- | 15 | - | 13 | - | 8 | -- | 30 | -- | 30 | -- | 57 |
| 14 | -- | 2 | - | 2 | -- | 10 | -- | 13 | -- | 13 | -- | 5 | -- | 38 | -- | 40 | -- | 28 |
| 15 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 18 | -- | 20 | -- | 28 | -- | 8 | -- | 33 | -- | 35 | -- | 22 |
| 16 | -- | 0 | -- | 2 | -- | 12 | -- | 18 | -- | 23 | -- | 10 | -- | 32 | -- | 12 | -- | 17 |
| 17 | -- | 5 | _ | 2 | -- | 8 | -- | 33 | -- | 13 | -- | 13 | -- | 23 | -- | 15 | -- | 5 |
| 18 | -- | 2 | -- | 12 | -- | 8 | -- | 12 | -- | 20 | -- | 18 | -- | 15 | -- | 12 | -- | 5 |
| 19 | -- | 5 | -- | 23 | -- | 12 | -- | 15 | -- | 10 | -- | 10 | - | 3 | -- | 5 | -- | -- |
| 20 | - | 7 | -- | 12 | -- | 13 | - | 15 | - | 5 | - | 10 | - | 2 | -- | 2 | -- | - |
| 21 | -- | 13 | -- | 3 | -- | 5 | - | 0 | - | 3 | -- | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 22 | -- | 3 | -- | 10 | -- | 3 | -- | 2 | -- | 2 | -- | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 23 | - | 5 | -- | 5 | - | 2 | -- | 2 | - | - | -- | 2 | - | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
| 24 | -- | 0 | -- | 2 | -- | 0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 25 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 2 | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - |
| 26 | -- | 2 | -- | 2 | - | -- | - | - | -- | - | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Total | 405 | 45 | 405 | 73 | 405 | 97 | 405 | 150 | 405 | 182 | 405 | 103 | 405 | 283 | 405 | 240 | 888 | 738 |

Table 15--Number of live trees per acre, by diameter class, treatment at start of the calibration (age 15 in 1963) and at the end of the last measured treatment period (age 42 in 1990) (continued)

| Dbh class | Treatments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Late $1^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Late $3^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  | Late $5^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | Late $7^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | WHC ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Start } \\ & \text { before after } \\ & \text { cut cut } \end{aligned}$ |  | End | Start before after cut cut |  |  | End |  | Start before after cut cut |  |  |  | Start before cut | after cut | ${ }^{\text {End }}$ | Start | End |
|  | Trees per acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inches: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | 247 | -- |
| 2 | 195 | -- | -- | 249 | 49 | -- | -- |  | 170 | -- |  | -- | 233 | -- | -- | 568 | -- |
| 3 | 177 | -- | -- | 249 | 49 | -- | -- |  | 123 | 2 |  | -- | 205 | 5 | -- | 610 | 10 |
| 4 | 173 | 17 | -- | 225 | 25 | 22 | -- |  | 115 | 42 |  | -- | 155 | 46 | 2 | 468 | 82 |
| 5 | 182 | 35 | -- | 193 | 93 | 35 | -- |  | 112 | 73 |  | -- | 150 | 112 | 3 | 334 | 188 |
| 6 | 152 | 23 | -- | 157 | 57 | 63 | 2 | 2 | 80 | 57 |  | 10 | 137 | 116 | 12 | 218 | 159 |
| 7 | 110 | 18 | -- | 117 | 17 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 70 |  | 15 | 115 | 107 | 45 | 148 | 153 |
| 8 | 95 | 37 | -- |  | 95 | 55 |  | 3 | 90 | 60 |  | 22 | 75 | 70 | 42 | 87 | 127 |
| 9 | 47 | 20 | -- |  | 55 | 37 |  | 5 | 70 | 43 |  | 17 | 50 | 45 | 50 | 37 | 120 |
| 10 | 18 | 10 | -- |  | 17 | 8 |  | 5 | 42 | 22 |  | 28 | 20 | 12 | 53 | 18 | 75 |
| 11 | 3 | 2 | -- |  | 7 | 3 | 13 |  | 20 | 8 |  | 27 | 13 | 7 | 32 | 3 | 47 |
| 12 | 2 | -- | 3 |  | - | -- | 13 |  | 8 | -- |  | 30 | 5 | 3 | 55 | -- | 28 |
| 13 | -- | -- | 0 |  | - | -- | 18 |  | 0 | -- |  | 25 | 2 | 2 | 52 | -- | 18 |
| 14 | -- | -- | 2 |  | - | -- | 30 |  | 2 | -- |  | 25 | -- | -- | 28 | -- | 7 |
| 15 | -- | -- | 2 |  | -- | -- | 18 |  | -- | -- |  | 22 | -- | -- | 22 | -- | 3 |
| 16 | -- | -- | 5 |  | - | -- | 22 |  | -- | -- |  | 28 | -- | -- | 7 | -- | -- |
| 17 | -- | -- | 20 |  | - | -- | 13 |  | -- | -- |  | 10 | -- | -- | 12 | -- | -- |
| 18 | -- | -- | 12 |  | - | -- | 15 |  | -- | -- |  | 5 | -- | -- | 5 | -- | -- |
| 19 | -- | -- | 12 |  | -- | -- | 5 | 5 | -- | -- |  | 8 | -- | -- | 2 | -- | -- |
| 20 | -- | -- | 3 |  | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- |  | - | -- | -- | 2 | -- | -- |
| 21 | -- | -- | 3 |  | - | -- | -- |  | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| 22 | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | 2 | -- | -- |
| 23 | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 24 | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 25 | -- | -- | -- |  | - | -- | -- |  | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 26 | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Total | 1154 | 162 | 62 | 1357 |  | 273 | 163 |  | 940 | 377 | 272 |  | 1160 | 525 | 423 | 2738 | 1017 |

-- = missing data.
a "Start" for all late thinnings was 1973 at age 25 before thinning cut, and whC was 1977. Western hemlock is one year younger than the ages given for Douglas-fir.
b $W H C=$ western hemlock.

Table 16--Periodic annual quadratic mean diameter growth by treatment, treatment period, year, and stand age


| Fixed: Survivor growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | . 52 | . 55 | . 60 | . 62 | . 58 | . 54 | . 53 | 15.20 |
| 3 | . 53 | . 54 | . 54 | . 49 | . 41 | . 41 | . 36 | 12.44 |
| 5 | . 51 | . 48 | . 47 | . 39 | . 33 | . 28 | . 27 | 10.20 |
| 7 | . 53 | . 48 | . 41 | . 34 | . 27 | . 25 | . 22 | 9.22 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | . 53 | . 59 | . 63 | . 59 | . 48 | . 43 | . 41 | 13.96 |
| 4 | . 53 | . 56 | . 54 | . 47 | . 39 | . 35 | . 29 | 11.73 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | . 54 | . 52 | . 49 | . 44 | . 42 | . 41 | . 37 | 12.10 |
| 8 | . 51 | . 46 | . 39 | . 31 | . 27 | . 25 | . 23 | 8.95 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | . 27 | . 24 | . 21 | . 15 | . 12 | . 11 | . 11 | 4.43 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | . 40 | . 51 | . 47 | . 46 | 7.84 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | . 34 | . 39 | . 36 | . 33 | 6.00 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | . 27 | . 26 | . 23 | . 23 | 4.21 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | . 26 | . 22 | . 19 | . 17 | 3.54 |

[^5]Table 17--Periodic annual basal area growth by treatment, treatment period, year, and stand age

Basal area growth

| Treatment | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calib. } \\ (1963-66) \\ (15-18)^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } 1 \\ (1966-69) \\ (18-21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Period } 2 \\ & (1969-73) \\ & (21-25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } 3 \\ (1973-77) \\ (25-29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } 4 \\ (1977-81) \\ (29-33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period 5 } \\ (1981-85) \\ (33-37) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Period } 6 \\ & (1985-90) \\ & (37-42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (1963-90) \\ (15-42) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Square feet per acre per year |  |  |  |  |  | Total $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  |  | Net growth |  |  |  |  |  | per acre |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 9.54 | 8.22 | 7.44 | 6.39 | 4.97 | 4.10 | 4.60 | 167.88 |
| 3 | 10.48 | 8.73 | 9.92 | 8.06 | 6.51 | 5.96 | 5.94 | 209.18 |
| 5 | 10.12 | 10.63 | 11.49 | 8.26 | 8.21 | 7.00 | 6.85 | 236.33 |
| 7 | 10.15 | 12.27 | 12.69 | 11.39 | 9.33 | 8.61 | 7.92 | 274.90 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 10.89 | 7.92 | 7.80 | 6.44 | 5.93 | 5.53 | 5.93 | 188.85 |
| 4 | 10.68 | 9.25 | 10.30 | 9.63 | 8.29 | 7.72 | 7.12 | 239.15 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 10.45 | 11.14 | 11.20 | 9.15 | 7.72 | 6.35 | 6.56 | 235.25 |
| 8 | 9.37 | 10.98 | 11.18 | 9.56 | 8.24 | 7.02 | 7.18 | 240.96 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 14.85 | 14.29 | 12.73 | 9.59 | 8.03 | 4.50 | 5.18 | 252.72 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 5.44 | 5.50 | 4.25 | 4.82 | 84.86 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 7.73 | 8.58 | 7.03 | 7.36 | 130.14 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 8.32 | 8.20 | 6.77 | 7.39 | 130.10 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 10.23 | 9.39 | 7.73 | 7.56 | 147.18 |
|  | Gross growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 9.73 | 8.29 | 7.60 | 6.39 | 4.97 | 4.10 | 4.60 | 169.30 |
| 3 | 10.48 | 8.73 | 9.92 | 8.18 | 6.51 | 5.96 | 5.94 | 209.67 |
| 5 | 10.18 | 10.70 | 11.59 | 9.32 | 8.21 | 7.00 | 7.17 | 242.96 |
| 7 | 10.15 | 12.27 | 12.75 | 11.43 | 9.33 | 8.73 | 8.40 | 278.21 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 10.98 | 7.92 | 7.80 | 6.88 | 5.93 | 5.53 | 5.93 | 190.89 |
| 4 | 10.68 | 9.25 | 10.39 | 9.76 | 8.29 | 7.72 | 7.12 | 240.04 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 10.48 | 11.30 | 11.20 | 9.15 | 7.72 | 6.35 | 6.56 | 235.79 |
| 8 | 9.37 | 11.27 | 11.52 | 9.57 | 8.24 | 7.15 | 7.18 | 243.77 |
| Unthinned |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 15.13 | 14.56 | 13.23 | 10.51 | 8.54 | 7.52 | 7.59 | 286.19 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 5.44 | 5.50 | 4.25 | 4.82 | 84.86 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 7.81 | 8.62 | 7.03 | 7.36 | 130.61 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 8.36 | 8.20 | 7.11 | 7.65 | 132.93 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 10.49 | 9.51 | 8.16 | 8.05 | 152.89 |

```
-- = missing data.
```

[^6]Table 18--Periodic annual cubic-foot volume growth by treatment, teatment period, year, and stand age

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^7]
## GROWTH, ENGLISH UNITS, ALL TREES

Table 19--Periodic annual board-foot volume growth in 16-foot logs to a 6 -inch top, Scribner scale, by treatment, treatment period, year, and stand age

| Treatment numbers | Volume growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calib. } \\ (1963-66) \\ (15-18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Period } 1 \\ & (1966-69) \\ & (18-21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } 2 \\ (1969-73) \\ (21-25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Period } 3 \\ & (1973-77) \\ & (25-29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Period } 4 \\ & (1977-81) \\ & (29-33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Priod } 5 \\ (1981-85) \\ (33-37) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } 6 \\ (1985-19) \\ (37-42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & (1963-90) \\ & (15-42) \end{aligned}$ |
| Board feet per acre per year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Net gro | wth |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 55 | 311 | 744 | 954 | 943 | 885 | 1246 | 21430 |
| 3 | 96 | 366 | 992 | 1292 | 1314 | 1418 | 1835 | 30629 |
| 5 | 69 | 462 | 1201 | 1507 | 1809 | 1846 | 2277 | 38436 |
| 7 | 55 | 447 | 1172 | 1806 | 1974 | 2264 | 2570 | 43220 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 127 | 480 | 977 | 1110 | 1282 | 1397 | 1901 | 30391 |
| 4 | 79 | 444 | 1142 | 1629 | 1778 | 2003 | 2264 | 39095 |
| Decreasing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 96 | 456 | 1148 | 1418 | 1565 | 1527 | 2034 | 34461 |
| 8 | 39 | 369 | 1040 | 1518 | 1797 | 1752 | 2138 | 36341 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 66 | 415 | 993 | 1400 | 1590 | 1828 | 2419 | 36783 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 680 | 1003 | 878 | 1225 | 16369 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 989 | 1531 | 1492 | 1896 | 25526 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 1194 | 1591 | 1581 | 2154 | 28233 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 1267 | 1657 | 1682 | 2193 | 29386 |
|  | Gross growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 55 | 311 | 750 | 954 | 943 | 885 | 1246 | 21456 |
| 3 | 96 | 366 | 992 | 1296 | 1314 | 1418 | 1835 | 30643 |
| 5 | 69 | 462 | 1202 | 1585 | 1809 | 1846 | 2309 | 38913 |
|  | 55 | 447 | 1172 | 1806 | 1974 | 2269 | 2602 | 43398 |
| Increasing: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 127 | 480 | 977 | 1158 | 1282 | 1397 | 1901 | 30583 |
| 4 | 79 | 444 | 1142 | 1632 | 1778 | 2003 | 2264 | 39112 |
| Decreasing: 061505 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 39 | 369 | 1048 | 1518 | 1797 | 1752 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 2138 | 36373 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 66 | 415 | 997 | 1425 | 1590 | 1849 | 2453 | 37150 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 680 | 1003 | 878 | 1225 | 16369 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 989 | 1531 | 1492 | 1896 | 25526 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 1194 | 1591 | 1581 | 2156 | 28240 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 1284 | 1658 | 1689 | 2234 | 29694 |

-- = missing data.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Stand age in parenthesis.

Table $20-$-Live trees cut by treatment at start of period

| Treatment | Live trees cut, by period |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1966 \\ (18)^{a} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1969 \\ (21) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1973 \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1977 \\ (29) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1981 \\ (33) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1985 \\ (37) \end{array}$ | Total |
| Trees per acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 161.7 | 88.3 | 58.3 | 26.7 | 15.0 | 0 | 350.0 |
| 3 | 161.7 | 33.3 | 58.3 | 31.7 | 21.7 | 0 | 306.7 |
| 5 | 61.7 | 48.3 | 51.7 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 0 | 206.7 |
| 7 | 5.0 | 16.7 | 35.0 | 30.0 | 23.3 | 0 | 110.0 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 220.0 | 58.3 | 33.3 | 10.0 | 6.7 | 0 | 328.3 |
| 4 | 163.3 | 23.3 | 30.0 | 21.7 | 13.3 | 0 | 251.7 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 70.0 | 63.3 | 71.7 | 51.7 | 41.7 | 0 | 298.3 |
| 8 | 0 | 11.7 | 51.7 | 43.3 | 41.7 | 0 | 148.3 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | 0 | 0 | 995.0 | 71.7 | 28.3 | 0 | 100.0 |
| Late 3 | 0 | 0 | 1084.0 | 55.0 | 51.7 | 0 | 106.7 |
| Late 5 | 0 | 0 | 563.0 | 36.7 | 43.3 | 0 | 80.0 |
| Late 7 | 0 | 0 | 635.0 | 36.7 | 41.7 | 0 | 78.3 |

## Quadratic mean diameter--inches

| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.1 | 6.5 | 8.6 | 12.3 | 13.8 | 0 |
| 3 | 5.2 | 6.4 | 8.6 | 10.5 | 12.0 | 0 |
| 5 | 5.2 | 6.4 | 8.3 | 9.5 | 11.3 | 0 |
| 7 | 4.8 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 9.7 | 10.7 | 0 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 5.2 | 7.0 | 9.2 | 12.2 | 14.1 | 0 |
| 4 | 5.3 | 6.6 | 9.0 | 10.9 | 12.2 | 0 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 8.4 | 10.1 | 11.3 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 6.1 | 7.6 | 8.9 | 10.0 | 0 |
| Control | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.9 | 6.6 | 10.8 | 0 |
| Late 3 | 0 | 0 | 4.5 | 7.9 | 9.2 | 0 |
| Late 5 | 0 | 0 | 5.2 | 7.6 | 8.5 | 0 |
| Late 7 | 0 | 0 | 3.7 | 7.1 | 8.0 | 0 |

Table 20--Live trees cut by treatment at start of period (continued)

| Treatment | Live trees cut, by period |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1966 \\ (18)^{a} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1969 \\ (21) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1973 \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1977 \\ (29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1981 \\ (33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1985 \\ (37) \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| Basal area--square feet per acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 23.3 | 20.2 | 23.6 | 22.1 | 15.5 | 0 | 104.7 |
| 3 | 24.0 | 7.4 | 23.6 | 19.1 | 17.0 | 0 | 91.1 |
| 5 | 9.2 | 10.8 | 19.6 | 9.9 | 17.3 | 0 | 66.7 |
| 7 | . 6 | 3.9 | 12.5 | 15.5 | 14.5 | 0 | 47.0 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 32.0 | 15.4 | 15.5 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 0 | 78.2 |
| 4 | 25.2 | 5.6 | 13.1 | 14.0 | 10.8 | 0 | 68.7 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 10.2 | 14.9 | 27.7 | 28.5 | 29.0 | 0 | 110.3 |
| 8 | 0 | 2.4 | 16.4 | 18.9 | 22.6 | 0 | 60.3 |
| Unthinned: Control | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | 0 | 0 | 132.2 | 17.2 | 18.1 | 0 | 35.3 |
| Late 3 | 0 | 0 | 117.8 | 18.6 | 23.8 | 0 | 42.4 |
| Late 5 | 0 | 0 | 83.0 | 11.7 | 16.9 | 0 | 28.6 |
| Late 7 | 0 | 0 | 47.3 | 10.0 | 14.6 | 0 | 24.7 |

Volume--cubic feet per acre

| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 327 | 344 | 478 | 547 | 422 | 0 | 2119 |
| 3 | 343 | 122 | 501 | 473 | 475 | 0 | 1914 |
| 5 | 132 | 192 | 423 | 256 | 509 | 0 | 1511 |
| 7 | 8 | 66 | 265 | 391 | 418 | 0 | 1149 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increasing: | 472 | 273 | 344 | 213 | 198 | 0 | 1500 |
| 2 | 369 | 98 | 287 | 362 | 317 | 0 | 1433 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decreasing: | 147 | 261 | 604 | 727 | 851 | 0 | 2590 |
| 6 | 0 | 42 | 358 | 483 | 674 | 0 | 1556 |


| Unthinned: <br> Control | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | 0 | 0 | 2882 | 399 | 517 | 0 | 916 |
| Late 3 | 0 | 0 | 2546 | 454 | 665 | 0 | 1119 |
| Late 5 | 0 | 0 | 1862 | 300 | 484 | 0 | 784 |
| Late 7 | 0 | 0 | 970 | 246 | 409 | 0 | 655 |

Table 20--Live trees cut by treatment at start of period (continued)

|  | Live trees cut, by period |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatment | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1966 \\ (18)^{a} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1969 \\ (21) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1973 \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1977 \\ (29) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1981 \\ (33) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1985 \\ (37) \end{array}$ | Total |

Volume--Scribner board-ft. per acre, 16-ft. logs, to a 6-inch top

| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 87 | 270 | 1088 | 2079 | 1772 | 0 | 5296 |
| 3 | 109 | 97 | 1108 | 1555 | 1826 | 0 | 4695 |
| 5 | 14 | 159 | 923 | 752 | 1900 | 0 | 3747 |
| 7 | 0 | 46 | 507 | 1174 | 1455 | 0 | 3182 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 141 | 318 | 902 | 813 | 839 | 0 | 3014 |
| 4 | 87 | 86 | 726 | 1260 | 1260 | 0 | 3419 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 63 | 245 | 1303 | 2236 | 3134 | 0 | 6981 |
| 8 | 0 | 19 | 603 | 1342 | 2194 | 0 | 4158 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | 0 | 0 | -- | 427 | 1829 | 0 | 2255 |
| Late 3 | 0 | 0 | -- | 985 | 1904 | 0 | 2888 |
| Late 5 | 0 | 0 | -- | 560 | 1278 | 0 | 1838 |
| Late 7 | 0 | 0 | -- | 342 | 902 | 0 | 1244 |

-- = missing data.
a stand age in parenthesis.

MORTALITY, ENGLISH UNITS, ALL TREES

Table 21--Periodic annual mortality, all trees, by treatment, period, year, and stand age


## Quadratic mean diameter--inches

| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 3.85 | 4.46 | 7.66 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 |
| 3 | .00 | .00 | .00 | 6.67 | .00 | .00 | .00 |
| 5 | 4.02 | 4.39 | 6.03 | 9.85 | .00 | .00 | 9.90 |
| 7 | .00 | .00 | 4.94 | 4.10 | .00 | 6.66 | 7.88 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 5.13 | .00 | .00 | 12.69 | .00 | .00 | .00 |
| 4 | .00 | .00 | 5.85 | 6.84 | .00 | .00 | .00 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2.76 | 6.47 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 |
| $\quad 8$ | .00 | 4.78 | 7.09 | 2.47 | .00 | 5.55 | .00 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 4.39 | 3.15 | 2.95 | 3.15 | 3.19 | 3.74 | 4.33 |

Table 21--Periodic annual mortality, all trees, by treatment, period, year, and stand age (continued)

| Treatment | Periodic annual mortality, end of period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1966 \\ (18)^{a} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1969 \\ (21) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1973 \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1977 \\ (29) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1981 \\ (33) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1985 \\ (37) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1990 \\ (42) \end{array}$ | Total |
| Basal area--square feet per acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | . 57 | . 22 | . 64 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 1.42 |
| 3 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 48 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 48 |
| 5 | . 18 | . 21 | . 40 | 4.24 | . 00 | . 00 | 1.60 | 6.62 |
| 7 | . 00 | . 00 | . 27 | . 18 | . 00 | . 48 | 2.37 | 3.31 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | . 29 | . 00 | . 00 | 1.76 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 2.04 |
| 4 | . 00 | . 00 | . 37 | . 51 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 88 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | . 08 | . 46 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 54 |
| 8 | . 00 | . 87 | 1.37 | . 07 | . 00 | . 50 | . 00 | 2.81 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | . 84 | . 81 | 1.99 | 3.68 | 2.05 | 12.05 | 12.05 | 33.47 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | . 32 | . 15 | . 00 | . 00 | . 46 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | . 16 | . 00 | 1.34 | 1.33 | 2.83 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 1.04 | . 49 | 1.73 | 2.45 | 5.71 |

## Volume--cubic feet per acre

Fixed:
1
3
5
7

| 7.10 | 3.42 | 12.80 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| .00 | .00 | .00 | 11.80 | .00 | .00 | .00 |
| 2.26 | 3.27 | 7.65 | 107.19 | .00 | .00 | 52.61 |
| .00 | .00 | 5.13 | 3.91 | .00 | 13.58 | 74.68 |
| .00 |  |  | 97.30 |  |  |  |

Increasing:
2

Decreasing:

| 9.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6 | .97 | 8.13 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | 98.72 |

Unthinned:
Control
$12.07 \quad 12.20$
$34.12 \quad 73.42$
$38.05 \quad 264.90 \quad 316.09$
750.86

Late thinning:

| .00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | 9.33 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 6.64 | 2.69 | .00 | .00 | 62.33 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 3.01 | .00 | 29.00 | 29.52 | 60 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 25.93 | 12.42 | 46.38 | 75.53 | 160.26 |

Table 21--Periodic annual mortality, all trees, by treatment, period, year, and stand age (continued)

|  | Periodic annual mortality, end of period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatment | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1966 \\ (18)^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1969 \\ (21) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1973 \\ (25) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1977 \\ (29) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1981 \\ (33) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1985 \\ (37) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1990 \\ (42) \end{array}$ | Total |

## Volume--Scribner board ft. per acre, 16-ft. logs to 6-inch top

| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | . 00 | . 00 | 25.35 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 25.35 |
| 3 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 14.33 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 14.33 |
| 5 | . 00 | . 00 | 4.00 | 312.00 | . 00 | . 00 | 160.38 | 476.40 |
| 7 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 17.15 | 161.61 | 178.76 |
| Increased: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 192.70 | . 00 | . 00 | : 00 | 192.70 |
| 4 | . 00 | . 00 | 2.47 | 14.68 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 17.16 |
| Decreased: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | . 00 | 7.64 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 7.64 |
| 8 | . 00 | . 00 | 32.35 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 32.35 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | . 00 | . 00 | 15.62 | 99.12 | . 00 | 82.08 | 169.95 | 366.76 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 7.53 | 7.53 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 68.90 | 1.73 | 29.12 | 208.62 | 308.37 |

[^8]a Stand age, end of period in parenthesis.

Table 22--Mean height of crop trees by treatment, total age, and year at beginning of period

| Treatment | Height, by period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1963 \\ & (15)^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1966 \\ (18) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1969 \\ & (21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1973 \\ & (25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1977 \\ & (29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1981 \\ & (33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1985 \\ (37) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1990 \\ (42) \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Feet |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 23.8 | 32.8 | 41.8 | 52.9 | 62.9 | 74.4 | 83.1 | 95.7 |
| 2 | 24.2 | 34.4 | 42.3 | 53.8 | 64.2 | 73.5 | 82.1 | 94.4 |
| 5 | 26.0 | 35.3 | 44.1 | 55.4 | 66.5 | 76.3 | 84.8 | 96.3 |
| 7 | 23.4 | 32.6 | 41.7 | 52.6 | 63.0 | 72.0 | 80.5 | 90.7 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 27.4 | 37.2 | 45.5 | 58.1 | 68.0 | 78.5 | 87.4 | 100.4 |
| 4 | 25.7 | 34.8 | 43.9 | 55.4 | 66.3 | 75.7 | 84.7 | 96.1 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 25.7 | 34.9 | 43.5 | 54.8 | 64.1 | 73.8 | 82.7 | 95.6 |
| 8 | 25.0 | 33.9 | 42.8 | 53.7 | 63.4 | 73.4 | 80.9 | 90.0 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 25.6 | 35.4 | 44.0 | 54.0 | 63.3 | 70.6 | 77.4 | 88.5 |
| Late thinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 53.9 | 63.1 | 72.5 | 79.6 | 90.9 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 53.3 | 62.7 | 72.6 | 79.7 | 90.1 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 55.8 | 66.1 | 75.3 | 82.2 | 92.7 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 51.6 | 62.0 | 70.8 | 77.0 | 87.1 |

-- = missing data.
a Stand age in parenthesis.

## DIAMETER, CROP TREES

Table 23--Mean diameter of crop trees by treatment, total age and year at beginning of period

| Treatment numbers | $\begin{aligned} & 1963 \\ & (15) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1966 \\ & (18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1969 \\ & (21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1973 \\ & (25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1977 \\ & (29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1981 \\ & (33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1985 \\ & (37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1990 \\ & (42) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 3.7 | 5.4 | 7.1 | 9.6 | 12.1 | 14.6 | 16.7 | 19.3 |
| 3 | 4.1 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 9.9 | 12.0 | 13.7 | 15.3 | 17.1 |
| 5 | 4.2 | 5.8 | 7.4 | 9.6 | 11.3 | 12.8 | 14.1 | 15.6 |
| 7 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 8.9 | 10.3 | 11.5 | 12.6 | 13.8 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 4.7 | 6.5 | 8.3 | 10.9 | 13.2 | 15.1 | 16.9 | 18.9 |
| 4 | 4.1 | 5.7 | 7.5 | 9.8 | 11.8 | 13.5 | 14.9 | 16.5 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 4.2 | 5.9 | 7.6 | 9.8 | 11.7 | 13.5 | 15.1 | 17.1 |
| 8 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 8.6 | 9.9 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 13.1 |
| Unthinned: Control | 4.2 | 5.7 | 7.0 | 8.3 | 9.2 | 10.0 | 10.6 | 11.5 |
| Late thinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 8.3 | 10.1 | 12.4 | 14.2 | 16.5 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 8.2 | 9.7 | 11.5 | 13.0 | 14.8 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 8.3 | 9.7 | 11.0 | 12.2 | 13.7 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 8.3 | 9.6 | 10.7 | 11.2 | 12.9 |

Table 24--Stand development by treatment, per-acre basis

| Year | After thinning |  |  |  |  |  | Removed in thinning |  |  |  |  |  | Mortality |  |  |  | Yield ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | Net growth |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stand age | H40* | Trees left | $s$ Avg <br> dbh | Basal area | Total <br> vol | Trees cut ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | s Avg dbh | Basal area | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { vol } \end{gathered}$ | Avg vol | Avg $d / D^{c}$ | Trees dead | Avg dbh | Basal area | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { vol } \end{gathered}$ | Net vol | Gross vol | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBH BA } \\ & \text { PAI PAI } \end{aligned}$ |  | Vol PAI | Vol MAI |
|  | Yrs | $F t$ | No. | - In | $f t^{2}$ | $F t^{3}$ | No. | In | $F t^{2}$ | $F t^{3}$ | $F t^{3}$ |  | No. | In | $F t^{2}$ | $F t^{3}$ | $F t^{3}$ | $F t^{3}$ | In | $F t^{2}$ | $F t^{3}$ | $E t^{3}$ |
| Treatment 1, fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1963 | 15 | 28 | 405 | 3.6 | 28.2 | 304 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | . 00 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 304 | 304 | . 00 | 0.0 | 0 | 20 |
| 1966 | 18 | 36 | 237 | 5.2 | 33.5 | 467 | 62 | 5.1 | 23.3 | 327 | 2.0 | . 99 | 7 | . 0 | . 6 | 7 | 794 | 802 | . 52 | 9.5 | 164 | 44 |
| 1969 | 21 | 44 | 147 | 6.9 | 38.0 | 658 | 88 | 6.5 | 20.2 | 344 | 4.1 | . 97 | 2 | . 0 | . 2 | 3 | 1329 | 1340 | . 55 | 8.2 | 178 | 63 |
| 1973 | 25 | 55 | 87 | 9.7 | 44.1 | 938 | 58 | 8.6 | 23.6 | 478 | 8.4 | . 93 | 2 | . 0 | . 6 | 13 | 2087 | 2111 | . 60 | 7.4 | 189 | 83 |
| 1977 | 29 | 65 | 60 | 12.2 | 47.6 | 1169 | 271 | 12.3 | 22.1 | 547 | 20.7 | 1.01 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 2866 | 2889 | . 62 | 6.4 | 195 | 99 |
| 1981 | 33 | 75 | 451 | 14.7 | 52.0 | 1464 | 151 | 13.8 | 15.5 | 422 | 29.8 | .97 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 3582 | 3606 | . 58 | 5.0 | 179 | 109 |
| 1985 | 37 | 84 | 45 | 16.8 | 68.4 | 2100 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 4219 | 4242 | . 54 | 4.1 | 159 | 114 |
| 1990 | 42 | 97 | 45 | 19.5 | 91.4 | 3160 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 5278 | 5301 | . 53 | 4.6 | 212 | 126 |

Treatment 3, fixed:

| 1963 | 15 | 28 | 405 | 3.7 | 29.5 | 314 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 314 | 314 | . 00 | . 0 | 0 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1966 | 18 | 38 | 243 | 5.3 | 37.0 | 531 | 162 | 5.2 | 24.0 | 343 | 2.1 | .99 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 875 | 875 | . 53 | 10.5 | 187 | 49 |
| 1969 | 21 | 46 | 210 | 7.0 | 55.8 | 952 | 33 | 6.4 | 7.4 | 122 | 3.6 | . 91 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 1417 | 1417 | . 54 | 8.7 | 181 | 67 |
| 1973 | 25 | 56 | 152 | 9.4 | 71.9 | 1532 | 58 | 8.6 | 23.6 | 501 | 8.7 | . 95 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 2498 | 2498 | . 54 | 9.9 | 270 | 100 |
| 1977 | 29 | 67 | 118 | 11.6 | 85.0 | 2127 | 32 | 10.5 | 19.1 | 473 | 15.1 | . 94 | 2 | . 0 | . 5 | 12 | 3566 | 3578 | . 49 | 8.1 | 267 | 123 |
| 1981 | 33 | 75 | 97 | 13.6 | 94.1 | 2664 | 22 | 12.0 | 17.0 | 475 | 21.9 | . 91 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 4578 | 4590 | . 41 | 6.5 | 253 | 139 |
| 1985 | 37 | 85 | 97 | 15.2 | 117.9 | 3680 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | .00 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 5594 | 5606 | . 41 | 6.0 | 254 | 151 |
| 1990 | 42 | 98 | 97 | 17.0 | 147.6 | 5241 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | .00 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 7154 | 7166 | .36 | 5.9 | 312 | 170 |

Treatment 5, fixed:

| 1963 | 15 | 29 | 405 | 3.7 | 31.1 | 355 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 355 | 355 | . 00 | . 0 | 0 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1966 | 18 | 40 | 342 | 5.3 | 52.3 | 762 | 62 | 5.2 | 9.2 | 132 | 2.1 | . 97 | 2 | . 0 | . 2 | 2 | 894 | 897 | . 51 | 10.1 | 180 | 50 |
| 1969 | 21 | 48 | 292 | 6.8 | 73.3 | 1325 | 48 | 6.4 | 10.8 | 192 | 4.0 | . 95 | 2 | . 0 | . 2 | 3 | 1650 | 1655 | . 48 | 10.6 | 252 | 79 |
| 1973 | 25 | 60 | 238 | 8.8 | 99.7 | 2192 | 52 | 8.3 | 19.6 | 423 | 8.2 | . 96 | 2 | . 0 | . 4 | 8 | 2939 | 2952 | . 47 | 11.5 | 322 | 118 |
| 1977 | 29 | 71 | 210 | 10.4 | 122.8 | 3214 | 20 | 9.5 | 9.9 | 256 | 12.8 | . 93 | 8 | . 0 | 4.2 | 107 | 4216 | 4337 | . 38 | 8.3 | 319 | 145 |
| 1981 | 33 | 80 | 185 | 11.7 | 138.4 | 4108 | 25 | 11.3 | 17.3 | 509 | 20.8 | . 97 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 5619 | 5739 | . 33 | 8.2 | 351 | 170 |
| 1985 | 37 | 89 | 185 | 12.8 | 166.4 | 5457 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | .00 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 6968 | 7088 | . 28 | 7.0 | 337 | 188 |
| 1990 | 42 | 101 | 182 | 14.2 | 200.7 | 7397 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | .00 | 3 | . 0 | 1.6 | 53 | 8908 | 9081 | . 28 | 6.8 | 388 | 212 |

Treatment 7, fixed:

| 1963 | 15 | 27 | 405 | 3.5 | 27.4 | 286 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 286 | 286 | . 00 | . 0 | 0 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1966 | 18 | 37 | 400 | 5.1 | 57.2 | 780 | 5 | 4.8 | . 6 | 8 | . 5 | . 30 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 788 | 788 | . 53 | 10.1 | 167 | 44 |
| 1969 | 21 | 46 | 383 | 6.6 | 90.1 | 1545 | 17 | 6.5 | 3.9 | 66 | 2.5 | . 63 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 1619 | 1619 | . 48 | 12.3 | 277 | 77 |
| 1973 | 25 | 56 | 347 | 8.2 | 128.3 | 2727 | 35 | 8.1 | 12.5 | 265 | 7.7 | .99 | 2 | . 0 | . 3 | 5 | 3067 | 3072 | . 42 | 12.7 | 362 | 123 |
| 1977 | 29 | 66 | 315 | 9.6 | 158.4 | 4005 | 30 | 9.7 | 15.5 | 391 | 13.0 | 1.01 | 2 | . 0 | . 2 | 4 | 4736 | 4745 | . 34 | 11.4 | 417 | 163 |
| 1981 | 33 | 75 | 292 | 10.7 | 181.2 | 5204 | 23 | 10.7 | 14.5 | 418 | 18.1 | 1.00 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 6354 | 6363 | . 27 | 9.3 | 404 | 193 |
| 1985 | 37 | 86 | 290 | 11.7 | 215.6 | 6878 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | .00 | 2 | . 0 | . 5 | 14 | 8028 | 8050 | . 25 | 8.6 | 418 | 217 |
| 1990 | 42 | 97 | 283 | 12.9 | 255.3 | 9122 | 0 | . C | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 7 | . 0 | 2.4 | 75 | 10271 | 10369 | . 23 | 7.9 | 449 | 245 |

Table 24--Stand development by treatment, per-acre basis (continued)


Treatment 2, increasing:

| 1963 | 15 | 30 | 405 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 384 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 384 | 384 | .00 | .0 | 0 | 26 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1966 | 18 | 40 | 183 | 5.9 | 4.2 | 522 | 220 | 5.2 | 32.0 | 472 | 2.1 | .94 | 2 | .0 | .3 | 4 | 994 | 998 | .53 | 10.9 | 203 | 55 |
| 1969 | 21 | 48 | 125 | 7.9 | 42.6 | 778 | 58 | 7.0 | 15.4 | 273 | 4.7 | .91 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 1523 | 1527 | .59 | 7.9 | 176 | 73 |
| 1973 | 25 | 60 | 92 | 10.8 | 58.3 | 1331 | 33 | 9.2 | 15.5 | 344 | 10.4 | .89 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 2420 | 2425 | .63 | 7.8 | 224 | 97 |
| 1977 | 29 | 70 | 80 | 13.2 | 76.0 | 1984 | 10 | 12.2 | 8.1 | 213 | 21.5 | .92 | 2 | .0 | 1.8 | 46 | 3286 | 3337 | .57 | 6.4 | 216 | 113 |
| 1981 | 33 | 80 | 73 | 15.2 | 92.4 | 2732 | 7 | 14.1 | 7.3 | 198 | 32.3 | .96 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 4232 | 4283 | .48 | 5.9 | 237 | 128 |
| 1985 | 37 | 89 | 73 | 17.0 | 114.5 | 3713 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 5212 | 5263 | .43 | 5.5 | 245 | 141 |
| 1990 | 42 | 102 | 73 | 19.0 | 144.2 | 5291 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 6791 | 6841 | .41 | 5.9 | 316 | 162 |

Treatment 4, increasing:

| 1963 | 15 | 30 | 405 | 3.8 | 31.3 | 354 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 354 | 354 | .00 | .0 | 0 | 24 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1966 | 18 | 38 | 242 | 5.4 | 38.1 | 560 | 163 | 5.3 | 25.2 | 369 | 2.3 | .99 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 929 | 929 | .53 | 10.7 | 191 | 52 |
| 1969 | 21 | 47 | 218 | 7.1 | 60.3 | 1083 | 23 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 98 | 4.2 | .94 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 1549 | 1549 | .56 | 9.2 | 207 | 74 |
| 1973 | 25 | 58 | 187 | 9.3 | 88.4 | 1948 | 30 | 9.0 | 13.1 | 287 | 9.6 | .96 | 2 | .0 | .4 | 7 | 2702 | 2709 | .54 | 10.3 | 288 | 108 |
| 1977 | 29 | 69 | 163 | 11.3 | 112.9 | 2929 | 22 | 10.9 | 14.0 | 362 | 16.8 | .97 | 2 | .0 | .5 | 11 | 4045 | 4063 | .48 | 9.6 | 336 | 139 |
| 1981 | 33 | 77 | 150 | 12.9 | 135.2 | 3971 | 13 | 12.2 | 10.8 | 317 | 23.7 | .94 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 5404 | 5422 | .39 | 8.3 | 340 | 164 |
| 1985 | 37 | 86 | 150 | 14.3 | 166.1 | 5415 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 6847 | 6865 | .35 | 7.7 | 361 | 185 |
| 1990 | 42 | 98 | 150 | 15.7 | 201.7 | 7347 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 8780 | 8798 | .29 | 7.1 | 386 | 209 |

Treatment 6, decreasing:

| 1963 | 15 | 28 | 405 | 3.6 | 29.2 | 328 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 328 | .00 | .00 | .0 | 0 | 22 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1966 | 18 | 37 | 333 | 5.3 | 50.4 | 727 | 70 | 5.2 | 10.2 | 147 | 1.4 | .62 | 2 | .0 | .1 | 1 | 873 | 874 | .54 | 10.4 | 182 | 49 |
| 1969 | 21 | 45 | 268 | 6.9 | 68.9 | 1216 | 63 | 6.6 | 14.9 | 261 | 4.2 | .96 | 2 | .0 | .5 | 8 | 1623 | 1633 | .52 | 11.1 | 250 | 77 |
| 1973 | 25 | 56 | 197 | 9.1 | 86.0 | 1882 | 72 | 8.4 | 27.7 | 604 | 8.7 | .95 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 2894 | 2903 | .49 | 11.2 | 318 | 116 |
| 1977 | 29 | 64 | 145 | 11.1 | 94.1 | 2380 | 52 | 10.1 | 28.5 | 727 | 14.3 | .94 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 4119 | 4128 | .44 | 9.2 | 306 | 142 |
| 1981 | 33 | 74 | 103 | 13.2 | 96.0 | 2737 | 42 | 11.3 | 29.0 | 851 | 21.4 | .90 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 5326 | 5336 | .42 | 7.7 | 302 | 161 |
| 1985 | 37 | 85 | 103 | 14.9 | 121.4 | 3827 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 6417 | 6426 | .41 | 6.3 | 272 | 173 |
| 1990 | 42 | 100 | 103 | 16.7 | 154.2 | 5548 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 8137 | 8146 | .37 | 6.6 | 344 | 194 |

Treatment 8, decreasing:

| 1963 | 15 | 28 | 405 | 3.4 | 25.7 | 287 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 287 | 287 | .00 | .0 | 0 | 19 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1966 | 18 | 38 | 405 | 4.9 | 53.9 | 766 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 766 | 766 | .51 | 9.4 | 160 | 43 |
| 1969 | 21 | 47 | 387 | 6.3 | 84.4 | 1490 | 12 | 6.1 | 2.4 | 42 | 1.2 | .31 | 7 | .0 | .9 | 14 | 1532 | 1546 | .46 | 11.0 | 255 | 73 |
| 1973 | 25 | 56 | 330 | 7.9 | 112.7 | 2470 | 52 | 7.6 | 16.4 | 358 | 7.1 | .98 | 5 | .0 | 1.4 | 30 | 2870 | 2913 | .39 | 11.2 | 334 | 115 |
| 1977 | 29 | 67 | 285 | 9.2 | 132.1 | 3385 | 43 | 8.9 | 18.9 | 483 | 11.3 | .98 | 2 | .0 | .1 | 1 | 4267 | 4312 | .32 | 9.6 | 349 | 147 |
| 1981 | 33 | 77 | 243 | 10.4 | 142.4 | 4228 | 42 | 10.0 | 22.6 | 674 | 16.2 | .97 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 5784 | 5829 | .27 | 8.2 | 379 | 175 |
| 1985 | 37 | 85 | 240 | 11.4 | 170.4 | 5539 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 3 | .0 | .5 | 14 | 7095 | 7154 | .26 | 7.0 | 328 | 192 |
| 1990 | 42 | 96 | 240 | 12.6 | 206.4 | 7425 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 8981 | 9040 | .23 | 7.2 | 377 | 214 |

Table 24--Stand development by treatment, per-acre basis (continued)

|  | After thinning |  |  |  |  |  | Removed in thinning |  |  |  |  |  | Mortality |  |  |  | Yield ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | Net growth |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Stand age | $\mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{\circ}$ | Trees <br> left | Avg dbh | Basal area | Total vol | Trees cut ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{s} \begin{array}{l} \text { Avg } \\ \mathrm{dbh} \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Basal area | Total vol | Avg <br> vol | Avg <br> $d / D^{c}$ | Trees dead | $s \mathrm{Avg}$ $\mathrm{dbh}$ | Basal area | Total vol | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Net } \\ & \text { vol } \end{aligned}$ | Gross vol | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBH BA } \\ & \text { PAI PAI } \end{aligned}$ | Vol PAI | Vol <br> MAI |

Treatment 9, unthinned control

| 1963 | 15 | 29 | 888 | 3.3 | 51.5 | 560 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 560 | 560 | .00 | .0 | 0 | 37 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1966 | 18 | 39 | 1075 | 4.0 | 96.1 | 1363 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 8 | .0 | .8 | 12 | 1363 | 1375 | .26 | 14.8 | 268 | 76 |
| 1969 | 21 | 47 | 1127 | 4.8 | 138.9 | 2439 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 15 | .0 | .8 | 12 | 2439 | 2463 | .24 | 14.3 | 359 | 116 |
| 1973 | 25 | 58 | 1107 | 5.6 | 189.9 | 4053 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 42 | .0 | 2.0 | 34 | 4053 | 4112 | .22 | 12.7 | 403 | 162 |
| 1977 | 29 | 69 | 1048 | 6.4 | 228.2 | 5729 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 68 | .0 | 3.7 | 73 | 5729 | 5861 | .18 | 9.6 | 419 | 198 |
| 1981 | 33 | 77 | 1015 | 7.0 | 260.3 | 7217 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 37 | .0 | 2.0 | 38 | 7217 | 7387 | .14 | 8.0 | 372 | 219 |
| 1985 | 37 | 85 | 857 | 7.8 | 278.4 | 8584 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 158 | .0 | 12.0 | 265 | 8584 | 9019 | .22 | 4.5 | 342 | 232 |
| 1990 | 42 | 97 | 738 | 8.8 | 304.3 | 10718 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 118 | .0 | 12.0 | 316 | 10718 | 11469 | .20 | 5.2 | 427 | 255 |

Late thinning, L1

| 1973 | 25 | 56 | 162 | 7.0 | 43.5 | 948 | 995 | 4.9 | 132.2 | 2882 | 2.9 | .91 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 948 | 948 | .00 | .0 | 0 | 38 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1977 | 29 | 65 | 90 | 9.9 | 48.0 | 1232 | 72 | 6.6 | 17.2 | 399 | 5.6 | .77 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 1630 | 1630 | .40 | 5.4 | 171 | 56 |
| 1981 | 33 | 74 | 62 | 12.4 | 51.9 | 1490 | 28 | 10.8 | 18.1 | 517 | 18.3 | .91 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 2406 | 2406 | .51 | 5.5 | 194 | 73 |
| 1985 | 37 | 82 | 62 | 14.3 | 68.9 | 2128 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 3044 | 3044 | .47 | 4.2 | 160 | 82 |
| 1990 | 42 | 93 | 62 | 16.6 | 93.0 | 3196 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 4112 | 4112 | .46 | 4.8 | 214 | 98 |

Late thinning, L3

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1973 | 25 | 55 | 273 | 7.0 | 73.4 | 1586 | $1084^{e}$ | 4.5 | 117.8 | 2546 | 2.3 | .88 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 1586 | 1586 | .00 | .0 | 0 | 63 |
| 1977 | 29 | 65 | 217 | 8.5 | 85.8 | 2140 | 55 | 7.9 | 18.6 | 454 | 8.2 | .94 | 2 | .0 | .3 | 7 | 2593 | 2600 | .34 | 7.7 | 252 | 89 |
| 1981 | 33 | 75 | 163 | 10.4 | 96.3 | 2763 | 52 | 9.2 | 23.8 | 665 | 12.9 | .91 | 2 | .0 | .1 | 3 | 3882 | 3892 | .40 | 8.6 | 322 | 118 |
| 1985 | 37 | 82 | 163 | 11.8 | 124.4 | 3892 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 5011 | 5021 | .36 | 7.0 | 282 | 135 |
| 1990 | 42 | 93 | 163 | 13.5 | 161.2 | 5564 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 6683 | 6693 | .33 | 7.4 | 334 | 159 |

Late thinning, L5

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1973 | 25 | 59 | 377 | 7.0 | 100.3 | 2254 | $563 e$ | 5.2 | 83.0 | 1862 | 3.3 | .87 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 2254 | 2254 | .00 | .0 | 0 | 90 |
| 1977 | 29 | 70 | 338 | 8.1 | 121.9 | 3191 | 37 | 7.6 | 11.7 | 300 | 8.4 | .95 | 2 | .0 | .2 | 3 | 3491 | 3494 | .27 | 8.3 | 309 | 120 |
| 1981 | 33 | 79 | 293 | 9.3 | 137.8 | 4058 | 43 | 8.5 | 16.9 | 484 | 11.2 | .92 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 4842 | 4845 | .26 | 8.2 | 338 | 147 |
| 1985 | 37 | 86 | 283 | 10.3 | 164.9 | 5263 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 10 | .0 | 1.3 | 30 | 6047 | 6079 | .26 | 6.8 | 301 | 163 |
| 1990 | 42 | 98 | 272 | 11.7 | 201.7 | 7167 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 10 | .0 | 1.3 | 30 | 7954 | 8016 | .26 | 7.4 | 381 | 189 |

Late thinning, L7

| 1973 | 25 | 55 | 525 | 6.7 | 128.4 | 2634 | $635^{e}$ | 3.7 | 47.0 | 970 | 1.5 | .68 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 2634 | 2634 | .00 | .0 | 0 | 105 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1977 | 29 | 65 | 485 | 7.8 | 159.3 | 3952 | 37 | 7.1 | 10.0 | 246 | 6.3 | .89 | 3 | .0 | 1.0 | 26 | 4198 | 4224 | .26 | 10.2 | 391 | 145 |
| 1981 | 33 | 73 | 442 | 8.7 | 182.2 | 5139 | 42 | 8.0 | 14.6 | 409 | 10.2 | .94 | 3 | .0 | .5 | 12 | 5794 | 5832 | .22 | 9.4 | 399 | 176 |
| 1985 | 37 | 80 | 433 | 9.5 | 213.1 | 6470 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 10 | .0 | 1.7 | 46 | 7125 | 7210 | .20 | 7.7 | 333 | 193 |
| 1990 | 42 | 92 | 423 | 10.5 | 250.9 | 8517 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 10 | .0 | 2.5 | 76 | 9173 | 9333 | .19 | 7.6 | 409 | 218 |

a Ht-40: Average height of the 40 largest trees per acre (estimated from d.b.h. and ht-d.b.h. curves).
b Volume: All volumes are total stem, inside bark.
d/D: Average d.b.h. cut/average d.b.h. before thinning.
d Total yield: Net $=$ standing + thinning $\quad$ Gross $=$ standing + thinning + mortality.
Yield does not include any volume removed in a calibration cut.
e Trees and volume cut in initial late thinning, in 1973, ae not included in yields.

## HEIGHT, 100 LARGEST TREES PER HECTARE

Table 25--Mean height of 100 largest trees per hectare by treatment, total age and year at beginning of period

| Treatment | Height |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1963 \\ & (15)^{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1966 \\ & (18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1969 \\ & (21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1973 \\ & (25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1977 \\ & (29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1981 \\ & (33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1985 \\ & (37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1990 \\ & (42) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Meter |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 8.5 | 11.0 | 13.5 | 16.9 | 19.9 | 22.9 | 25.6 | 29.5 |
| 3 | 8.6 | 11.6 | 13.9 | 17.1 | 20.4 | 23.0 | 25.9 | 30.0 |
| 5 | 9.0 | 12.1 | 14.6 | 18.2 | 21.5 | 24.5 | 27.1 | 30.9 |
| 7 | 8.2 | 11.4 | 13.9 | 17.0 | 20.1 | 23.0 | 26.2 | 29.4 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 9.1 | 12.1 | 14.6 | 18.4 | 21.4 | 24.3 | 27.0 | 31.1 |
| 4 | 9.1 | 11.4 | 14.4 | 17.8 | 21.1 | 23.6 | 26.3 | 29.7 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 8.4 | 11.3 | 13.7 | 17.1 | 19.7 | 22.7 | 25.9 | 30.5 |
| 8 | 8.4 | 11.5 | 14.2 | 17.1 | 20.5 | 23.3 | 25.8 | 29.4 |
| Unthinned: Control | 8.9 | 11.8 | 14.5 | 17.8 | 20.9 | 23.4 | 26.0 | 29.7 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 17.0 | 20.0 | 22.6 | 24.9 | 28.3 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 16.8 | 19.8 | 22.8 | 25.1 | 28.5 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 18.0 | 21.4 | 24.2 | 26.2 | 29.9 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 16.7 | 19.7 | 22.4 | 24.4 | 28.0 |
| WHC ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | 19.2 | 21.9 | 24.4 | 27.4 |

## -- = missing data.

a Stand age in parenthesis.
b $\mathrm{WHC}=$ western hemlock.

## DIAMETER, 100 LARGEST TREES PER HECTARE

Table 26--Mean diameter of 100 largest trees per hectare by treatment, total age and year at beginning of period

| Treatment | Diameter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1963 \\ & (15)^{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1966 \\ & (18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1969 \\ & (21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1973 \\ & (25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1977 \\ & (29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1981 \\ & (33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1985 \\ & (37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1990 \\ & (42) \end{aligned}$ |
| Centimeters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 12.4 | 17.3 | 21.3 | 27.4 | 33.8 | 38.6 | 43.4 | 50.0 |
| 3 | 13.5 | 18.5 | 22.6 | 28.9 | 34.5 | 38.6 | 42.4 | 47.5 |
| 5 | 13.6 | 18.0 | 22.3 | 28.4 | 33.3 | 37.3 | 40.9 | 45.5 |
| 7 | 12.7 | 17.5 | 21.8 | 27.2 | 31.7 | 35.0 | 38.6 | 42.2 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 14.2 | 19.3 | 23.6 | 30.2 | 36.1 | 41.4 | 38.6 | 51.3 |
| 4 | 13.2 | 18.0 | 22.6 | 28.9 | 34.0 | 38.3 | 42.4 | 47.0 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 12.9 | 18.0 | 22.6 | 28.4 | 33.3 | 37.8 | 45.7 | 48.3 |
| 8 | 12.2 | 17.0 | 21.3 | 26.4 | 30.5 | 34.3 | 38.1 | 41.9 |
| Unthinned: Control | 13.5 | 17.8 | 21.6 | 25.9 | 29.2 | 32.2 | 34.5 | 37.8 |
| Late thinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 23.1 | 28.2 | 33.8 | 38.3 | 44.7 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 23.9 | 28.2 | 32.2 | 36.3 | 41.4 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 25.4 | 29.2 | 33.0 | 36.6 | 41.1 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 25.1 | 29.2 | 33.0 | 36.3 | 40.1 |

[^9]
# INTERNATIONAL UNITS, ALL TREES 

Table 27--Number of live trees per hectare by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age


Trees per hectare

| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avg. | 6 | 1000 | 976 | 457 | 457 | 309 | 309 | 185 | 185 | 124 | 124 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 |
|  | 20 | 1000 | 988 | 580 | 580 | 346 | 333 | 198 | 198 | 136 | 136 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 |
|  | 27 | 1000 | 988 | 716 | 704 | 432 | 432 | 259 | 259 | 185 | 185 | 136 | 136 | 136 | 136 |
|  |  | 1000 | 984 | 585 | 580 | 362 | 358 | 214 | 214 | 148 | 148 | 111 | 111 | 111 | 111 |
| 3 | 9 | 1000 | 1000 | 679 | 679 | 568 | 568 | 432 | 420 | 358 | 358 | 309 | 309 | 309 | 309 |
|  | 10 | 1000 | 1000 | 605 | 605 | 556 | 556 | 383 | 383 | 284 | 284 | 222 | 222 | 222 | 222 |
|  | 22 | 1000 | 1000 | 519 | 519 | 432 | 432 | 309 | 309 | 235 | 235 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 |
| Avg. |  | 1000 | 1000 | 601 | 601 | 519 | 519 | 375 | 371 | 292 | 292 | 239 | 239 | 239 | 239 |
| 5 | 8 | 1000 | 988 | 827 | 815 | 704 | 704 | 580 | 556 | 506 | 506 | 469 | 469 | 469 | 457 |
|  | 16 | 1000 | 1000 | 815 | 815 | 704 | 692 | 568 | 556 | 506 | 506 | 445 | 445 | 445 | 432 |
|  | 18 | 1000 | 1000 | 889 | 889 | 753 | 753 | 618 | 593 | 543 | 543 | 457 | 457 | 457 | 457 |
| Avg. |  | 1000 | 996 | 844 | 840 | 720 | 716 | 589 | 568 | 519 | 519 | 457 | 457 | 457 | 449 |
| 7 | 1 | 1000 | 1000 | 963 | 963 | 877 | 865 | 790 | 790 | 716 | 716 | 667 | 655 | 655 | 642 |
|  | 21 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 914 | 902 | 827 | 827 | 753 | 753 | 753 | 729 |
|  | 23 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 963 | 963 | 865 | 865 | 790 | 790 | 741 | 741 | 741 | 729 |
| Avg. |  | 1000 | 1000 | 988 | 988 | 947 | 943 | 856 | 852 | 778 | 778 | 720 | 716 | 716 | 700 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3 | 1000 | 1000 | 469 | 469 | 321 | 321 | 247 | 235 | 222 | 222 | 198 | 198 | 198 | 198 |
|  | 5 | 1000 | 988 | 457 | 457 | 321 | 321 | 235 | 235 | 198 | 198 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 185 |
|  | 11 | 1000 | 1000 | 432 | 432 | 284 | 284 | 198 | 198 | 173 | 173 | 161 | 161 | 161 | 161 |
| Avg. |  | 1000 | 996 | 453 | 453 | 309 | 309 | 226 | 222 | 198 | 198 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 181 |
| 4 | 12 | 1000 | 1000 | 618 | 618 | 568 | 568 | 482 | 469 | 432 | 432 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 |
|  | 14 | 1000 | 1000 | 630 | 630 | 556 | 543 | 494 | 494 | 420 | 420 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 |
|  | 15 | 1000 | 1000 | 543 | 543 | 494 | 494 | 408 | 408 | 358 | 358 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 |
| Avg. |  | 1000 | 1000 | 597 | 597 | 539 | 535 | 461 | 457 | 403 | 403 | 371 | 371 | 371 | 371 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2 | 1000 | 1000 | 667 | 667 | 531 | 531 | 383 | 383 | 284 | 284 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
|  | 13 | 1000 | 1000 | 815 | 803 | 630 | 630 | 469 | 469 | 321 | 321 | 235 | 235 | 235 | 235 |
|  | 26 | 1000 | 988 | 988 | 988 | 827 | 827 | 605 | 605 | 469 | 469 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 321 |
| Avg. |  | 1000 | 996 | 823 | 819 | 663 | 663 | 486 | 486 | 358 | 358 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 255 |
| 8 | 7 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 976 | 889 | 865 | 778 | 778 | 679 | 679 | 593 | 580 | 580 | 580 |
|  | 24 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 988 | 852 | 852 | 716 | 716 | 593 | 580 | 580 | 580 |
|  | 25 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 976 | 976 | 976 | 815 | 803 | 716 | 716 | 618 | 618 | 618 | 618 |
| Avg. |  | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 984 | 955 | 943 | 815 | 811 | 704 | 704 | 601 | 593 | 593 | 593 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 4 | 2742 | 3322 | 3322 | 3495 | 3495 | 3483 | 3483 | 3347 | 3347 | 3347 | 3347 | 2865 | 2865 | 2433 |
|  | 17 | 1692 | 2025 | 2025 | 2149 | 2149 | 2100 | 2100 | 2025 | 2025 | 2038 | 2038 | 1655 | 1655 | 1457 |
|  | 19 | 2149 | 2618 | 2618 | 2705 | 2705 | 2618 | 2618 | 2396 | 2396 | 2137 | 2137 | 1828 | 1828 | 1581 |
| Avg. |  | 2194 | 2655 | 2655 | 2783 | 2783 | 2733 | 2733 | 2589 | 2589 | 2507 | 2507 | 2116 | 2116 | 1824 |

Table 27--Number of live trees per hectare by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age (continued)


| Trees per hectare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Late thinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | 32 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 395 | 395 | 222 | 222 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 |
|  | 34 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 395 | 395 | 210 | 210 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 |
|  | 37 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 408 | 408 | 235 | 235 | 161 | 161 | 161 | 161 |
| Avg. |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 399 | 399 | 222 | 222 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 |
| Late 3 | 30 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 679 | 679 | 543 | 543 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 |
|  | 31 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 667 | 667 | 531 | 531 | 408 | 408 | 408 | 408 |
|  | 33 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 679 | 667 | 531 | 519 | 383 | 383 | 383 | 383 |
| Avg. |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 675 | 671 | 535 | 531 | 403 | 403 | 403 | 403 |
| Late 5 | 35 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 939 | 939 | 815 | 815 | 679 | 667 | 667 | 655 |
|  | 36 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 926 | 926 | 827 | 827 | 741 | 729 | 729 | 729 |
|  | 38 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 926 | 914 | 865 | 852 | 753 | 704 | 704 | 642 |
| Avg. |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 930 | 926 | 836 | 832 | 725 | 700 | 700 | 675 |
| Late 7 | 28 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1284 | 1272 | 1149 | 1149 | 1062 | 1037 | 1037 | 1037 |
|  | 29 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1457 | 1457 | 1334 | 1334 | 1198 | 1186 | 1186 | 1173 |
|  | 39 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1149 | 1136 | 1112 | 1099 | 1013 | 988 | 988 | 926 |
| Avg. |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1297 | 1289 | 1198 | 1194 | 1091 | 1070 | 1070 | 1046 |

[^10]a Stand age in parenthesis.

## INTERNATIONAL UNITS, ALL TREES

Table 28--Quadratic mean diameter (centimeters) for all live trees, by treatment, plot, treatment period, year and stand age

Quadratic mean diameter

|  |  | Calibration |  | Period 1 |  | Period 2 |  | Period 3 |  | Period 4 |  | Period 5 |  |  | Period 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - |  | After cut | Before cut | After cut | Before cut | After cut | Before cut | After cut | Before cut | After cut | Before cut | After cut |  | fore cut | After cut | Before cut |
|  |  | 1963 | 1966 | 1966 | 1969 | 1969 | 1973 | 1973 | 1977 | 1977 | 1981 | 1981 |  | 985 | 1985 | 1990 |
| Treatment | Plot | $(15)^{\circ}$ | (18) | (18) | (21) | (21) | (25) | (25) | (29) | (29) | (33) | (33) |  | (37) | (37) | (42) |



Table 28--Quadratic mean diameter (centimeters) for all live trees, by treatment, plot, treatment period, year and stand age (continued)

|  |  | Quadratic mean diameter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calibration |  | Period 1 |  | Period 2 |  | Period 3 |  | Period 4 |  | Period 5 |  | Period 6 |  |
| Treatment | Plot | After <br> cut <br> 1963 <br> (15) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1966 \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1966 (18) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1969 \\ (21) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1969 (21) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1973 \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1973 (25) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1977 \\ (29) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1977 (29) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1981 \\ (33) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1981 (33) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1985 \\ (37) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1985 (37) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1990 \\ (42) \end{gathered}$ |

Centimeters

| Late thinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Late 1 | 32 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 17.8 | 22.1 | 25.2 | 31.0 | 32.3 | 37.6 | 37.6 | 43.9 |
|  | 34 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 18.0 | 22.2 | 25.8 | 30.8 | 31.9 | 36.7 | 36.7 | 42.5 |
|  | 37 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 17.7 | 21.3 | 24.5 | 29.3 | 30.6 | 34.9 | 34.9 | 40.5 |
| Avg. |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 17.9 | 21.9 | 25.2 | 30.4 | 31.6 | 36.4 | 36.4 | 42.3 |
| Late 3 | 30 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 17.7 | 21.1 | 21.4 | 25.3 | 25.8 | 29.1 | 29.1 | 33.2 |
|  | 31 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 17.8 | 21.5 | 21.9 | 26.0 | 26.6 | 30.5 | 30.5 | 34.7 |
|  | 33 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 18.1 | 21.4 | 21.7 | 25.8 | 26.9 | 30.6 | 30.6 | 34.8 |
| Avg. |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 17.8 | 21.3 | 21.7 | 25.7 | 26.5 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 34.2 |
| Late 5 | 35 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 17.7 | 20.7 | 20.9 | 23.9 | 24.3 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 30.5 |
|  | 36 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 17.8 | 20.6 | 20.7 | 23.3 | 23.4 | 25.6 | 25.6 | 28.4 |
|  | 38 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 17.7 | 20.3 | 20.3 | 22.9 | 23.2 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 29.9 |
| Avg. |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 17.8 | 20.5 | 20.7 | 23.3 | 23.6 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 29.6 |
| Late 7 | 28 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 17.2 | 20.0 | 20.1 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 26.9 |
|  | 29 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 16.0 | 18.5 | 18.6 | 20.8 | 21.0 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 25.0 |
|  | 39 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 18.0 | 20.5 | 20.6 | 22.9 | 23.1 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 27.9 |
| Avg. |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 17.1 | 19.7 | 19.8 | 22.0 | 22.2 | 24.2 | 24.2 | 26.6 |

[^11]- Stand age in parenthesis.


## INTERNATIONAL UNITS, ALL TREES

Table 29--Basal area per hectare for all live trees, by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age


## INTERNATIONAL UNITS, ALL TREES

Table 29--Basal area per hectare for all live trees, by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age (continued)

| Basal area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calibration |  | Period 1 |  | Period 2 |  | Period 3 |  | Period 4 |  | Period 5 |  | Period 6 |  |
| Treatment | Plot | $\begin{aligned} & \text { After } \\ & \text { cut } \\ & 1963 \\ & (15)^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1966 \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1966 (18) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1969 \\ (21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { After } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1969 \\ (21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1973 \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1973 (25) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1977 \\ (29) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1977 (29) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1981 \\ (33) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1981 (33) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1985 \\ (37) \end{gathered}$ | After cut 1985 (37) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ \text { cut } \\ 1990 \\ (42) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Square | meter | $s$ per | hectare |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late thinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | 32 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 9.9 | 15.2 | 11.1 | 16.8 | 12.2 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 22.4 |
|  | 34 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 10.0 | 15.3 | 11.0 | 15.7 | 11.9 | 15.7 | 15.7 | 21.0 |
|  | 37 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | 10.1 | 14.6 | 11.1 | 15.9 | 11.8 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 20.7 |
| Avg. |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 10.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.1 | 11.9 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 21.4 |
| Late 3 | 30 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 16.6 | 23.8 | 19.5 | 27.4 | 22.0 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 36.3 |
|  | 31 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 16.6 | 24.1 | 19.9 | 28.3 | 22.6 | 29.8 | 29.8 | 38.5 |
|  | 33 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 17.5 | 24.1 | 19.7 | 27.2 | 21.8 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 36.4 |
| Avg. |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 16.9 | 24.0 | 19.7 | 27.6 | 22.1 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 37.1 |
| Late 5 | 35 | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 23.2 | 31.6 | 28.1 | 36.5 | 31.5 | 38.8 | 38.8 | 47.9 |
|  | 36 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 23.1 | 30.9 | 28.0 | 35.1 | 31.8 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 46.2 |
|  | 38 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 22.9 | 29.6 | 28.0 | 35.2 | 31.8 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 45.2 |
| Avg. |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | _ | 23.1 | 30.7 | 28.0 | 35.6 | 31.7 | 37.9 | 37.9 | 46.4 |
| Late 7 | 28 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 29.8 | 39.8 | 36.4 |  | 41.6 | $48.6$ | $48.6$ | 58.9 |
|  | 29 | -- | -- | -- | -_ | -- | -- | 29.4 | 39.4 | 36.4 | 45.3 | 41.6 | 48.8 | 48.8 | 57.5 |
|  | 39 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 29.3 | 37.6 | 37.1 | 45.4 | 42.5 | 49.6 | 49.6 | 56.7 |
| Avg. |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 29.5 | 38.9 | 36.6 | 45.3 | 41.9 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 57.7 |

[^12]- Stand age in parenthesis.

Table 30--Total stem volume per hectare for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age

## Volume



| Cubic meters per hectare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6 | 29 | 70 | 34 | 71 | 49 | 107 | 71 | 129 | 85 | 134 | 106 | 151 | 151 | 220 |
|  | 20 | 19 | 52 | 32 | 71 | 46 | 96 | 63 | 113 | 79 | 127 | 100 | 144 | 144. | 218 |
|  | 27 | 16 | 45 | 32 | 68 | 44 | 94 | 63 | 118 | 82 | 135 | 101 | 147 | 147 | 225 |
| Avg. |  | 21 | 56 | 33 | 70 | 46 | 99 | 66 | 120 | 82 | 132 | 102 | 147 | 147 | 221 |
| 3 | 9 | 20 | 56 | 38 | 76 | 66 | 141 | 107 | 174 | 145 | 216 | 189 | 253 | 253 | 351 |
|  | 10 | 20 | 55 | 34 | 70 | 66 | 145 | 107 | 187 | 148 | 222 | 184 | 261 | 261 | 380 |
|  | 22 | 26 | 72 | 40 | 79 | 68 | 141 | 108 | 184 | 153 | 221 | 187 | 259 | 259 | 369 |
| Avg. |  | 22 | 61 | 37 | 75 | 67 | 142 | 107 | 182 | 149 | 220 | 186 | 258 | 258 | 367 |
| 5 | 8 | 25 | 63 | 53 | 105 | 93 | 181 | 154 | 239 | 223 | 310 | 287 | 375 | 375 | 511 |
|  | 16 | 27 | 67 | 54 | 107 | 94 | 182 | 155 | 245 | 229 | 332 | 296 | 390 | 390 | 517. |
|  | 18 | 22 | 58 | 53 | 107 | 92 | 186 | 151 | 244 | 223 | 328 | 280 | 380 | 380 | 526 |
| Avg. |  | 25 | 63 | 53 | 106 | 93 | 183 | 153 | 243 | 225 | 323 | 288 | 382 | 382 | 518 |
| 7 | 1 | 23 | 61 | 60 | 122 | 112 | 211 | 193 | 312 | 283 | 395 | 367 | 487 | 487 | 645 |
|  | 21 | 17 | 47 | 47 | 103 | 103 | 205 | 188 | 304 | 276 | 397 | 362 | 485 | 485 | 643 |
|  | 23 | 21 | 57 | 57 | 113 | 110 | 212 | 192 | 307 | 281 | 389 | 364 | 473 | 473 | 627 |
| Avg. |  | 20 | 55 | 55 | 113 | 108 | 209 | 191 | 308 | 280 | 394 | 364 | 481 | 481 | 639 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3 | 27 | 70 | 37 | 72 | 54 | 117 | 92 | 145 | 138 | 205 | 189 | 257 | 257 | 367 |
|  | 5 | 26 | 68 | 37 | 75 | 56 | 120 | 97 | 163 | 145 | 212 | 199 | 267 | 267 | 377 |
|  | 11 | 27 | 70 | 36 | 73 | 53 | 115 | 91 | 153 | 133 | 199 | 185 | 256 | 256 | 367 |
| Avg. |  | 27 | 70 | 37 | 74 | 54 | 117 | 93 | 154 | 139 | 205 | 191 | 260 | 260 | 370 |
| 4 | 12 | 23 | 61 | 38 | 80 | 74 | 152 | 132 | 217 | 200 | 294 | 275 | 376 | 376 | 515 |
|  | 14 | 25 | 65 | 40 | 85 | 77 | 157 | 144 | 244 | 210 | 304 | 288 | 394 | 394 | 535 |
|  | 15 | 27 | 69 | 39 | 83 | 76 | 161 | 134 | 231 | 205 | 303 | 271 | 368 | 368 | 492 |
| Avg. |  | 25 | 65 | 39 | 83 | 76 | 156 | 136 | 230 | 205 | 300 | 278 | 379 | 379 | 514 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  | 54 | 107 | 88 | 177 | 134 | 214 | 169 | 250 | 199 | 279 | 279 | 402 |
|  | 13 | 24 | 63 | 52 | 103 | 83 | 171 | 131 | 220 | 159 | 240 | 184 | 256 | 256 | 368 |
|  | 26 | 16 | 46 | 46 | 100 | 85 | 174 | 130 | 219 | 172 | 264 | 192 | 269 | 269 | 395 |
| Avg. |  | 23 | 61 | 51 | 103 | 85 | 174 | 132 | 218 | 167 | 251 | 192 | 268 | 268 | 388 |
| 8 | 7 | 24 | 62 | 62 | 117 | 108 | 195 | 176 | 271 | 239 | 339 | 296 | 382 | 382 | 508 |
|  | 24 | 18 | 47 | 47 | 99 | 99 | 196 | 171 | 273 | 232 | 347 | 289 | 385 | 385 | 522 |
|  | 25 | 19 | 52 | 52 | 106 | 106 | 203 | 172 | 268 | 239 | 344 | 303 | 397 | 397 | 529 |
| Avg. |  | 20 | 54 | 54 | 107 | 104 | 198 | 173 | 271 | 237 | 343 | 296 | 388 | 388 | 520 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 4 | 50 | 116 | 116 | 199 | 199 | 320 | 320 | 440 | 440 | 548 | 548 | 648 | 648 | 803 |
|  | 17 | 28 | 70 | 70 | 132 | 132 | 229 | 229 | 337 | 337 | 437 | 437 | 526 | 526 | 662 |
|  | 19 | 40 | 100 | 100 | 181 | 181 | 302 | 302 | 426 | 426 | 530 | 530 | 628 | 628 | 785 |
| Avg. |  | 39 | 95 | 95 | 171 | 171 | 284 | 284 | 401 | 401 | 505 | 505 | 601 | 601 | 750 |

Table $30-$ Total stem volume per hectare for all live trees by treatment, plot, treatment period, year, and stand age (continued)

-- = missing data.

- Stand age in parenthesis.

Table 31--Total yield in cubic meters by treatment, year, and stand age

| Treatment | Yield ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1963 \\ & (15)^{b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1966 \\ (18) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1969 \\ (21) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1973 \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1977 \\ (29) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1981 \\ (33) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1985 \\ (37) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1990 \\ (42) \end{array}$ |
| Cubic meters per hectare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Net $Y$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 21 | 56 | 93 | 146 | 201 | 251 | 295 | 369 |
| 3 | 22 | 61 | 99 | 175 | 250 | 320 | 392 | 501 |
| 5 | 25 | 63 | 115 | 206 | 295 | 393 | 488 | 624 |
| 7 | 20 | 55 | 113 | 215 | 332 | 445 | 562 | 719 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 27 | 70 | 107 | 169 | 230 | 296 | 365 | 475 |
| 4 | 25 | 65 | 108 | 189 | 283 | 378 | 479 | 615 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 23 | 61 | 114 | 203 | 288 | 373 | 449 | 570 |
| 8 | 20 | 54 | 107 | 201 | 299 | 405 | 497 | 629 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 39 | 95 | 171 | 284 | 401 | 505 | 601 | 750 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 66 | 114 | 168 | 213 | 288 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 111 | 182 | 272 | 351 | 468 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 158 | 244 | 339 | 423 | 557 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 184 | 294 | 406 | 499 | 642 |
|  |  |  |  | ross | ld |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 21 | 56 | 94 | 148 | 202 | 252 | 297 | 371 |
| 3 | 22 | 61 | 99 | 175 | 250 | 321 | 392 | 502 |
| 5 | 25 | 63 | 116 | 207 | 304 | 402 | 496 | 636 |
| 7 | 20 | 55 | 113 | 215 | 332 | 445 | 564 | 726 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 27 | 70 | 107 | 170 | 234 | 300 | 368 | 479 |
| 4 | 25 | 65 | 108 | 190 | 284 | 380 | 481 | 616 |
| Decreasing: 610 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 23 | 61 | 114 | 203 | 289 | 373 | 450 | 570 |
| 8 | 20 | 54 | 108 | 204 | 302 | 408 | 501 | 633 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 39 | 96 | 172 | 288 | 410 | 517 | 631 | 803 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 66 | 114 | 168 | 213 | 288 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 111 | 182 | 272 | 351 | 468 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 158 | 245 | 339 | 426 | 561 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 184 | 296 | 408 | 505 | 653 |

[^13]a Wood cut in initial late thinning, in 1973, is not included in cumulative yield.

[^14]Table 32--Periodic annual quadratic mean diameter growth in centimeters by treatment, treatment period, year, and stand age

| Treatment | Diameter growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calib. } \\ (1963-66) \\ (15-18)^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } 1 \\ (1966-69) \\ (18-21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Period 2 } \\ & (1969-73) \\ & (21-25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } 3 \\ (1973-77) \\ (25-29) \end{gathered}$ | Period 4 <br> (1977-81) <br> (29-33) | Period 5 <br> (1981-85) <br> (33-37) | Period 6 (1985-90) (37-42) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (1963-90) \\ (15-42) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Centimeters per year |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  |  |  | Net gro | wth |  |  | cm |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.31 | 1.40 | 1.52 | 1.59 | 1.47 | 1.37 | 1.34 | 38.60 |
| 3 | 1.35 | 1.38 | 1.37 | 1.25 | 1.05 | 1.04 | . 92 | 31.66 |
| 5 | 1.30 | 1.22 | 1.20 | . 97 | . 83 | . 72 | . 71 | 25.98 |
| 7 | 1.35 | 1.23 | 1.06 | . 87 | . 68 | . 64 | . 60 | 23.74 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1.35 | 1.50 | 1.59 | 1.45 | 1.23 | 1.10 | 1.05 | 35.29 |
| 4 | 1.35 | 1.43 | 1.37 | 1.21 | . 99 | . 89 | . 74 | 29.86 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1.36 | 1.32 | 1.26 | 1.12 | 1.07 | 1.04 | . 95 | 30.75 |
| 8 | 1.29 | 1.18 | . 99 | . 81 | . 69 | . 67 | . 58 | 22.93 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | . 67 | . 61 | . 56 | . 47 | . 36 | . 57 | . 50 | 14.15 |
| Late thinning |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 1.00 | 1.30 | 1.20 | 1.18 | 19.94 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | . 87 | 1.02 | . 90 | . 83 | 15.34 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | . 69 | . 67 | . 67 | . 67 | 11.45 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | . 65 | . 56 | . 51 | . 48 | 9.28 |
| Survivor growth ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.31 | 1.40 | 1.53 | 1.59 | 1.47 | 1.37 | 1.34 | 38.66 |
| 3 | 1.35 | 1.38 | 1.37 | 1.24 | 1.05 | 1.04 | . 92 | 31.63 |
| 5 | 1.30 | 1.22 | 1.20 | . 99 | . 83 | . 72 | . 68 | 25.93 |
| 7 | 1.35 | 1.23 | 1.05 | . 87 | . 68 | . 63 | . 56 | 23.46 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1.35 | 1.50 | 1.59 | 1.50 | 1.23 | 1.10 | 1.05 | 35.50 |
| 4 | 1.35 | 1.43 | 1.37 | 1.20 | . 99 | . 89 | . 74 | 29.82 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1.36 | 1.33 | 1.26 | 1.12 | 1.07 | 1.04 | . 95 | 30.77 |
| 8 | 1.29 | 1.17 | . 99 | . 79 | . 69 | . 64 | . 58 | 22.76 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | . 69 | . 60 | . 52 | . 39 | . 30 | . 28 | . 29 | 11.26 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 1.00 | 1.30 | 1.20 | 1.18 | 19.94 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | . 87 | 1.00 | . 90 | . 83 | 15.25 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | . 69 | . 66 | . 59 | . 59 | 10.71 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | . 65 | . 56 | . 48 | . 44 | 9.00 |

-- = missing data.
a Stand age in parenthesis.
${ }^{b}$ Includes only trees alive at the end of each period.

## GROWTH, INTERNATIONAL UNITS, ALL TREES

Table 33 --Periodic annual basal area growth per hectare by treatment, treatment period, year, and stand age

| Treatment | Basal area growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calib. } \\ & (1963-66) \\ & (15-18) . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } 1 \\ (1966-69) \\ (18-21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } 2 \\ (1969-73) \\ (21-25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } 3 \\ (1973-77)^{3} \\ (25-29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } 4 \\ (1977-81) \\ (29-33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } 5 \\ (1981-85) \\ (33-37) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Period } 6 \\ & (1985-90) \\ & (37-42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (1963-90) \\ (15-42) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Square m | eters per | hectare p | er year |  | Total |
|  |  |  | Net growth |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2.19 | 1.89 | 1.71 | 1.47 | 1.14 | . 94 | 1.06 | 38.61 |
| 3 | 2.41 | 2.01 | 2.28 | 1.85 | 1.50 | 1.37 | 1.37 | 48.11 |
| 5 | 2.33 | 2.45 | 2.64 | 1.90 | 1.89 | 1.61 | 1.57 | 54.36 |
| 7 | 2.33 | 2.82 | 2.92 | 2.62 | 2.15 | 1.98 | 1.82 | 63.23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2.50 | 1.82 | 1.79 | 1.48 | 1.36 | 1.27 | 1.36 | 43.43 |
| 4 | 2.46 | 2.13 | 2.37 | 2.22 | 1.91 | 1.77 | 1.64 | 55.01 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2.40 | 2.56 | 2.58 | 2.11 | 1.77 | 1.46 | 1.51 | 54.11 |
| 8 | 2.16 | 2.53 | 2.57 | 2.20 | 1.89 | 1.62 | 1.65 | 55.42 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 3.41 | 3.29 | 2.93 | 2.21 | 1.85 | 1.04 | 1.19 | 58.13 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 |  | -- | -- | 1.25 | 1.26 | . 98 | 1.11 | 19.52 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 1.78 | 1.97 | 1.62 | 1.69 | 29.93 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 1.91 | 1.89 | 1.56 | 1.70 | 29.92 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 2.35 | 2.16 | 1.78 | 1.74 | 33.85 |
|  |  |  |  | Gross grow | owth |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2.24 | 1.91 | 1.75 | 1.47 | 1.14 | . 94 | 1.06 | 38.94 |
| 3 | 2.41 | 2.01 | 2.28 | 1.88 | 1.50 | 1.37 | 1.37 | 48.22 |
| 5 | 2.34 | 2.46 | 2.66 | 2.14 | 1.89 | 1.61 | 1.65 | 55.88 |
| 7 | 2.33 | 2.82 | 2.93 | 2.63 | 2.15 | 2.01 | 1.93 | 63.99 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2.53 | 1.82 | 1.79 | 1.58 | 1.36 | 1.27 | 1.36 | 43.90 |
| 4 | 2.46 | 2.13 | 2.39 | 2.24 | 1.91 | 1.77 | 1.64 | 55.21 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $6$ | 2.41 | 2.60 | 2.58 | 2.11 | 1.77 | 1.46 | 1.51 | 54.23 |
| 8 | 2.16 | 2.59 | 2.65 | 2.20 | 1.89 | 1.64 | 1.65 | 56.07 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 3.48 | 3.35 | 3.04 | 2.42 | 1.96 | 1.73 | 1.75 | 65.82 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 1.25 | 1.26 | . 98 | 1.11 | 19.52 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 1.80 | 1.98 | 1.62 | 1.69 | 30.04 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 1.92 | 1.89 | 1.63 | 1.76 | 30.57 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 2.41 | 2.19 | 1.88 | 1.85 | 35.16 |

-- = missing data.

[^15]Table 34--Periodic annual cubic-meter volume growth by treatment, treatment period, year, and stand age

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Gross growth

| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 15 | 350 |
| 3 | 13 | 13 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 22 | 480 |
| 5 | 13 | 18 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 24 | 28 | 611 |
| 7 | 12 | 19 | 25 | 29 | 28 | 30 | 32 | 706 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 14 | 12 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 22 | 452 |
| 4 | 13 | 14 | 20 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 27 | 591 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 13 | 18 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 19 | 24 | 547 |
| 8 | 11 | 18 | 24 | 24 | 27 | 23 | 26 | 613 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 19 | 25 | 29 | 31 | 27 | 29 | 34 | 764 |
| Late thinning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 12 | 14 | 11 | 15 | 221 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 18 | 23 | 20 | 23 | 357 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 22 | 24 | 22 | 27 | 403 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 28 | 28 | 24 | 30 | 469 |

[^16]${ }^{\text {a }}$ Stand age in parenthesis.

INTERNATIONAL UNITS, TREES

Table 35 --Live trees cut per hectare by treatment age and year at start of period

| Treatment | Live trees cut |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1966 \\ & (18)^{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1969 \\ & (21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1973 \\ & (25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1977 \\ & (29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1981 \\ & (33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1985 \\ & (37) \end{aligned}$ | Total |
|  |  |  | Trees per hectare |  |  |  | Total trees/ha |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 399.3 | 218.2 | 144.1 | 65.9 | 37.0 | . 0 | 864.5 |
| 3 | 399.3 | 82.3 | 144.1 | 78.2 | 53.5 | . 0 | 757.5 |
| 5 | 152.3 | 119.4 | 127.6 | 49.4 | 61.8 | . 0 | 510.5 |
| 7 | 12.3 | 41.2 | 86.5 | 74.1 | 57.6 | . 0 | 271.7 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 543.4 | 144.1 | 82.3 | 24.7 | 16.5 | . 0 | 811.0 |
| 4 | 403.4 | 57.6 | 74.1 | 53.5 | 32.9 | . 0 | 621.6 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 172.9 | 156.4 | 177.0 | 127.6 | 102.9 | . 0 | 736.9 |
| 8 | . 0 | 28.8 | 127.6 | 107.0 | 102.9 | . 0 | 366.4 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| Late thinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 177.0 | 70.0 | . 0 | 247.0 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 135.9 | 127.6 | . 0 | 263.5 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 90.6 | 107.0 | . 0 | 197.6 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 90.6 | 102.9 | . 0 | 193.5 |

Quadratic mean diameter--centimeters

| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 13.1 | 16.5 | 21.9 | 31.3 | 35.0 | . 0 |
| 3 | 13.3 | 16.2 | 21.9 | 26.8 | 30.5 | . 0 |
| 5 | 13.3 | 16.3 | 21.2 | 24.3 | 28.6 | . 0 |
| 7 | 12.2 | 16.6 | 20.6 | 24.7 | 27.2 | . 0 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 13.1 | 17.7 | 23.5 | 30.9 | 36.0 | . 0 |
| 4 | 13.5 | 16.8 | 22.8 | 27.7 | 31.0 | . 0 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 13.2 | 16.7 | 21.4 | 25.6 | 28.7 | . 0 |
| 8 | . 0 | 15.6 | 19.4 | 22.8 | 25.4 | . 0 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| Late thinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 16.9 | 27.5 | . 0 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 20.0 | 23.4 | . 0 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 19.4 | 21.5 | . 0 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 18.0 | 20.4 | . 0 |

Table 35--Live trees cut per hectare by treatment age and year at start of period (continued)

| Treatment | Live trees cut |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1966 \\ & (18)^{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1969 \\ & (21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1973 \\ & (25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1977 \\ & (29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1981 \\ & (33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1985 \\ & (37) \end{aligned}$ | Total |
|  | Basal area--square meters per hectare |  |  |  |  |  | Total $\mathrm{M}^{2} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 3.6 | . 0 | 24.1 |
| 3 | 5.5 | 1.7 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 3.9 | . 0 | 21.0 |
| 5 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 2.3 | 4.0 | . 0 | 15.3 |
| 7 | . 1 | . 9 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 3.3 | . 0 | 10.8 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 7.4 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 1.7 | . 0 | 18.0 |
| 4 | 5.8 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.5 | . 0 | 15.8 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 6.7 | . 0 | 25.4 |
| 8 | . 0 | . 5 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 5.2 | . 0 | 13.9 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| Late thinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 4.0 | 4.2 | . 0 | 8.1 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 4.3 | 5.5 | . 0 | 9.7 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 2.7 | 3.9 | . 0 | 6.6 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 2.3 | 3.4 | . 0 | 5.7 |
|  |  | Volu | -cubic | ters per | hectar |  | Total <br> $\mathrm{M}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 23 | 24 | 33 | 38 | 30 | 0 | 148 |
| 3 | 24 | 9 | 35 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 134 |
| 5 | 9 | 13 | 30 | 18 | 36 | 0 | 106 |
| 7 | 1 | 5 | 19 | 27 | 29 | 0 | 80 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 33 | 19 | 24 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 105 |
| 4 | 26 | 7 | 20 | 25 | 22 | 0 | 100 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 10 | 18 | 42 | 51 | 60 | 0 | 181 |
| 8 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 34 | 47 | 0 | 109 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Late thinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 28 | 36 | 0 | 64 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 32 | 47 | 0 | 78 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 21 | 34 | 0 | 55 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 17 | 29 | 0 | 46 |

[^17]Table 36--Periodic annual mortality per hectare, all trees, by treatment, period, year, and stand age

| Treatment | Annual mortality, end of period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1966 \\ & (18)^{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1969 \\ & (21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1973 \\ & (25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1977 \\ & (29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1981 \\ & (33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1985 \\ & (37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1990 \\ & (42) \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| Trees per hectare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> per ha |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 41 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 28 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 8 | 0 | 16 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 40 |
| Thinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 21 | 37 | 103 | 109 | 91 | 391 | 292 | 1104 |
| Late thinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 4 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 54 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 8 | 8 | 25 | 25 | 66 |
|  | Quadratic mean diameter--centimeters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 10.18 | 12.59 | 21.65 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |
| 3 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 18.81 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |
| 5 | 11.37 | 12.40 | 17.04 | 24.31 | . 00 | . 00 | 24.23 |  |
| 7 | . 00 | . 00 | 13.97 | 11.59 | . 00 | 18.81 | 20.84 |  |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 14.49 | . 00 | . 00 | 35.86 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |
| 4 | . 00 | . 00 | 16.53 | 19.32 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 7.81 | 18.28 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |
| 8 | . 00 | 12.64 | 18.28 | 6.99 | . 00 | 13.57 | . 00 |  |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 10.82 | 8.01 | 7.53 | 7.99 | 8.12 | 9.50 | 10.99 |  |
| Late thinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |  |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | 15.23 | 10.36 | . 00 | . 00 |  |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | 10.82 | . 00 | 12.51 | 12.50 |  |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 19.54 | 13.35 | 14.22 | 16.94 |  |

Table 36--Periodic annual mortality per hectare, all trees, by treatment, period, year, and stand age (continued)

| Treatment | Annual mortality, end of period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1966 \\ & (18)^{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1969 \\ & (21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1973 \\ & (25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1977 \\ & (29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1981 \\ & (33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1985 \\ & (37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1990 \\ & (42) \end{aligned}$ | Total |
|  | Basal area--square meters per hectare |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
| Eixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | . 13 | . 05 | . 15 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 33 |
| 3 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 11 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 11 |
| 5 | . 04 | . 05 | . 09 | . 97 | . 00 | . 00 | . 37 | 1.52 |
| 7 | . 00 | . 00 | . 06 | . 04 | . 00 | . 11 | . 55 | . 76 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | . 07 | . 00 | . 00 | . 40 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 47 |
| 4 | . 00 | . 00 | . 09 | . 12 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 20 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | . 02 | . 11 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 12 |
| 8 | . 00 | . 20 | . 32 | . 02 | . 00 | . 12 | . 00 | . 65 |
| Unthinned: Control | . 19 | . 19 | . 46 | . 85 | . 47 | 2.77 | 2.77 | 7.70 |
| Late thinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | . 07 | . 03 | . 00 | . 00 | . 11 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | . 04 | . 00 | . 31 | . 31 | . 65 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | . 24 | . 11 | . 40 | . 56 | 1.31 |
|  | Volume--cubic meters per hectare |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
| Fixed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | . 50 | . 24 | . 90 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 1.63 |
| 3 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 83 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 83 |
| 5 | . 16 | . 23 | . 54 | 7.50 | . 00 | . 00 | 3.68 | 12.11 |
| 7 | . 00 | . 00 | . 36 | . 27 | . 00 | . 95 | 5.23 | 6.81 |
| Increasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | . 30 | . 00 | . 00 | 3.24 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 3.54 |
| 4 | . 00 | . 00 | . 50 | . 77 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 1.27 |
| Decreasing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | . 07 | . 57 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 64 |
| 8 | . 00 | . 98 | 2.07 | . 06 | . 00 | . 99 | . 00 | 4.11 |
| Unthinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late thinned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late 1 | -- | -- | -- | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| Late 3 | -- | -- | -- | . 47 | . 19 | . 00 | . 00 | . 65 |
| Late 5 | -- | -- | -- | . 21 | . 00 | 2.09 | 2.07 | 4.36 |
| Late 7 | -- | -- | -- | 1.81 | . 87 | 3.25 | 5.29 | 11.22 |

[^18][^19]STAND DEVELOPMENT TABLE, INTERNATIONAL UNITS

Table $37--S t a n d$ development table by treatment, per hectare basis


| Yrs | m | No | Cm | $m^{2}$ | $m^{3}$ | No | Cm | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $m^{3}$ | $m^{3}$ | No | Cm | $m^{2}$ | $m^{3}$ | $m^{3}$ | $m^{3}$ | Cm | $m^{2}$ | $m^{3}$ | $m^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Treatment 1

| 1963 | 15 | 8 | 1000 | 9.0 | 6.5 | 21 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 21 | 21 | .00 | .0 | 0 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1966 | 18 | 11 | 585 | 13.1 | 7.7 | 33 | 399 | 13.1 | 5.4 | 23 | .1 | .99 | 16 | .0 | .1 | 0 | 56 | 56 | 1.31 | 2.2 | 11 | 3 |
| 1969 | 21 | 13 | 362 | 17.7 | 8.7 | 46 | 218 | 16.5 | 4.6 | 24 | .1 | .97 | 4 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 93 | 94 | 1.40 | 1.9 | 12 | 4 |
| 1973 | 25 | 17 | 214 | 24.8 | 10.2 | 66 | 144 | 21.9 | 5.4 | 33 | .2 | .93 | 4 | .0 | .1 | 1 | 146 | 148 | 1.52 | 1.7 | 13 | 6 |
| 1977 | 29 | 20 | 148 | 31.0 | 11.0 | 82 | 66 | 31.3 | 5.1 | 38 | .6 | 1.01 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 201 | 202 | 1.59 | 1.5 | 14 | 7 |
| 1981 | 33 | 23 | 111 | 37.3 | 12.0 | 102 | 37 | 35.0 | 3.6 | 30 | .8 | .97 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 251 | 252 | 1.47 | 1.1 | 13 | 8 |
| 1985 | 37 | 26 | 111 | 42.8 | 15.7 | 147 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 295 | 297 | 1.37 | .9 | 11 | 8 |
| 1990 | 42 | 30 | 111 | 49.5 | 21.0 | 221 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 369 | 371 | 1.34 | 1.1 | 15 | 9 |

## Treatment 3

| 1963 | 15 | 9 | 1000 | 9.3 | 6.8 | 22 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 22 | 22 | .00 | .0 | 0 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1966 | 18 | 12 | 601 | 13.5 | 8.5 | 37 | 399 | 13.3 | 5.5 | 24 | .1 | .99 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 61 | 61 | 1.35 | 2.4 | 13 | 3 |
| 1969 | 21 | 14 | 519 | 17.9 | 12.8 | 67 | 82 | 16.2 | 1.7 | 9 | .1 | .91 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 99 | 99 | 1.38 | 2.0 | 13 | 5 |
| 1973 | 25 | 17 | 375 | 23.9 | 16.5 | 107 | 144 | 21.9 | 5.4 | 35 | .2 | .95 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 175 | 175 | 1.37 | 2.3 | 19 | 7 |
| 1977 | 29 | 20 | 292 | 29.5 | 19.5 | 149 | 78 | 26.8 | 4.4 | 33 | .4 | .94 | 4 | .0 | .1 | 1 | 250 | 250 | 1.25 | 1.9 | 19 | 9 |
| 1981 | 33 | 23 | 239 | 34.5 | 21.6 | 186 | 54 | 30.5 | 3.9 | 33 | .6 | .91 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 320 | 321 | 1.05 | 1.5 | 18 | 10 |
| 1985 | 37 | 26 | 239 | 38.7 | 27.1 | 258 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 392 | 392 | 1.04 | 1.4 | 18 | 11 |
| 1990 | 42 | 30 | 239 | 43.3 | 34.0 | 367 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 501 | 502 | .92 | 1.4 | 22 | 12 |

Treatment 5

| 1963 | 15 | 9 | 1000 | 9.5 | 7.1 | 25 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | .00 | .0 | 0 | 2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1966 | 18 | 12 | 844 | 13.5 | 12.0 | 53 | 152 | 13.3 | 2.1 | 9 | .1 | .97 | 4 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 63 | 63 | 1.30 | 2.3 | 13 | 3 |
| 1969 | 21 | 15 | 720 | 17.3 | 16.9 | 93 | 119 | 16.3 | 2.5 | 13 | .1 | .95 | 4 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 115 | 116 | 1.22 | 2.4 | 18 | 5 |
| 1973 | 25 | 18 | 589 | 22.3 | 22.9 | 153 | 128 | 21.2 | 4.5 | 30 | .2 | .96 | 4 | .0 | .1 | 1 | 206 | 207 | 1.20 | 2.6 | 23 | 8 |
| 1977 | 29 | 22 | 519 | 26.3 | 28.3 | 225 | 49 | 24.3 | 2.3 | 18 | .4 | .93 | 21 | .0 | 1.0 | 8 | 295 | 304 | .97 | 1.9 | 22 | 10 |
| 1981 | 33 | 24 | 457 | 29.8 | 31.8 | 288 | 62 | 28.6 | 4.0 | 36 | .6 | .97 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 393 | 402 | .83 | 1.9 | 25 | 12 |
| 1985 | 37 | 27 | 457 | 32.7 | 38.3 | 382 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 488 | 496 | .72 | 1.6 | 24 | 13 |
| 1990 | 42 | 31 | 449 | 36.2 | 46.2 | 518 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 8 | .0 | .4 | 4 | 624 | 636 | .71 | 1.6 | 27 | 15 |

Treatment 7

| 1963 | 15 | 8 | 1000 | 8.9 | 6.3 | 20 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 20 | 20 | .00 | .0 | 0 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1966 | 18 | 11 | 988 | 13.0 | 13.2 | 55 | 12 | 12.2 | .1 | 1 | .0 | .30 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 55 | 55 | 1.35 | 2.3 | 12 | 3 |
| 1969 | 21 | 14 | 947 | 16.7 | 20.7 | 108 | 41 | 16.6 | .9 | 5 | .1 | .63 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 113 | 113 | 1.23 | 2.8 | 19 | 5 |
| 1973 | 25 | 17 | 856 | 21.0 | 29.5 | 191 | 86 | 20.6 | 2.9 | 19 | .2 | .99 | 4 | .0 | .1 | 0 | 215 | 215 | 1.06 | 2.9 | 25 | 9 |
| 1977 | 29 | 20 | 778 | 24.5 | 36.4 | 280 | 74 | 24.7 | 3.6 | 27 | .4 | 1.01 | 4 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 332 | 332 | .87 | 2.6 | 29 | 11 |
| 1981 | 33 | 23 | 720 | 27.2 | 41.7 | 364 | 58 | 27.2 | 3.3 | 29 | .5 | 1.00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 445 | 445 | .68 | 2.1 | 28 | 13 |
| 1985 | 37 | 26 | 716 | 29.7 | 49.6 | 481 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 4 | .0 | .1 | 1 | 562 | 564 | .64 | 2.0 | 29 | 15 |
| 1990 | 42 | 29 | 700 | 32.7 | 58.7 | 639 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 16 | .0 | .5 | 5 | 719 | 726 | .60 | 1.8 | 31 | 17 |

Table 37--Stand development table by treatment, per hectare basis (continued)

|  | After thinning |  |  |  |  |  | Removed in thinning |  |  |  |  | Mortality |  |  |  |  | Yield ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | Net growth |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | St and age | H100 ${ }^{\circ}$ | Trees left | Avg dbh | Basal area | Total vol ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Trees cut | Avg dbh | Basal area | Total vol | Avg <br> vol | Avg <br> d/D ${ }^{c}$ | Trees dead | Avg dbh | Basal area | Total vol | Net vol | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { vol } \end{gathered}$ | dbh PAI | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ba} \\ \mathrm{PAI} \end{gathered}$ | Vol PAI | Vol MAI |


|  | Yrs | $m$ | No | Cm | $m^{2}$ | $m^{3}$ | No | Cm | $m^{2}$ | $m^{3}$ | $m^{3}$ |  | No | Cm | $m^{2}$ | $m^{3}$ | $m^{3}$ | $m^{3}$ | Cm | $m^{2}$ | $m^{3}$ | $m^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatment 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1963 | 15 | 9 | 1000 | 9.9 | 7.7 | 27 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 27 | 27 | . 00 | . 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 1966 | 18 | 12 | 453 | 14.9 | 7.9 | 37 | 543 | 13.1 | 7.4 | 33 | . 1 | . 94 | 4 | . 0 | . 1 | 0 | 70 | 70 | 1.35 | 2.5 | 14 | 4 |
| 1969 | 21 | 15 | 309 | 20.1 | 9.8 | 54 | 144 | 17.7 | 3.5 | 19 | . 1 | . 91 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 107 | 107 | 1.50 | 1.8 | 12 | 5 |
| 1973 | 25 | 18 | 226 | 27.5 | 13.4 | 93 | 82 | 23.5 | 3.6 | 24 | . 3 | . 89 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 169 | 170 | 1.59 | 1.8 | 16 | 7 |
| 1977 | 29 | 21 | 198 | 33.7 | 17.5 | 139 | 25 | 30.9 | 1.9 | 15 | . 6 | . 92 | 4 | . 0 | . 4 | 3 | 230 | 234 | 1.45 | 1.5 | 15 | 8 |
| 1981 | 33 | 24 | 181 | 38.8 | 21.3 | 191 | 16 | 36.0 | 1.7 | 14 | . 9 | . 96 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 296 | 300 | 1.23 | 1.4 | 17 | 9 |
| 1985 | 37 | 27 | 181 | 43.2 | 26.3 | 260 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 365 | 368 | 1.10 | 1.3 | 17 | 10 |
| 1990 | 42 | 31 | 181 | 48.4 | 33.2 | 370 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 475 | 479 | 1.05 | 1.4 | 22 | 11 |

Treatment 4

| 1963 | 15 | 9 | 1000 | 9.6 | 7.2 | 25 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | .00 | .0 | 0 | 2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1966 | 18 | 11 | 597 | 13.7 | 8.8 | 39 | 403 | 13.5 | 5.8 | 26 | .1 | .99 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 65 | 65 | 1.35 | 2.5 | 13 | 4 |
| 1969 | 21 | 14 | 539 | 18.1 | 13.9 | 76 | 58 | 16.8 | 1.3 | 7 | .1 | .94 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 108 | 108 | 1.43 | 2.1 | 14 | 5 |
| 1973 | 25 | 18 | 461 | 23.7 | 20.3 | 136 | 74 | 22.8 | 3.0 | 20 | .3 | .96 | 4 | .0 | .1 | 1 | 189 | 190 | 1.37 | 2.4 | 20 | 8 |
| 1977 | 29 | 21 | 403 | 28.7 | 26.0 | 205 | 54 | 27.7 | 3.2 | 25 | .5 | .97 | 4 | .0 | .1 | 1 | 283 | 284 | 1.21 | 2.2 | 23 | 10 |
| 1981 | 33 | 24 | 371 | 32.8 | 31.1 | 278 | 33 | 31.0 | 2.5 | 22 | .7 | .94 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 378 | 380 | .99 | 1.9 | 24 | 11 |
| 1985 | 37 | 26 | 371 | 36.4 | 38.2 | 379 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 479 | 481 | .89 | 1.8 | 25 | 13 |
| 1990 | 42 | 30 | 371 | 40.0 | 46.4 | 514 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 615 | 616 | .74 | 1.6 | 27 | 15 |

Treatment 6

| 1963 | 15 | 8 | 1000 | 9.2 | 6.7 | 23 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 23 | 23 | .00 | .0 | 0 | 2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1966 | 18 | 11 | 823 | 13.5 | 11.6 | 51 | 173 | 13.2 | 2.3 | 10 | .0 | .62 | 4 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 61 | 61 | 1.36 | 2.4 | 13 | 3 |
| 1969 | 21 | 14 | 663 | 17.7 | 15.9 | 85 | 156 | 16.7 | 3.4 | 18 | .1 | .96 | 4 | .0 | .1 | 1 | 114 | 114 | 1.32 | 2.6 | 18 | 5 |
| 1973 | 25 | 17 | 486 | 23.1 | 19.8 | 132 | 177 | 21.4 | 6.4 | 42 | .2 | .95 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 203 | 203 | 1.26 | 2.6 | 22 | 8 |
| 1977 | 29 | 20 | 358 | 28.2 | 21.6 | 167 | 128 | 25.6 | 6.6 | 51 | .4 | .94 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 288 | 289 | 1.12 | 2.1 | 21 | 10 |
| 1981 | 33 | 23 | 255 | 33.6 | 22.1 | 192 | 103 | 28.7 | 6.7 | 60 | .6 | .90 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 373 | 373 | 1.07 | 1.8 | 21 | 11 |
| 1985 | 37 | 26 | 255 | 37.8 | 27.9 | 268 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 449 | 450 | 1.04 | 1.5 | 19 | 12 |
| 1990 | 42 | 31 | 255 | 42.5 | 35.5 | 388 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 570 | 570 | .95 | 1.5 | 24 | 14 |

Treatment 8

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1963 | 15 | 8 | 1000 | 8.7 | 5.9 | 20 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 20 | 20 | .00 | .0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1966 | 18 | 12 | 1000 | 12.5 | 12.4 | 54 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 54 | 54 | 1.29 | 2.2 | 11 | 3 |
| 1969 | 21 | 14 | 955 | 16.1 | 19.4 | 104 | 29 | 15.6 | .5 | 3 | .0 | .31 | 16 | .0 | .2 | 1 | 107 | 108 | 1.18 | 2.5 | 18 | 5 |
| 1973 | 25 | 17 | 815 | 20.1 | 25.9 | 173 | 128 | 19.4 | 3.8 | 25 | .2 | .98 | 12 | .0 | .0 | 2 | 201 | 204 | .99 | 2.6 | 23 | 8 |
| 1977 | 29 | 20 | 704 | 23.4 | 30.4 | 237 | 107 | 22.8 | 4.4 | 34 | .3 | .98 | 4 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 299 | 302 | .81 | 2.2 | 24 | 10 |
| 1981 | 33 | 23 | 601 | 26.3 | 32.7 | 296 | 103 | 25.4 | 5.2 | 47 | .5 | .97 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 405 | 408 | .69 | 1.9 | 27 | 12 |
| 1985 | 37 | 26 | 593 | 29.0 | 39.2 | 388 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 8 | .0 | .0 | 1 | 497 | 501 | .67 | 1.6 | 23 | 13 |
| 1990 | 42 | 29 | 593 | 31.9 | 47.5 | 520 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 629 | 633 | .58 | 1.7 | 26 | 15 |

## STAND DEVELOPMENT TABLE, INTERNATIONAL UNITS

Table 37--Stand development table by treatment, per hectare basis (continued)

| Year | After thinning |  |  |  |  |  | Removed in thinning |  |  |  |  | Mortality |  |  |  |  | Yield ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Net growth |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stand age | H100* | Trees Avg left dbh |  | Basal area | Total vol ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Trees cut | Avg <br> dbh | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basal } \\ \text { area } \end{gathered}$ | Total vol | Avg <br> vol | Avg d/D $D^{c}$ | Trees Avg ${ }^{c}$ dead dbh |  | Basal area | Total vol | Net Gross vol vol | dbh PAI |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vol } \\ & \text { PAI } \end{aligned}$ | Vol <br> MAI |
|  | Yrs | m | No | Cm | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $m^{3}$ | No | Cm | $m^{2}$ | $m^{3}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  | No | Cm | $m^{2}$ | $m^{3}$ | $m^{3} \quad m^{3}$ | Cm | $m^{2}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $m^{3}$ |

Treatment 9, Unthinned control

| 1963 | 15 | 9 | 2194 | 8.3 | 11.9 | 39 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 39 | 39 | . 00 | . 0 | 0 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1966 | 18 | 12 | 2655 | 10.3 | 22.1 | 95 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 21 | . 0 | . 2 | 1 | 95 | 96 | . 67 | 3.4 | 19 | 5 |
| 1969 | 21 | 14 | 2783 | 12.1 | 32.0 | 171 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 37 | . 0 | . 2 | 1 | 171 | 172 | . 61 | 3.3 | 25 | 8 |
| 1973 | 25 | 18 | 2733 | 14.4 | 43.7 | 284 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 103 | . 0 | . 5 | 2 | 284 | 288 | . 56 | 2.9 | 28 | 11 |
| 1977 | 29 | 21 | 2589 | 16.2 | 52.5 | 401 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 169 | . 0 | . 8 | 5 | 401 | 410 | . 47 | 2.2 | 29 | 14 |
| 1981 | 33 | 23 | 2507 | 17.7 | 59.9 | 505 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 91 | . 0 | . 5 | 3 | 505 | 517 | . 36 | 1.8 | 26 | 15 |
| 1985 | 37 | 26 | 2116 | 19.9 | 64.0 | 601 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 391 | . 0 | 2.8 | 19 | 601 | 631 | . 57 | 1.0 | 24 | 16 |
| 1990 | 42 | 30 | 1824 | 22.4 | 70.0 | 750 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 292 | . 0 | 2.8 | 22 | 750 | 803 | . 50 | 1.2 | 30 | 18 |
| Late | thinning, L1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 | 25 | 17 | 399 | 17.9 | 10.0 | 66 | $2451{ }^{\circ}$ | -- | 30.4 | 201 | -- | . 91 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 66 | 66 | . 00 | . 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 1977 | 29 | 20 | 222 | 25.2 | 11.0 | 86 | 177 | 16.9 | 4.0 | 28 | . 2 | . 77 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 114 | 114 | 1.00 | 1.3 | 12 | 4 |
| 1981 | 33 | 23 | 152 | 31.6 | 11.9 | 104 | 70 | 27.5 | 4.2 | 36 | . 5 | . 91 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 168 | 168 | 1.30 | 1.3 | 14 | 5 |
| 1985 | 37 | 25 | 152 | 36.4 | 15.9 | 149 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 213 | 213 | 1.20 | 1.0 | 11 | 6 |
| 1990 | 42 | 28 | 152 | 42.3 | 21.4 | 224 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 00 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 288 | 288 | 1.18 | 1.1 | 15 | 7 |

Late thinning, L3

| 1973 | 25 | 17 | 675 | 17.8 | 16.9 | 111 | 2670 | -- | 27.1 | 177 | -- | .88 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 111 | 111 | .00 | .0 | 0 | 4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1977 | 29 | 20 | 535 | 21.7 | 19.7 | 150 | 136 | 20.0 | 4.3 | 32 | .2 | .94 | 4 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 182 | 182 | .87 | 1.8 | 18 | 6 |
| 1981 | 33 | 23 | 403 | 26.5 | 22.1 | 193 | 128 | 23.4 | 5.5 | 47 | .4 | .91 | 4 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 272 | 272 | 1.02 | 2.0 | 23 | 8 |
| 1985 | 37 | 25 | 403 | 30.1 | 28.6 | 272 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 351 | 351 | .90 | 1.6 | 20 | 9 |
| 1990 | 42 | 28 | 403 | 34.2 | 37.1 | 390 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 468 | 468 | .83 | 1.7 | 23 | 11 |

Late thinning, L5

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1973 | 25 | 18 | 930 | 17.8 | 23.1 | 158 | 1387 | -- | 19.1 | 129 | -- | .87 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 158 | 158 | .00 |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 29 | 21 | 836 | 20.7 | 28.0 | 223 | 91 | 19.4 | 2.7 | 21 | .2 | .95 | 4 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 244 | 245 | .69 | 1.9 | 22 | 6 |
| 1981 | 33 | 24 | 725 | 23.6 | 31.7 | 284 | 107 | 21.5 | 3.9 | 34 | .3 | .92 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 339 | 339 | .67 | 1.9 | 24 | 10 |
| 1985 | 37 | 26 | 700 | 26.3 | 37.9 | 368 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 25 | .0 | .3 | 2 | 423 | 426 | .67 | 1.6 | 21 | 11 |
| 1990 | 42 | 30 | 671 | 29.7 | 46.4 | 502 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .13 | 25 | .0 | .3 | 2 | 557 | 561 | .67 | 1.7 | 27 | 13 |

Late thinning, L7

| 1973 | 25 | 17 | 1297 | 17.1 | 29.5 | 184 | 1564 | -- | 10.8 | 67 | -- | .68 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | 184 | 184 | .00 | .0 | 0 | 7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1977 | 29 | 20 | 1198 | 19.8 | 36.6 | 277 | 91 | 18.6 | 2.3 | 17 | .2 | .89 | 8 | .0 | .2 | 2 | 294 | 296 | .65 | 2.4 | 27 | 10 |
| 1981 | 33 | 22 | 1091 | 22.2 | 41.9 | 360 | 103 | 20.4 | 3.4 | 29 | .3 | .94 | 8 | .0 | .1 | 1 | 406 | 408 | .56 | 2.2 | 28 | 12 |
| 1985 | 37 | 24 | 1070 | 24.2 | 49.0 | 453 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 25 | .0 | .4 | 3 | 499 | 505 | .51 | 1.8 | 23 | 13 |
| 1990 | 42 | 28 | 1046 | 26.6 | 57.7 | 596 | 0 | .0 | .0 | 0 | .0 | .00 | 25 | .0 | .6 | 5 | 642 | 653 | .48 | 1.7 | 29 | 15 |

- Ht 100: Average height of the 100 largest trees per hectare (estimated from d.b.h. and ht-d.b.h. curves).
b Volume: All volumes are total stem, inside bark.
c d/D: Average d.b.h. cut/average d.b.h. before thinning.
dotal yield: Net $=$ standing + thinning $\quad$ Gross $=$ standing + thinning + mortality.
Yield does not include any volume removed in a calibration cut.
e Trees and volume cut in initial late thinnings, in 1973, are not included in yields.


#### Abstract

Hoyer, Gerald E.; Andersen, Norman A.; Marshall, David. 1996. Levels-of-growingstock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 13-the Francis study: 1963-90. Res. Pap. PNW-RP-488. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Senvice, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 91 p. Results of the Francis installation of the levels-of-growing-stock study in Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii (Mirb.) Franco), begun at stand age 15, are summarized together with results from additional first-thinning treatments started at age 25. To age 42 ( 5 years beyond the last planned thinning), total cubic-foot volume growth on this mid-site II Douglas-fir plantation has been strongly related to level of growing stock. Growth of lower levels of growing stock exceeded that of the control for only a brief period at age 30 . Selection of a "best" treatment would depend on the unit of measure used: yield in total cubic-foot volume, merchantable cubic-foot volume, board-foot volume or dollar value. Close dollar values among several alternatives suggest that diverse stand structure objectives can be attained at age 42 with little difference in wood product-value per acre. General silvicultural prescriptions could be written to achieve the results of any of the treatments on similar sites. Keywords: Thinning, growing stock, growth and yield, stand density, Douglas-fir, Pseudotsuga menziesii, series-Douglas-fir LOGS.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Developed by Gary W. Clendenen and David D. Marshall.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Significance level: * is $0.01<\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$; and ${ }^{* *}$ is $p \leq 0.01$.
    ${ }^{b} P$-value is the probability of a larger $F$, given that the null hypothesis of no difference among means is true.

[^2]:    - = missing data.
    ${ }^{a}$ Site index is according to King (1966) for Douglas-fir, Wiley (1978) for western hemlock.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{WHC}=$ western hemlock control plots.

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ Personal communication. 1995. Andrew Carey, research wildlife biologist, Forestry Sciences Laboratory, 3625 93d Ave. SW, Olympia, WA 98512-9193.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Stand age in parenthesis.

[^5]:    -- = missing data.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Stand age in parenthesis.
    ${ }^{b}$ Includes only trees alive at the end of each period.

[^6]:    a Stand age data in parenthesis.

[^7]:    a Stand age in parenthesis.

[^8]:    -- = missing data.

[^9]:    ${ }^{2}$ Stand age in parenthesis.

[^10]:    -- = missing data.

[^11]:    -- = missing data.

[^12]:    -- = missing data.

[^13]:    -- = missing data.

[^14]:    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Stand age in parenthesis.

[^15]:    a Stand age in parenthesis.

[^16]:    -- = missing data.

[^17]:    -- $=$ missing data.

[^18]:    -- = missing data.

[^19]:    a stand age in parenthesis.

